


# WORLD DATA CENTER A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics



DATA ON SOLAR - GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY  
ASSOCIATED WITH THE MAJOR  
GROUND LEVEL COSMIC RAY EVENTS  
OF 24 JANUARY AND 1 SEPTEMBER 1971



DECEMBER 1972

WORLD DATA CENTER A

National Academy of Sciences

2101 Constitution Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C. U.S.A., 20418

World Data Center A consists of the Coordination Office

and eight subcenters:

World Data Center A  
Coordination Office  
National Academy of Sciences  
2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D. C., U.S.A. 20418  
Telephone (202) 961-1478

Solar and Interplanetary Phenomena,  
Ionospheric Phenomena, Flare-Associated  
Events, Geomagnetic Variations, Magnetospheric  
and Interplanetary Magnetic Phenomena,  
Aurora, Cosmic Rays, Airglow:

World Data Center A  
for Solar-Terrestrial Physics  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric  
Administration  
Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302  
Telephone (303) 499-1000 Ext. 6467

Geomagnetism, Seismology, Gravity (and  
Upper Mantle Project Archives):

World Data Center A:  
Geomagnetism, Seismology and Gravity  
Environmental Data Service, NOAA  
Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302  
Telephone (303) 499-1000 Ext. 6311

Glaciology:

World Data Center A:  
Glaciology  
U. S. Geological Survey  
1305 Tacoma Avenue South  
Tacoma, Washington, U.S.A. 98402  
Telephone (206) 383-2861 Ext. 318

Longitude and Latitude:

World Data Center A:  
Longitude and Latitude  
U. S. Naval Observatory  
Washington, D. C., U.S.A. 20390  
Telephone (202) 698-8422

Meteorology (and Nuclear Radiation):

World Data Center A:  
Meteorology  
National Climatic Center  
Federal Building  
Asheville, North Carolina, U.S.A. 28801  
Telephone (704) 254-0961

Oceanography:

World Data Center A:  
Oceanography  
National Oceanic and  
Atmospheric Administration  
Rockville, Maryland, U.S.A. 20852  
Telephone (202) 426-9052

Rockets and Satellites:

World Data Center A:  
Rockets and Satellites  
Goddard Space Flight Center  
Code 601  
Greenbelt, Maryland, U.S.A. 20771  
Telephone (301) 982-6695

Tsunami:

World Data Center A:  
Tsunami  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric  
Administration  
P.O. Box 3887  
Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A. 96812  
Telephone (808) 546-5698


---

Notes:

- (1) World Data Centers conduct international exchange of geophysical observations in accordance with the principles set forth by the International Council of Scientific Unions. WDC-A is established in the United States under the auspices of the National Academy of Sciences.
- (2) Communications regarding data interchange matters in general and World Data Center A as a whole should be addressed to: World Data Center A, Coordination Office (see address above).
- (3) Inquiries and communications concerning data in specific disciplines should be addressed to the appropriate subcenter listed above.



# WORLD DATA CENTER A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics




REPORT UAG - 24 PART I

## DATA ON SOLAR - GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE MAJOR GROUND LEVEL COSMIC RAY EVENTS OF 24 JANUARY AND 1 SEPTEMBER 1971

compiled by

Helen E. Coffey and J. Virginia Lincoln  
WDC-A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics  
Boulder, Colorado




Prepared by World Data Center A for  
Solar-Terrestrial Physics, NOAA, Boulder, Colorado  
and published by

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION  
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA SERVICE  
Asheville, North Carolina, USA 28801

DECEMBER 1972

SUBSCRIPTION PRICE: \$9.00 a year; \$2.50 additional for foreign mailing; single copy price varies.\* Checks and money orders should be made payable to the Department of Commerce, NOAA. Remittance and correspondence regarding subscriptions should be sent to the National Climatic Center, Federal Building, Asheville, NC 28801, Attn: Publications.

\*PRICE THIS ISSUE \$2.00



## FOREWORD

This data compilation is the sixth in the series of special compilations which have been produced under the auspices of World Data Center A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics. The first five have concerned the solar-terrestrial events of May 23, 1967, October 24 - November 6, 1968, November 18, 1968, November 2-10, 1969, and March 8, 1970. Judging from the references made to these publications in journal papers and from many informal contacts, these kinds of reports seem to be filling a need for a publication medium for collecting in one place rather detailed data for a particular event, with discussions and interpretations by those responsible for the observations.

To be useful such publications should be started rather soon after the event has taken place, giving hardly time for extensive consideration by international bodies. We at WDC-A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics, therefore, proposed this report after only informal consultation with what in our judgment was a representative international sample of leading solar-terrestrial scientists.

The periods selected were those including the ground-level cosmic ray increases of January 24, 1971 and September 1, 1971.

The many contributors are thanked for their submissions. The compilers wish to acknowledge with special thanks the typing and correcting of the manuscript by Miss J. May Starr.

Helen E. Coffey

J. Virginia Lincoln

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                     | <u>Page</u> |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| PART I                              |             |
| JANUARY EVENT                       |             |
| FOREWORD                            |             |
| 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND DATA | 1           |
| 2. SOLAR REGION OF JANUARY 1971     | 7           |
| 3. SOLAR RADIO EVENTS               | 61          |
| 4. SPACE OBSERVATIONS               | 100         |
| 5. COSMIC RAYS                      | 134         |
| 6. IONOSPHERE                       | 200         |
| 7. AURORA                           | 247         |
| 8. GEOMAGNETISM                     | 261         |
| PART II                             |             |
| SEPTEMBER EVENT                     |             |
| 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND DATA | 299         |
| 2. SOLAR REGION OF SEPTEMBER 1971   | 303         |
| 3. SOLAR RADIO EVENTS               | 318         |
| 4. SPACE OBSERVATIONS               | 362         |
| 5. COSMIC RAYS                      | 370         |
| 6. IONOSPHERE                       | 404         |
| 7. AURORA                           | 443         |
| 8. GEOMAGNETISM                     | 446         |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS                    | 456         |
| ALPHABETICAL INDEX                  | 456         |
| AUTHOR INDEX                        | 461         |

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| FOREWORD   | Page<br>i |
| PART I   |           |
| 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND DATA  | 1         |
| 2. SOLAR REGION OF JANUARY 1971  |           |
| "Evolution of Solar Active Regions (H $\alpha$ and K Faculae and Spots)"<br>(G. Godoli, V. Sciuto, M. L. Sturiale and R. A. Zappala)   | 7         |
| "Optical and Ground Level Phenomena Associated with the Cosmic Ray Increase<br>of January 24, 1971"<br>(Marcos E. Machado)   | 15        |
| "Sunspots and H-Alpha Plage Associated with the GLE Event of 24 January 1971"<br>(Patrick S. McIntosh)   | 19        |
| "H-Alpha Synoptic Chart for January 1971"<br>(Patrick S. McIntosh)   | 26        |
| "H $\alpha$ Observations of the Solar Flare, January 24-25, 1971"<br>(Marie McCabe)  | 28        |
| "Development of Activity in McMath 11128 and the 24 January 1971 Flare"<br>(Harold Zirin)  | 31        |
| "Flare of January 24-25, 1971"<br>(F. Moriyama)  | 34        |
| "The Flare of 24-25 January 1971 Observed at Manila"<br>(Francis Heyden, S. J. and Danilo Balboa)  | 38        |
| "Development of the Large-Scale Situation in which the Proton-Flare of<br>January 24, 1971 Took Place"<br>(V. Bumba and J. Sykora)   | 43        |
| "Magnetic Fields in McMath Region 11128"<br>(David M. Rust)  | 51        |
| "A Study of the Coronal Active Region Associated with the Eruptive Flare of<br>January 24, 1971"<br>(P. R. SenGupta)   | 58        |
| 3. SOLAR RADIO EVENTS  |           |
| "On the S-Component and Noise Storms in January, 1971"<br>(A. Böhme and A. Krüger)   | 61        |
| "Millimeter Wave Spectroheliograms Associated with the January 24, 1971<br>Solar Terrestrial Event"<br>(Larry E. Telford)  | 64        |
| "Dynamic Radio Spectra of the Solar Flare of 1971 January 24 2300 UT"<br>(A. Maxwell)  | 69        |
| "Radio Burst Observations of 24 January 1971 Solar Proton Flare"<br>(William R. Barron)  | 71        |
| "The Solar Microwave Burst of January 24-25, 1971"<br>(Haruo Tanaka and Shinzo Enomé)  | 75        |
| * "The Slowly Varying Component of the Frequencies of 2695 MHz, 606 MHz and<br>536 MHz during the Period of the Proton Flare Events of January 24 and<br>September 1, 1971"<br>(A. Tlamicha and J. Olmr) | 77        |
| "Dekameter Burst of 24 January 1971"<br>(V. L. Badillo)  | 84        |
| "Culgoora Radioheliograph and Spectrograph Observations of the Event of 1971<br>January 24"<br>(A. C. Riddle and I. D. Palmer)   | 86        |
| "Solar Radio Observations of the Proton Event of 1971 January 24"<br>(I. D. Palmer, S. F. Smerd and A. C. Riddle)  | 89        |
| "Evolution of a Jet-Like Structure in the Late Phase of a Complex Solar Outburst"<br>(A. C. Riddle and K. V. Sheridan)   | 93        |
| "Radio Bursts Associated with Solar Proton Flare on January 24, 1971"<br>(Kunitomo Sakurai)  | 98        |
| 4. SPACE OBSERVATIONS  |           |
| "Solar X-Ray Emission on January 24-25, 1971"<br>(D. M. Horan, R. W. Kreplin and R. G. Taylor)   | 100       |

\* Article covers both the January and September events.

# Table of Contents (Continued)


|  | Page |
|--|------|
| *"IMP V Observations on the Solar Flare Particle Events of January 24 and September 1 of 1971"<br>(M. Van Hollebeke, J. R. Wang and F. B. McDonald)                  | 102  |
| "Energetic Electron and Proton Solar Particle Observations on OGO-5, January 24-30, 1971"<br>(H. I. West, Jr., R. M. Buck, J. R. Walton and R. G. D'Arcy, Jr.)       | 113  |
| "Proton and Alpha Particle Fluxes Measured Aboard OV5-6"<br>(G. K. Yates, J. G. Kelley, B. Sellers and F. A. Hanser)   | 120  |
| "Particle Observations during the 24 January 1971 Event"<br>(J. W. Kohl)   | 122  |
| "Solar Electrons, Protons, and Alpha Particles in the 24 January 1971 Event"<br>(L. J. Lanzerotti and C. G. MacLennan)   | 126  |
| "The Distant Interplanetary Magnetic Field Measured by Pioneer 8 during the Period January 20 to 30, 1971"<br>(A. Castelli, F. Mariani and N. F. Ness)               | 130  |
| <br>5. COSMIC RAYS   |      |
| "Tables of Neutron Monitor Data and Selected Graphs for the January 24, 1971 Event"<br>(Helen E. Coffey)   | 134  |
| "Cosmic-Ray Trajectory Calculations for Selected High Latitude Stations Appropriate for the Solar Cosmic-Ray Events in 1971"<br>(M. A. Shea and D. F. Smart)         | 154  |
| "Relativistic Solar Cosmic Rays on January 24-25, 1971"<br>(M. A. Pomerantz and S. P. Duggal)  | 171  |
| "The Ground Level Increase and Variations of Cosmic Rays on January 24-30, 1971"<br>(N. P. Chirkov, V. I. Ipatjev, G. V. Skripin, G. G. Todikov, A. T. Filippov)     | 178  |
| *"Upper Cutoff in the Proton Spectrum of January 24 and September 1, 1971 Events"<br>(Dj. Heristchi, J. Pérez Peraza and G. Trotter)                                 | 182  |
| "The Ground Level Cosmic Ray Increase of January 24, 1971 Recorded by the Neutron Monitor in Bergen, Norway"<br>(R. Amundsen and H. Trefall)                         | 188  |
| "Rocket Measurements of Energy Spectra of Protons and Alpha Particles during the January 24, 1971 Solar Event"<br>(H. Hempe and M. Witte)                            | 189  |
| "Cosmic Ray Solar Flare Event of January 24, 1971"<br>(M. Arens, H. F. Jongen, J. Skolnik, L. D. de Feiter)  | 192  |
| "Scintillation Monitor, Bologna, Italy, 15-Minute Observations"<br>(M. Galli, L. Fiandri and M. R. Attolini)   | 197  |
| <br>6. IONOSPHERE  |      |
| "HF Doppler Observation Associated with Cosmic Ray Increase of January 24, 1971"<br>(Minoru Tsutsui and Toru Ogawa)  | 200  |
| "Effects of the January 1971 Solar Particle Event on Polar VLF Propagation"<br>(John P. Turtle)  | 202  |
| "30 MHz Riometer Data for January 1971 Solar Particle Event"<br>(Raymond J. Cormier)   | 203  |
| "The January 1971 Solar Cosmic Ray Event"<br>(A. J. Masley)  | 204  |
| "Riometer Observation of the Solar Cosmic Ray Event of January 25, 1971"<br>(William M. Retallack, Warner L. Ecklund and Herbert H. Sauer)                           | 205  |
| "Polar Cap Absorption of January 24, 1971 by Riometer Data in the Arctic and Antarctic"<br>(V. M. Driatsky and V. A. Ulyev)  | 207  |
| "Ground Based Ionospheric Observations from the Danish Geophysical Observatories in Greenland during the January 24 Event 1971"<br>(J. Taagholt and V. Neble Jensen) | 210  |
| "Ionospheric Observations in Kiruna of the PCA Event of 24 January 1971"<br>(C. Jurén and J. Svennesson)   | 215  |
| "Report on Ionospheric and Whistler Activity at the Panská Ves and Průhonice Observatories on January 24, 1971"<br>(P. Triska, F. Jiricek and J. Lastovicka)         | 222  |

\* Article covers both the January and September events.

## Table of Contents (Continued)

|   | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| "The Ionospheric Disturbances over Japan Associated with Solar Flare on January 24 and Geomagnetic Storm from January 27 to February 1, 1971"<br>(Yugoro Takenoshita)   | 223         |
| "Lower Ionosphere Affected by Proton Event"<br>(K. Bibl)  | 226         |
| "Ionospheric Characteristics Associated with the Solar Activity of January 24, 1971 at Manila"<br>(J. J. Hennessey, S. J. and Florencio Rafael, Jr.)  | 229         |
| "Mid-Latitude Total Electron Content during Cosmic Ray Event January 25-26, 1971"<br>(J. A. Klobuchar and M. J. Mendillo)   | 233         |
| "Polar Cap Disturbance of January 24, 1971, Observed on the Phase of VLF Waves"<br>(Y. Hakura, T. Ishii, T. Asakura and Y. Terajima)  | 234         |
| "The Effects of Solar Proton Event and Associated Geomagnetic Disturbance on the Phase of VLF Signals Received at Leicester, UK"<br>(J. W. Chapman and R. E. Evans)   | 237         |
| "Ionospheric Effects from Solar Particles during January 24 - February 3, 1971"<br>(G. Nestorov and P. Velinov)   | 240         |
| 7. AURORA   |             |
| "The Auroral - Zone Effects of January 24 Event over Cola Peninsula"<br>(B. E. Brunelli, L. S. Evlashin, S. I. Isaev, L. L. Lazutin, G. A. Loginov, G. A. Petrova, V. K. Roldugin, N. V. Shulgina, G. V. Starkov, G. F. Totunova and E. V. Vasheniuk) | 247         |
| "Zenith Intensities of the OI 5577A and 6300A Radiation Inside the Polar Cap during the January 1971 Solar Particle Event"<br>(James G. Moore)  | 253         |
| "3914A, 5577A, and 6300A Intensity Measurements at Thule during January 24-29, 1971"<br>(William N. Hall)   | 259         |
| 8. GEOMAGNETISM   |             |
| "Provisional Equatorial Dst"<br>(M. Sugiura)  | 261         |
| "K-Indices for January 23-31, 1971"<br>(D. van Sabben)  | 262         |
| *"Solar Wind Velocities and Geomagnetic Activity Associated with the Cosmic Ray Increases of January 24, 1971 and September 1, 1971"<br>(S. Krajcovic)  | 264         |
| *"Recurrent Tendencies in Geomagnetic Activity at the Time of Increased Cosmic Radiation at the Earth's Surface on 24 January and 1 September 1971"<br>(Jaroslav Halenka)   | 268         |
| "Geomagnetically Active Plages and Flares Observed during the Interval Including January 24, 1971"<br>(M. C. Ballario)  | 271         |
| *"Comments on the Special Intervals of January 24 and September 1, 1971"<br>(Bohumila Bednářová-Nováková)   | 277         |
| "Geomagnetic and SID Effects of the 24 January 1971 GLE"<br>(J. E. Salcedo)   | 279         |
| *"On Geomagnetic Pulsations at the Time of Solar-Terrestrial Events of January 24, 1971 and September 1, 1971 at the Budkov Observatory"<br>(Karel Prikner)   | 283         |
| "The Cosmic Ray Event of January 24, 1971, and the Micropulsation Activity"<br>(Jagdish Chandra Gupta)  | 287         |
| "Cosmic Ray Event of January 24, 1971 and the Geomagnetic Variations"<br>(J. C. Gupta and E. I. Loomer)   | 290         |

\* Article covers both the January and September events.





# 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND DATA

by

Helen E. Coffey  
World Data Center A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics  
NOAA, Boulder, Colorado 80302

Since this report covers two separate cosmic ray events, we have tried to devote a separate section to each event. In some cases, however, comparisons of the two events were made, making it difficult to place the paper in a one event category. To avoid reproducing the same paper in both sections, these papers are found in the first section on the January event. An asterisk in the Table of Contents indicates a two event paper.

Data from earlier publications of "Solar-Geophysical Data" relating to the events are reproduced for the readers' convenience in the introductory paragraphs. For further explanations concerning these data, see the Descriptive Text, "Solar-Geophysical Data", Number 330 (Supplement), February, 1972.

## General Activity:

Solar activity on January 24, 1971 is shown in the solar maps in Figure 1 reprinted from the monthly publication "Solar-Geophysical Data". An historical account of the development of the region wherein the large flare occurred is given in Table 1.

Table 1

| McMATH REGION 11128 |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     |        | CMP DATE 21.3      |     |     |      |   |      |     |   |     |      |
|---------------------|----|----|--------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|--------|--------------------|-----|-----|------|---|------|-----|---|-----|------|
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     |        | CALCIUM PLAGE DATA |     |     |      |   |      |     |   |     |      |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     |        | SUNSPOT DATA       |     |     |      |   |      |     |   |     |      |
| YR                  | MO | DA | MC NO. | LAT | CMD | L   | AREA | INT | MW NO. | LAT                | CMD | L   | MAG. | H | AREA | CNT | C | INT | FLUX |
| 71                  | 1  | 14 | 11128  | N20 | E80 | 227 | 3000 | 3.0 | 18281  | N17                | E80 | 227 | BP   |   | 250  | 1   | H | 24  | 13   |
|                     |    | 15 | 11128  | N20 | E75 | 222 | 4700 | 3.5 | 18281  | N18                | E75 | 222 | (BP) | 5 | 630  | 21  | E | 35  | 19   |
|                     |    | 16 |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18281  | N18                | E58 | 225 | (BP) | 6 | 560  | 8   | E | 48  | 26   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N19                | E76 | 207 | (B)  | 2 |      |     |   |     |      |
|                     |    | 17 | 11128  | N20 | E46 | 224 | 5300 | 3.5 | 18281  | N19                | E48 | 222 | (BP) | 5 | 640  | 21  | E | 51  | 27   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N18                | E63 | 207 | (BF) | 1 |      |     |   |     |      |
|                     |    | 18 | 11128  | N20 | E32 | 224 | 5700 | 3.0 | 18281  | N19                | E35 | 222 | (D)  | 6 |      |     |   |     |      |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N19                | E46 | 211 | (B)  | 3 |      |     |   |     |      |
|                     |    | 19 | 11128  | N20 | E21 | 223 | 5600 | 3.5 | 18281  | N19                | E21 | 222 | (BP) | 6 | 930  | 46  | E | 54  | 29   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N19                | E32 | 211 | (B)  | 3 | 10   | 1   | A |     |      |
|                     |    | 20 | 11128  | N20 | E08 | 223 | 6000 | 3.5 | 18281  | N18                | E09 | 222 | (BY) | 6 | 990  | 36  | E | 47  | 25   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N18                | E20 | 211 | (B)  | 4 | 30   | 8   | D |     |      |
|                     |    | 21 |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18281  | N19                | W06 | 222 | (BP) | 6 | 640  | 45  | E | 39  | 20   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N19                | E06 | 210 | (BP) | 5 | 160  | 25  | D |     |      |
|                     |    | 22 | 11128  | N20 | W15 | 220 | 6200 | 3.5 | 18298  | N28                | W28 | 228 | (BP) | 1 | 0    | 3   | B | 38  | 20   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18281  | N19                | W28 | 228 | (BP) | 5 | 850  | 52  | E |     |      |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N19                | W12 | 212 | (BP) | 5 | 490  | 21  | D |     |      |
|                     |    | 23 | 11128  | N20 | W30 | 222 | 6000 | 3.5 | 18298  | N28                | W34 | 224 | (B)  | 1 |      |     |   | 34  | 18   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18281  | N19                | W34 | 224 | (BP) | 5 | 660  | 31  | D |     |      |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N20                | W23 | 213 | (BP) | 5 | 350  | 20  | D |     |      |
|                     |    | 24 | 11128  | N20 | W45 | 220 | 5800 | 3.5 | 18298  | N24                | W59 | 233 | (BP) | 1 |      |     |   | 62  | 32   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18281  | N19                | W49 | 223 | (BP) | 5 | 410  | 22  | D |     |      |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N20                | W38 | 212 | (B)  | 4 | 300  | 5   | D |     |      |
|                     |    | 25 | 11128  | N20 | W60 | 222 | 5700 | 3.5 | 18298  | N22                | W70 | 234 | (B)  | 1 |      |     |   | 23  | 12   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18281  | N18                | W59 | 223 | (BP) | 5 | 480  | 8   | E |     |      |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N19                | W49 | 213 | (B)  | 4 | 60   | 1   | H |     |      |
|                     |    | 26 |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18298  | N22                | W83 | 232 | BF   |   |      |     |   | 24  | 12   |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18281  | N18                | W75 | 224 | (BP) | 4 | 230  | 7   | E |     |      |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N20                | W64 | 213 | (BP) | 4 | 50   | 1   | H |     |      |
|                     |    | 27 | 11128  | N18 | W80 | 217 | 4000 | 3.5 | 18281  | N19                | W90 | 224 | AF   |   | 90   | 1   | H | 13  | 7    |
|                     |    |    |        |     |     |     |      |     | 18284  | N20                | W83 | 217 | BP   |   | 10   | 1   | H |     |      |

JANUARY 24, 1971




UNIV. COLLEGE LONDON  
LEICESTER UNIV.

( $P = -8.54$ ,  $B_0 = -5.38$ ,  $L_0 = 186.75$ )

PROSPECT HILL  
AFCRL

MT. WILSON

DELTA $\gamma$  = 17.5  
DELTA $\alpha$  = 14.4



2007-2051 UT

1627 UT

18.61-20.01 UT






Fig. 1. Solar maps for January 24, 1971.

The final Relative Sunspot Numbers ( $R_z$ ) and the observed 2800 MHz flux ( $S_a$ ) for the period January 21-30, 1971 are given below; the monthly means for January are:  $R_z = 91.3$ ,  $S_a = 170.1$ .

|        | $R_z$ | $S_a$                |        | $R_z$ | $S_a$ |
|--------|-------|----------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Jan 21 | 131   | 178.7                | Jan 26 | 109   | 164.9 |
| 22     | 125   | 180.6                | 27     | 121   | 166.7 |
| 23     | 120   | 182.9 (month's peak) | 28     | 109   | 166.2 |
| 24     | 120   | 177.0                | 29     | 108   | 160.7 |
| 25     | 112   | 168.6                | 30     | 95    | 163.0 |

Shortly before the cosmic ray ground level increase, a confirmed grouped flare with two brightenings occurred, Group 36350, with maxima at 2331 and 2316 UT on January 24 and 0250 UT on January 25 (in time order as published in "Solar-Geophysical Data"):

| Universal Time |      |              | Location       |              |                     | Duration<br>Min | Importance | Meas. Area<br>Sq. Deg. | Remarks    |
|----------------|------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------|------------|
| Start          | End  | Max<br>Phase | Approx.<br>Lat | Mer<br>Dist. | Central<br>Distance |                 |            |                        |            |
| 2215           | 0020 | 2331         | N18            | W49          | 0.806               | 125             | 3B         | 18.77                  | FKU 2213   |
| 2309           | 0024 | 2316         | N19            | W50          | 0.818               | 75              | *1B        | 3.92                   | U 2223     |
| 2346           | 0324 | 0250         | N19            | W51          | 0.827               | 218             | *3F        | 8.31                   | EFIJU 4115 |

\* Second Brightening

where in Remarks:

E = Two or more brilliant points  
 F = Several eruptive centers  
 I = Very extensive active region  
 J = Plage with flare shows marked intensity variations  
 K = Several intensity maxima  
 U = Close and somewhat parallel bright filaments (|| or Y shape).

The first number represents the number of stations reporting. The second and third numbers give the weighting functions for the average importance and the average measured area. The fourth number is the number of stations reporting at the time of the event.

Sudden ionospheric disturbances during this time were:

| Jan | Universal Time |      |      | Imp. | Wide<br>Spread<br>Index | Number of Station Reports by Type |      |     |     |            |     |     |
|-----|----------------|------|------|------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|
|     | Start          | End  | Max  |      |                         | SWF                               | SCNA | SEA | SPA | LF-<br>SPA | SES | SFD |
| 24  | 2038           | 2146 | 2104 | 2-   | 5                       | 1                                 |      | 3   | 5   | 1          | 3   |     |
|     | 2310           | 2358 | 2327 | 2+   | 5                       | 5                                 | 1    |     | 7   | 4          | 4   |     |
| 25  | 0927           | 0942 | 0934 | 1-   | 1                       |                                   |      |     | 1   |            |     |     |

Spectral observations at the time of the event are given in Table 2.

Table 2

Table 2

| JAN 1971 | TIMES OF OBSERVATION |        | STATION | EVENTS           |          |        |                 |          |        |             |          |        |                 |      |   | SPECTRAL TYPE |
|----------|----------------------|--------|---------|------------------|----------|--------|-----------------|----------|--------|-------------|----------|--------|-----------------|------|---|---------------|
|          |                      |        |         | CENTIMETRIC BAND |          |        | DECIMETRIC BAND |          |        | METRIC BAND |          |        | DEKAMETRIC BAND |      |   |               |
|          | START UT             | END UT |         | INT.             | START UT | END UT | INT.            | START UT | END UT | INT.        | START UT | END UT | INT.            |      |   |               |
| 24       | 2022                 | 2400   | CULG    |                  |          |        | 2037.5          | 2038     | 1      |             |          |        |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        | 2044            | 2052     | 2      |             |          |        |                 |      |   | IIIGG         |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        | 2045            | 2052     | 1      | 2048        | 2050     | 1      |                 |      |   | I             |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2113        |          | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIB,W        |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2134.5      |          | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIB,W        |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2136        | 2136.5   | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIG,U        |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2136        |          | 2      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2140        | 2141     | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2140        | 2141     | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIG,W        |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2146        | 2240     | 1      |                 |      |   | IN            |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        | 2220            | 2355     | 1      | 2240        | 2359     | 1      |                 |      |   | I             |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        | 2225.5          |          | 1      |             |          |        |                 |      |   | IIIB,W        |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2231        |          | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIB,W        |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2234.5      | 2235     | 2      |                 |      |   | IIIG,U        |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2235        |          | 3      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        | 2306            | 2318     | 3      | 2307        | 2324     | 3      |                 |      |   | IIIGG         |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        | 2307            | 2325     | 1      | 2307        | 2325     | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIGG,U       |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        |             |          |        | 2310            |      |   | SWF           |
|          |                      |        | CULG    | 2310             | 2345     | 1      | 2310            | 0050     | 1      |             |          |        |                 |      |   | IV            |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        | 2310            | 2359     | 3      | 2314        | 2359     | 3      |                 |      |   | IV            |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        | 2310.5          | 2312     | 1      |             |          |        |                 |      |   | UNCLF         |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        | 2315.5          | 2353     | 3      | 2320.5      | 2353     | 2      |                 |      |   | IIH           |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2315.6      | 2342     | 3      | 2320            | 2335 |   | I             |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2320        | 0250     | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIGG         |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        | 2327            | 2329     | 3      | 2327        | 2329     | 3      |                 |      |   | I             |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 2340        | 0300     | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIGG,RO      |
|          |                      |        | HARV    |                  |          |        | 2347.5          | 2350.5   | 1      | 2343        | 2345     | 2      | 2343            | 2345 | 2 | IIIG          |
| 25       | 0000                 | 0725   | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0009        | 0725     | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIN,RS,DP    |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0048        | 0050.5   | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0116.5      | 0118.5   | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0120.5      |          | 2      |                 |      |   | IIIB,U        |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0129.5      | 0130     | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0134        | 0136     | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0134.5      | 0135     | 2      |                 |      |   | IIIG,U        |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0135.5      | 0136     | 2      |                 |      |   | IIIG,U        |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0246        |          | 2      |                 |      |   | IIIB          |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        | 0517.5          | 0518     | 1      | 0329        |          | 2      | 0329            |      |   | IIIB          |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0554        | 0555     | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | CULG    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0633        | 0634     | 2      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        | WEIS    |                  |          |        |                 |          |        | 0835.0      | 1025.0   | 1      |                 |      |   | IIIG          |
|          |                      |        |         |                  |          |        |                 |          |        |             |          |        |                 |      |   | IS            |

Table 3 gives the outstanding solar radio emission occurrences during the cosmic ray ground level event.

Table 3

| JAN 1971  | FREQUENCY STATION | TYPE   | STARTING | TIME OF | DURATION | FLUX DENSITY                               |        | INT | REMARKS |
|-----------|-------------------|--------|----------|---------|----------|--|--------|-----|---------|
|           |                   |        | TIME     | MAXIMUM |          | $10^{-22} \text{ Wm}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ |        |     |         |
|           |                   |        | UT       | UT      | MINUTES  | PEAK                                       | MEAN   |     |         |
| 24        | 9400 HUAN         | 5      | 2035     | 2048.4  | 90       | 31.8                                       | 18.7   |     |         |
|           | 8800 SGMR         | 22     | 2036     | 2048.3  | 28.4     | 22.0                                       | 5.5    |     |         |
|           | 4995 SGMR         | 22     | 2036.2   | 2047.5  | 31.3     | 67.6                                       | 16.4   |     |         |
|           | 2800 OTTA         | 21     | 2036     | 2230    | 180 D    | 18.0                                       |        |     |         |
|           | 2695 SGMR         | 22     | 2037     | 2047.5  | 36       | 42.9                                       | 10.7   |     |         |
|           | 2800 OTTA         | 4      | 2040     | 2047.5  | 15       | 52.0                                       | 26.0   |     |         |
|           | 960 PENN          | 45     | 2042.1   | 2045.5  |          | 25.9                                       |        |     |         |
|           | 2695 BOUL         | 40     | 2044     | 2049    | 14       |  |        |     |         |
|           | 10700 PENN        | 3      | 2045.3   | 2048.7  | 11       | 13.9                                       | 7.4    | 2   |         |
|           | 4995 BOUL         | 3      | 2046.5   | 2049    | 10       |  |        | 1   |         |
|           | 1415 SGMR         | 1      | 2047.1   | 2048.2  | 7.9      | 5.5  | 1.4    |     |         |
|           | 606 SGMR          | 4      | 2047     | 2047.4  | 7.2      | 13.5                                       | 3.8    |     |         |
|           | 408 SANM          | 45     | 2049.4   | 2049.7  | 2.4      | 67.0                                       | 13.5   |     |         |
|           | 2800 OTTA         | 29     | 2055     |         | 65       | 24.0                                       | 11.0   |     |         |
|           | 18 MCMA           | 41     | 2131     | 2137    | 9        |  |        |     |         |
|           | 200 HIRA          | 45     | 2233.8   | 2233.8  | .5       | 830.0                                      | 410.0  | 1   |         |
|           | 4995 MANI         | 47     | 2303.8   | 2323.2  | 29.1     | 6300.0                                     | 2500.0 |     |         |
|           | 3750 TYKW         | 47     | 2303     | 2324    | 52       | 3540.0                                     | 850.0  |     |         |
|           | 2695 PENT         |        | 2303     | 2324    | 33 D     | 2375.0                                     |        |     |         |
|           | 2695 MANI         | 47     | 2303.8   | 2323.2  | 29.1     | 2300.0                                     | 1280.0 |     |         |
|           | 2665 CRON         | 4      | 2303.5   | 2324    | 91.5     |  |        |     |         |
|           | 2000 TYKW         | 47     | 2303     | 2324    | 57       | 1270.0                                     | 390.0  | 3   |         |
|           | 9400 TYKW         | 47     | 2304     | 2322.4  | 51       | 6900.0                                     | 1400.0 |     |         |
|           | 4995 CRON         | 41     | 2304     | 2323.5  | 83.5     |  |        |     |         |
|           | 1415 MANI         | 47     | 2304.9   | 2320.3  | 28       | 640.0                                      | 460.0  | 3   |         |
|           | 1000 TYKW         | 45     | 2304     | 2322.1  | 55       | 810.0                                      | 250.0  |     |         |
|           | 500 HIRA          | 45     | 2304.7   | 2322.5  | 80       | 650.0                                      | 100.0  |     |         |
|           | 4995 BOUL         | 45     | 2305.5   | 2324.5  | 41.5D    |  |        | 3   |         |
|           | 2695 BOUL         | 45     | 2305     | 2325    | 41.5D    |  |        | 3   |         |
|           | 1420 CRON         | 4      | 2305     | 2325    | 54.5     |  |        | 3   |         |
|           | 200 HIRA          | 45     | 2305     | 2320    | 70       | 1000.0                                     | 100.0  |     |         |
|           | 1420 BOUL         | 47     | 2306     | 2325    | 40 D     |  |        |     |         |
|           | 8800 MANI         | 47     | 2307.6   | 2323.1  | 25.3     | 9100.0                                     | 3300.0 | 2   |         |
|           | 606 MANI          | 47     | 2307.6   | 2322.2  | 25.1     | 1330.0                                     | 540.0  |     |         |
|           | 208 VORO          | 45     | 2315     | 2320    | 28       | 580.0                                      | 188.0  |     |         |
|           | 606 MANI          | 30     | 2323.7   | 2323.7  | 112.8    | 280.0                                      | 102.0  |     |         |
| 8800 MANI | 29                | 2332.9 | 2332.9   | 95.3    | 1000.0   | 360.0                                      |        |     |         |
| 4995 MANI | 29                | 2332.9 | 2332.9   | 95.3    | 1040.0   | 310.0                                      |        |     |         |
| 2695 MANI | 29                | 2332.9 | 2332.9   | 95.3    | 770.0    | 285.0                                      |        |     |         |

Table 3 continued

| JAN<br>1971 | FREQUENCY STATION | TYPE | STARTING<br>TIME | TIME OF<br>MAXIMUM | DURATION | FLUX DENSITY<br>$10^{-22} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ |       | INT | REMARKS |
|-------------|-------------------|------|------------------|--------------------|----------|---|-------|-----|---------|
|             |                   |      | UT               | UT                 | MINUTES  | PEAK  | MEAN  |     |         |
| 25          | 1415 MANI         | 29   | 2332.9           | 2332.9             | 103.6    | 480.0   | 196.0 | 2   |         |
|             | 606 MANI          | 4    | 2349             | 2350               | 2.8      | 127.0   | 64.0  |     |         |
|             | 9400 TYKW         | 29   | 2355             |                    | 225      | 185.0   | 50.0  |     |         |
|             | 3750 TYKW         | 29   | 2355             |                    | 225      | 140.0   | 40.0  |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 29   | 2359             |                    | 175      | 15.0  | 7.0   |     |         |
|             | 2000 TYKW         | 29   | 2400             |                    | 180      | 30.0  | 14.0  |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 45   | --               | 0020               | 24       | 35.0  | 14.0  |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 5    | 0026             | 0030.5             | 8        | 12.0  | 5.0   |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 5    | 0038             | 0039.6             | 4        | 6.0   | 3.0   |     |         |
|             | 2000 TYKW         | 5    | 0047             | 0048.6             | 5        | 29.0  | 7.0   |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 5    | 0047             | 0048.7             | 8        | 80.0  | 13.0  |     |         |
|             | 1420 CRON         | 8    | 0048             | 0049.5             | 4        |   |       |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 45   | 0057             | 0058.5             | 6        | 16.0  | 5.0   |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 5    | 0108             | 0111               | 22       | 12.0  | 5.0   |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 45   | 0130             | 0148.5             | 22       | 14.0  | 5.0   |     |         |
|             | 606 MANI          | 22   | 0153.2           | 0157.9             | 10.8     | 17.0  | 5.3   |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 45   | 0154             | 0157.5             | 35       | 42.0  | 13.0  |     |         |
|             | 606 MANI          | 4    | 0242.5           | 0246.3             | 6.2      | 18.1  | 5.7   |     |         |
|             | 9400 TYKW         | 45   | 0254             | 0254.2             | 3        | 28.0  | 9.0   |     |         |
|             | 8800 MANI         | 4    | 0254             | 0254.2             | 2.2      | 18.6  | 7.4   |     |         |
|             | 4995 MANI         | 4    | 0254             | 0254.2             | 2.2      | 11.2  | 5.6   |     |         |
|             | 3750 TYKW         | 45   | 0254             | 0254.2             | 4        | 8.0   | 3.0   |     |         |
|             | 1000 TYKW         | 5    | 0309             | 0313               | 15       | 14.0  | 7.0   |     |         |
|             | 221 ABST          | 41   | 0700             |                    | 120      | 9.0   |       |     |         |
|             | 204 KIEV          | 44   | 0700 E           |                    | 420 D    | 87.0  | 16.0  |     |         |
|             | 200 GORK          | 44   | 0700 E           |                    | 135      |   | 40.0  |     |         |
|             | 100 GORK          | 44   | 0700 E           |                    | 162      |   | 25.0  |     |         |
|             | 600 UCCL          | 1    | 0806             | 0806.2             | .8       | 7.0   | 4.0   |     |         |
|             | 260 ONDR          | 41   | 0810             | 1059               | 370      | 40.0  |       |     |         |
|             | 1490 BERL         | 22   | 0819.5           | 0836.5             | 25.5U    | 10.0  | 3.1   |     |         |
|             | 600 UCCL          | 20   | 0851.8           | 0922.5             | 97       | 13.0  | 5.0   |     |         |
|             | 100 GORK          | 6    | 0900 E           | 0907               | .9E      | 700.0   | 150.0 |     |         |
|             | 200 GORK          | 44   | 0915             |                    | 195 D    |   | 25.0  |     |         |
|             | 100 GORK          | 44   | 0942             |                    | 189 D    |   | 5.0   |     |         |
|             | 650 GORK          | 21   | 0919.2           |                    | 48       |   | 2.0   |     |         |
|             | 420 KIEL          | 48   |                  | 1003               | 60       | 260.0   | 150.0 |     |         |
|             | 408 TRST          | 47   | 0920             | 1003.5             | 60       | 230.0   |       |     |         |
|             | 650 GORK          | 3    | 0927.5           | 0929.9             | 6.4      | 22.0  | 9.5   |     |         |
|             | 600 UCCL          | 3    | 0927.8           | 0930.5             | 7.5      | 65.0  | 30.0  |     |         |
|             | 536 ONDR          | 45   | 0927.5           | 0930               | 10       | 180.0   |       |     |         |
|             | 2950 GORK         | 1    | 0928.3           | 0928.6             | 1        | 6.0   | 3.0   |     |         |

Explorers 33 and 35 2-12 Å X-ray data for January 24 are also listed:

University of Iowa

| Date<br>1971 | Onset<br>U.T. | Maximum<br>U.T. | Peak-Ratio<br>to Quiet Sun | Remarks and<br>Values of Maximum Flux<br>$F(2-12 \text{ Å})$<br>in $\text{erg (cm}^2 \text{ sec)}^{-1}$ |
|--------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---|
| 24 January   | --            | 1729            | 4-                         | Onset not observed  |
|              | 1807          | 1827            | 4                          |   |
|              | 2034          | 2105            | 4                          |   |
|              | 2307          | 2329            | 60                         |   |
|              |               |                 |                            | 0.190<br>Major electron, proton,<br>alpha particle event  |

Magnetograms of geomagnetic storms on January 27-28, 1971 associated with the January 24 event are shown in Figure 2. Stations included are Leirvogur, Great Whale River, Meanook, College, Kakioka, Tangerang, San Juan and Honolulu.

# MAGNETOGRAMS OF GEOMAGNETIC STORMS

27-28 JANUARY 1971

LT = UT - X  
X




Fig. 2.

2. SOLAR REGION OF JANUARY 1971  
Evolution of Solar Active Regions ( $H\alpha$  and K Faculae and Spots)

by

G. Godoli, V. Sciuto, M. L. Sturiale and R. A. Zappala  
Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania  
Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italia

Three main separate active regions were present on the solar disk during the 24 January 1971 event.

These regions are the McMath region 11128 located at N20 and Carrington longitude 220 degrees, the McMath region 11129 located at N12 and Carrington longitude 189 degrees and the McMath region 11130 located at S14 and Carrington longitude 186 degrees.

In Figures 1a and 1b daily maps of  $H\alpha$  and K faculae associated with these regions are shown.

In Figures 2a and 2b the daily maps of sunspots associated with these faculae are shown.

The maps of  $H\alpha$  faculae were drawn using a A600 Durst projector from the heliograms of the Catania  $H\alpha$  patrol and of the Rome Photographic Journal of the Sun. In the Catania  $H\alpha$  patrol one heliogram is made each five minutes with filters fed by a single aspherical lens (15 cm/222 cm) from 75 minutes after sunrise to sunset. Beginning with May 1971 a Zeiss filter is used. The filtergrams are 20.5-21 mm in diameter. The maps were drawn at 150 mm and reduced photographically.

The maps of K faculae were drawn, using the same projector, from the Catania K spectroheliograms and from the Rome Photographic Journal of the Sun. Catania spectroheliograms are taken daily with a spectroheliograph fed by the Steinheil refractor (33 cm/347 cm). The spectroheliograms are 31.5-32 mm in diameter. Also in this case the maps were drawn at 150 mm and reduced photographically.

The maps of sunspots were made using the same projector from the Catania white light patrol and from the Rome Photographic Journal of the Sun. In the Catania white light patrol one heliogram is made each hour with the Cooke refractor (15 cm/223 cm) using the GH 649 Kodak emulsion + OG2 Schott filter. The heliograms are 20.5-21 mm in diameter. The maps were drawn at 150 mm.

From the maps of  $H\alpha$  and K faculae the evolution curves of these phenomena were deduced (Figures 3, 4 and 5). The projected area  $A_p$  is given in  $10^{-4}$  of the solar disk. The corrected area  $A_c = 1/2 A_p \sec h$  is given in  $10^{-4}$  of the solar hemisphere.

McMath active region 11128 that appeared at the East limb on January 14, 1971 is that associated with the geophysical event of January 24.  $H\alpha$  and K faculae associated with this region are described in Figures 1 and 3 for four transits on the disk from December 18, 1970 to March 21, 1971.

The sunspot group associated with these faculae, described in Figure 2a, lasted three rotations from January 14, 1971 to March 21, 1971. The sunspot group according to Mt. Wilson Observatory was  $\beta p$  type on its appearance on January 14, 1971.

$H\alpha$  and K faculae associated with the McMath active region 11129 located at N12, 189 degrees are described in Figures 1 and 4 for three transits on the disk, from December 21, 1970 to February 26, 1971.

The sunspot group associated with these faculae, described in Figure 2b, was visible only during the transit from January 17, 1971 to January 29, 1971. The sunspot group according to Mt. Wilson Observatory was  $\beta p$  type on its appearance on January 17, 1971.

$H\alpha$  and K faculae associated with the McMath active region 11130 located at S14, 186 degrees are described in Figures 1 and 5 for three transits on the disk, from December 22, 1970 to February 26, 1971.

The sunspot group associated with these faculae described in Figure 2b lasted two rotations from January 17, 1971 to February 25, 1971. The sunspot group according to Mt. Wilson Observatory was  $\beta p$  type on its appearance on January 17, 1971.

We notice that as far as it concerns the facula and spot activity all three regions reached their maxima during the same transit. Moreover, around 23 or 24 January all three regions showed a peak of activity.




Fig. 1a Daily maps of H $\alpha$  and K faculae, Dec. 18, 1970 - Jan. 30, 1971, associated with the three main active regions present on the solar disk during the 24 January 1971 event.






Fig. 1b Daily maps of H $\alpha$  and K faculae, Feb. 11, 1971 - Mar. 21, 1971, associated with the three main active regions present on the solar disk during the 24 January 1971 event.




Fig. 2a Daily maps of the N19 sunspots during the three transits of this active region which was present on the solar disk during the 24 January 1971 event.




Fig. 2b Daily maps of the S12 and N10 sunspots during the transits of these active regions which were present on the solar disk during the 24 January 1971 event.





Fig. 3 Evolution curve of  $H\alpha$  and K facula at N20.




Fig. 4 Evolution curve of H $\alpha$  and K facula at N12.





Fig. 5 Evolution curve of H $\alpha$  and K facula at S14.

# Optical and Ground Level Phenomena Associated with the Cosmic Ray Increase of January 24, 1971

by


Marcos E. Machado  
Observatorio Nacional de Fisica Cosmica  
San Miguel, Argentina

Several investigations have shown that the occurrence of flares, particularly those producing energetic proton emission, are intimately related to the strength and configuration of the magnetic field in the region of occurrence. They appear, in general, in those places where the magnetic field gradient increases, as has been shown by Severny and coworkers. On the basis of these results, Alfven and Carlqvist [1967] developed their theory of solar flares.

Even if no magnetic field measurements are available, as is the case at the San Miguel Observatory, one can estimate the possible occurrence of a solar flare by observing the sunspot configuration. During the days 20 to 24 January, 1971 we observed the evolution of the sunspot groups contained in the McMath Region 11128; the eastern group born on January 19 grew rapidly until January 24, the day when the proton flare occurred. Because of very bad weather conditions, we could not get white-light photographs again until January 30, and thus were not able to investigate the later evolution of the sunspot group. See Figure 1.

As mentioned above, we have no magnetic measurements of the region. However, it was considered very probable that the two sunspots, each belonging to a different group, yet having on January 24 a common penumbra, would have different polarities, thereby constituting a  $\delta$  configuration. The approaching of such regions produces an increase in the magnetic field gradient, propitious for the appearance of energetic flares.

Calling 128A the older region and 128B the one born on January 19, we can show the evolution of the sunspot schematically in the following way:



The rate of approach is measured from the decreasing distance between the leading sunspot of the group 128B and the follower of group 128A; these collided on January 24. The development of 128B was measured and is tabulated in Table 1.

Table 1

Measured Area of the Sunspot Group 11128 and of the Leading Sunspot in 128B


| Day | Total Area | Leading Sunspot in 128B** |          |       |
|-----|------------|---------------------------|----------|-------|
|     |            | Total                     | Penumbra | Umbra |
| 20  | 1384       | 88                        | 73       | 15    |
| 21* | 1319       | 240                       | 149      | 91    |
| 22  | 1424       | 297                       | 212      | 85    |
| 23* | 1212       | 248                       | 194      | 54    |
| 24  | 2016       | 215                       | 161      | 54    |

\* Poor quality plates.

\*\* Area measured in millionths of solar hemisphere.


We can see that the time when the flare occurred was not the time of maximum area covered by the leading sunspot in 128B. This fact is in accordance with the conclusions of Martres *et al.* [1968], who determined that more flares generally appear when the sunspot configuration and area varies,

(a)




Jan. 20  
1253 UT

(b)



Jan. 22  
1229 UT

(c)



Jan. 24  
1340 UT

Fig. 1. White-light photographs showing the evolution of the sunspot region 11128.



with no correlation with the maximum area of the group. We believe, in fact, that the conspicuous phenomenon related to the proton flare of January 24 is the approach of the two sunspots.

The arrival of charged particles, with energies of a few hundred Mev's produced by the flare could be detected by a super neutron monitor, type 6-NM-64, at the Scientific Base General Belgrano in the Antarctic Region. In Figure 2 we see simultaneous observations made at the Antarctic base and at Buenos Aires with the 18-NM-64 monitor of the Institute of Astronomy and Space Physics (IAFE). They show significant differences. The rigidity cut-off of Base General Belgrano and Buenos Aires are 0.75 GV and 10.6 GV, respectively. The lack of recorded increase in other than the high geomagnetic latitude observatory shows that the particle energies were not very great.




Fig. 2. Simultaneous neutron monitor observations at Buenos Aires and Base General Belgrano. (By courtesy of H.S. Ghielmetti and J. Sahade [Ghielmetti, 1971]). The maximum occurred very close to 0000 UT, Jan. 25, 1971.

Svestka [1970] and Najita and Orrall [1970] have shown that the penetration of very energetic particles into the lowest chromospheric and upper photospheric layers of the Sun can explain the continuous emissions of flares in the optical and UV region. This hypothesis is strongly supported by the analysis made by Machado [1971] of line intensities in white-light flare spectra.

The white-light emission only occurs when the particle spectra is very hard. Both protons and electrons might participate in this process, but the electrons will, in general, lose their energy very rapidly due to synchrotron emission. However, if the electron distribution is very anisotropic, they could play an important role in this process as has been studied by Syrovatskii and Shmeleva [1971] and mentioned by Svestka [1971].

With the observed range of energies in the proton emission, white-light flare emission would be produced with a very hard power-law spectra ( $\gamma \approx 2$ ) which has been observed in some cases [see e.g. Svestka, 1971].

We have no knowledge of any white-light observations of this flare, but we would not be surprised if no such emission were observed, since a very large proton flare on September 2, 1966 with  $\gamma > 4$  in the energy range above 100 Mev [Svestka and Simon, 1969] subsequently showed no white-light emission.

## Acknowledgements

I wish to thank very much Lic. H. Ghielmetti for the neutron monitor data and for helpful discussions in its respect. My thanks are also due to Dr. J. J. Hennessey, S.J. from Manila Observatory, for sending me a copy of their H $\alpha$  plate of the flare. Finally, I wish to express my thanks to Mr. M. Peralta from our Observatory for his great help in the reduction of data.

## REFERENCES

- |  |      |  |
|--|------|--|
| ALFVEN, H. and<br>P. CARLQVIST                                       | 1967 | Currents in the Solar Atmosphere and a Theory of Solar Flares, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>1</u> , 220-228.  |
| GHIEMETTI, H. S.   | 1971 | Cosmic Ray Increases at the Antarctic Base of General Belgrano, <u>Inform. Bull. Southern Hemisphere</u> , <u>19</u> , 32-33.                        |
| MACHADO, M. E.   | 1971 | Evidence for the Photospheric Origin of the Flare Optical Continuum, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>17</u> , 389-391.                                       |
| MARTRES, M.-J.,<br>R. MICHARD,<br>I. SORU-ISCOVICI and<br>T. T. TSAP | 1968 | Etude de la localisation des eruptions dans la structure magnetique evolutive des regions actives solaires, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>5</u> , 187-206. |
| NAJITA, K. and<br>F. Q. ORRALL                                       | 1970 | White Light Events as Photospheric Flares, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>15</u> , 176-194.   |
| SVESTKA, Z.  | 1970 | The Phase of Particle Acceleration in the Flare Development, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>13</u> , 471-489.   |
| SVESTKA, Z. and<br>P. SIMON  | 1969 | Proton Flare Project, 1966, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>10</u> , 3-59.   |
| SYROVATSKII, S. I. and<br>O. P. SHMELEVA                             | 1971 | Heating of Plasma by Energetic Electrons and Non-Thermal Emission in Solar Flares, <u>P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute</u> , Preprint.               |

# Sunspots and H-Alpha Plage Associated with the GLE Event of 24 January 1971


by

Patrick S. McIntosh  
NOAA Environmental Research Laboratories  
Boulder, Colorado 80302

The identification of the active region source for the energetic particle event on 24 January 1971 is without question the complex active center then located at N19 W49 (McMath #11128). The flare at 2303 U.T. (start of major radio bursts) [Solar-Geophysical Data] was isolated in time from any other major events and the arrival of the ground-level energetic particles occurred less than an hour after the beginning of the optical event [Solar-Geophysical Data]. This report presents the daily photographs of the sunspot group and H-alpha plage with only a minimal analysis of the evolution of the active region.


It has been repeatedly established that major solar flares prefer to occur in active regions with complex sunspot and magnetic field configurations. More specifically, proton flares and, by close association, flares with type IV radio bursts prefer regions that were formed by the blending of two or more sunspot groups [Kopecký and Krivský, 1966; Antalová, 1967; Kleczek and Olmr, 1967]. The closer the two groups occur, the more likely they will create a complex magnetic configuration and produce a great flare [Martres, 1968; Krivský and Obridko, 1969]. McIntosh and Donnelly [1970] found that five well-observed white light flares all occurred close to the line of magnetic polarity reversal situated between sunspot groups that were in the process of colliding. All of these flares were also proton flares. To this writer's knowledge the flare of 24 January 1971 was not observed in white light, yet the evolution of the active region closely resembled the evolutions of white-light flare sunspot groups. The discontinuous nature of white light patrol observations allows that this flare might have produced white light emission.

McMath Region #11128 was located at N19 and Carrington longitudes from 205 to 230 degrees. These heliographic coordinates have been outstanding for producing great active centers throughout this solar cycle, although there have been lengthy periods when little or no activity was present at this location




14 Jan. 1971

1548 U.T.



15 Jan.

2120 U.T.



16 Jan.

1740 U.T.



Fig. 1 The east-limb passage of McMath region #11128 as seen in white light from Sacramento Peak Observatory patrol films (left) and the new Sacramento Peak Vacuum Tower Telescope (above). The tower operated at 20-in aperture while the patrol was with a 6-in lens. Note the small bi-polar spot group just east of the main group on 16 January, best seen in the Tower photograph above taken at about 1700 U.T.




Fig. 2 Sacramento Peak white light photographs of McMath region #11128 during period just prior to outbreak of vigorous new sunspot group at following end of region. Note the variability of the small spots trailing the region, the rotation of the largest follower spot, and the growth of numerous small spots and penumbra in the northern part of the trailer. The fine structure on the 19th indicates strong twisting of magnetic field patterns near the line of polarity reversal.

on the sun. These coordinates were the first to produce a great complex of two or more active centers during this cycle, and from May, 1965 through January, 1966 no other location on the sun competed with this area in terms of flares and active centers. Memorable regions at this location include the proton-flare groups of July, 1966, May, 1967, and March, 1970. Clearly, this has been one of the preferred active longitudes during the past decade.

The appearance of McMath Region #11128 at east limb on 14 January 1971 did not represent a returning region, although it appeared among some remnants of old plages #11089 and 11091. The sunspot group (Figure 1) already was large and complex. The presence of two large, symmetric leader spots, both of the proper leading (negative) polarity for the northern hemisphere in cycle 20, suggests that already the region was the product of at least two regions having merged. An additional, very small bipolar group trailed the large region. This small group was quite variable in the number and position of spots during the period from east limb (16 January) until the emergence at this position of a strong bipolar set of spots on 20 January (Figure 3).

The area between the twin leaders and the large follower spot in the original group contained large amounts of penumbra associated with many small umbrae, some within and many outside the patches of penumbra. These features changed rapidly from day to day. On 19 January the fine structure of this region was recorded at high resolution at Sacramento Peak (Figure 2) and showed evidence of strong twisting of magnetic field lines in the vicinity of the line of magnetic polarity reversal. Maps of the magnetic field of this region occur elsewhere in this compilation, but the basic magnetic field distribution can be successfully inferred from the H-alpha photographs of Figure 7. The plage corridor marking the line of polarity reversal exhibited a reversed S-shape winding from east of the twin leaders to a point north of the pair, then abruptly turning east and passing through the midst of the spots toward the top of the Sacramento Peak Vacuum Tower photo in Figure 2.

The character of the active center changed with the rapid growth of new spots and plage east of the large sunspots, beginning at about 0900 U.T. on 20 January. Large spots with only rudimentary penumbra appeared by 2025 U.T. (Figure 3) and the new group had become a large McIntosh-type Dai group by 1645 U.T. on the 21st (Figure 4). The high resolution enlargement in Figure 5 shows that the strong emerging magnetic fields caused an alignment of photospheric granules into lanes running from spots of one polarity to spots of the opposite polarity, mimicking the alignment of the arch-filament system observed in H-alpha at the same position, shown for 21 January in Figure 7. It appears that the size of the photospheric granules within the group was smaller than those lying outside the region.

It is normal behavior for the leader and following spots of a new sunspot group to diverge in longitude as the group ages. In the case of a new group emerging very near an older group, this divergence leads to a collision between the two groups [Martres, 1968]. Such a collision can be seen in Figure 6. The new eastern group reached maximum area on 22 January and the leading spot steadily moved westward until it collided with the followers of the large western group on 24 January. As with the white light flares reported by McIntosh and Donnelly [1970], the proton flare occurred on the day of closest approach of the two groups. The Sacramento Peak Vacuum Tower photograph for the 24th showed that "bridges" of penumbral filaments formed between the colliding spots some hours prior to the flare and the penumbra near the point of collision became darker than is normally observed for penumbra. Such dark penumbra has been noted previously for proton-flare sunspot groups, and in all cases the dark structures were associated with a line of polarity reversal during an interval of rapidly increasing gradient in the longitudinal magnetic field across that line [McIntosh, 1969a, 1969b, 1970].

A comparison of the photographs for 22 and 23 January in Figure 6 shows that both the leader and follower spots of the new group rotated through  $90^\circ$  during this day, as if a twisting of the magnetic fields from this group was associated with the imminent collision with the older group to the west. Conspicuous sunspot rotation was also observed prior to the collision of sunspot groups associated with the great white-light flare of 23 May 1967 [McIntosh, 1969b].

There is one important difference between the flare of 24 January 1971 and the white-light flares reported by McIntosh and Donnelly [1970]. The white light flares occurred within 10 arc seconds of the longitudinal neutral line that lay between the colliding groups. The proton flare on 24 January 1971 lay close to the neutral line within the large old group and west of the neutral line between the groups (see the photographs by McCabe elsewhere in this compilation). Thus, we may speculate that the collision of the two sunspot groups resulted in a deformation of the magnetic fields associated with the old western group such that energy was stored and released within the twisted field topology partially mapped in the sunspot fine structure of Figure 2.

The sunspot photographs on the days following the proton flare show an overall decay of the activity complex, except for the growth of new spots and penumbra immediately south and east of the original pair of leader spots. This new growth was centered on the longitudinal neutral line associated with the flare. Since the growth of these spots was already evident on photographs taken before the flare on 24 January, it seems likely that this growth represented emerging magnetic flux that may have triggered the instability leading to the release of flare energy. Could this sunspot growth be a direct result of the magnetic field deformation caused by the collision between the two sunspot groups?






Fig. 3 Sacramento Peak Vacuum Tower photo on 20 January 1971, 2025 U.T. A strong new bipolar spot group has just emerged at left.

30 ARC SEC




Fig. 4 Sacramento Peak Vacuum Tower photo for 21 January 1971 at 1645 U.T. The spot group at left continues rapid growth.






Fig. 5 A contrasty enlargement from Fig. 4 showing granulation, interior to the new and rapidly-growing group, aligned into chains running from spots of one polarity to spots of the opposite polarity.




22 Jan. 1971

1650 U.T.




25 Jan.

2100 U.T.




23 Jan.

1805 U.T.




26 Jan.

1532 U.T.




24 Jan.

1535 U.T.



27 Jan.

1511 U.T.



24 Jan. 1971 1648 U.T.

The leader spot of the new bipolar group (far left) is in the process of "colliding" with the follower spot of the older group. Note the bridges of penumbra spanning the narrow gap between them. The penumbra of the old follower spot appears darker than normal. Sacramento Peak Vacuum Tower photograph.

Fig. 6 Passage of McMath region #11128 over the western part of the solar disk, showing the approach and collision of the new bipolar spot group with the older region. Note the  $90^\circ$  rotations of the leader and follower spots of the new group between the 22nd and 23rd. Small photos are from Sac Peak white-light patrol.





Fig. 7 The disk passage of McMath region #11128 as compiled from patrol filtergrams taken with a  $\frac{1}{2}$ -Angstrom bandpass filter tuned to the H-alpha line. All of the pictures except the one from Boulder were taken by the U. S. Air Force solar observatory at Ramey Air Force Base, Puerto Rico. Note in the center column the emergence and rapid growth of new plage and spots at the following end of the region. The merging of this area with the original plage leads to the heating of the chromosphere between them, as seen in the righthand column. The proton flare occurred late on 24 January in this area of "collision."





This report was greatly assisted by the generous sharing of observations by Dr. David Rust of the Sacramento Peak Observatory, Joe Hirman of the NOAA observing staff at the NASA S.P.A.N. observatory on Gran Canary Island, and by Capt. Jimmie Smith, USAF Officer In Charge at the 4th Weather Wing solar observatory at Ramey Air Force Base, Puerto Rico.

#### REFERENCES

- |                                     |       |   |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---|
| ANTALOVÁ, A.                        | 1967  | The photospheric situation connected with the development of flares accompanied by the type IV radio bursts, <u>Bull. Astron. Inst. Czech.</u> , <u>18</u> , 61.                          |
| KLECZEK, J. and OLMR, J.            | 1967  | Type IV bursts and associated active regions, <u>Bull. Astron. Inst. Czech.</u> , <u>18</u> , 68.   |
| KOPECKÝ, M. and KŘIVSKÝ, L.         | 1966  | Proton flares and types of spot groups in the 11-yr cycle, <u>Bull. Astron. Inst. Czech.</u> , <u>17</u> , 360.   |
| KŘIVSKÝ, L. and OBRIDKO, V.         | 1969  | Large-scale mutual relations of spot groups in proton complex, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>6</u> , 418.   |
| MARTRES, M. J.                      | 1968  | Origine des régions actives solaires 'anomales', IAU Symposium 35 (Structure and Development of Solar Active Regions), edited by K. O. Kiepenheuer, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, 25-32. |
| McINTOSH, P. S.                     | 1969a | Birth and development of the sunspot group associated with the proton flare of July 1966, <u>Annals of the IQSY</u> , <u>3</u> , 40-43.   |
| McINTOSH, P. S.                     | 1969b | Sunspots associated with the proton flare of 23 May 1967, <u>World Data Center A, Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-5</u> , 14-19, February 1969.                                    |
| McINTOSH, P. S.                     | 1970  | Sunspots associated with the proton flares of late October 1968, <u>World Data Center A, Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-8</u> , 22-29, March 1970.                                |
| McINTOSH, P. S. and DONNELLY, R. F. | 1970  | Relationships among white-light flares, magnetic fields, and EUV bursts, <u>Bull. Amer. Astron. Soc.</u> , <u>2</u> , 330.  |
|                                     | 1971  | <u>Solar-Geophysical Data</u> , 323 Part II; 319 Part I, U.S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A., 80302).   |

# H-Alpha Synoptic Chart for January 1971

by

Patrick S. McIntosh

NOAA Environmental Research Laboratories  
Boulder, Colorado 80302

The large-scale distribution of solar magnetic fields is mapped in considerable detail by the position of filaments and filament channels observed in good-quality H-alpha filtergrams [McIntosh, 1970, 1972a]. The synoptic chart of the entire solar surface for the solar rotation centered on the disk passage of McMath Region #11128 is presented below. This chart is an early version of the charts that are now being used to derive more detailed magnetic field information for periods when magnetographs were operating at low resolution, or not operating at all [McIntosh, 1972b]. The definition of the cellular organizations of large-scale magnetic fields is better on these charts than on synoptic charts of measured magnetic fields, and the lines of polarity reversal can be traced continuously over much greater distances. Since the chart below was constructed during the early stages of work on the mapping procedures, it may be incomplete and perhaps inaccurate in some areas.

The area of interest for this compilation is located just right of center, with the colliding sunspot groups [see McIntosh, elsewhere in this compilation] at N19 and Carrington longitude 220°. The solid lines are the locations of filament channels and the dashed lines are areas of extrapolated, or estimated, polarity reversal.

The magnetic field flux imbalance (deficit?) computed for McMath Region #11128 [Rust, this compilation] might be explained by this synoptic chart. Rust measured an excess of flux of the negative polarity, presumably emanating from the large leader sunspots. Perhaps this flux excess existed in lines of force connecting the spots to the large-scale area of positive polarity immediately west of the active region.




Fig. 1 Synoptic chart of the total sun constructed by plotting positions of H-alpha features associated with lines of magnetic polarity reversal [from McIntosh, 1972a].

# REFERENCES

- |                 |       |   |
|-----------------|-------|---|
| McINTOSH, P. S. | 1970  | Techniques for inferring solar magnetic polarities from H-alpha observations, <u>AIAA Paper No. 70-1369</u> at AIAA Observation and Prediction of Solar Activity Conference, Huntsville, Alabama, November 16-18, 1970.                                 |
| McINTOSH, P. S. | 1972a | Large-scale solar magnetic fields and H-alpha patterns, paper presented at Asilomar Solar Wind Conference, Pacific Grove, California, March 21-26, 1971, <u>Solar Wind</u> , ed. C. P. Sonett, P. J. Coleman and J. M. Wilcox, NASA SP308, pp. 136-140. |
| McINTOSH, P. S. | 1972b | Solar magnetic fields derived from hydrogen-alpha filtergrams, Topical Review in <u>Rev. Geophys. and Space Phys.</u> , <u>11</u> , 837.  |
| RUST, D. M.     | 1972  | Solar magnetic fields in McMath Region #11128, this compilation,  |

## H $\alpha$ Observations of the Solar Flare, January 24-25, 1971

by  
Marie McCabe  
Institute for Astronomy  
University of Hawaii  
Honolulu, Hawaii

McMath Region 11128 was first seen at the East limb at 20°N on January 14, 1971, associated with a bipolar spot group. Three days later, a new H $\alpha$  plage appeared immediately East of this region and developed rapidly as the region crossed the disk. The two main spots of the new group separated from each other, the leading one approaching the following spot of the more mature group. By January 24th the region was at a mean distance of 45° west of the central meridian.

At Haleakala Observatory H $\alpha$  flare patrol observations using the 0.5Å H $\alpha$  filter were obtained during the following hours:

January 24: 1759-2039, 2122-2238, 2311-2400 UT  
January 25: 0001-0107 UT

The 10 cm Zeiss filter (0.25Å bandpass) telescope was in operation from 2323-2352 UT, with sets of exposures taken at 1 min intervals. Each set consisted of center-line and off-band ( $\pm 5/8\text{Å}$ ) frames of the active region. Figures 1 and 2 show selected frames from each of these telescopes, during the progress of the proton flare which was reported in "Solar-Geophysical Data" [1971] to have commenced at 2309 UT with a maximum at 2316 UT. Prior to the main event there were several other flares one of which is seen in the first set of exposures at 1833 UT (Figure 2); the off-band frames show the sun-spot configuration.

The flare occurred within the main spot group and showed filamentary structure which curved around and between the three larger spots, later obscuring the center one and covering part of the umbra of the leading one. There was minor activity in the following group at points a, b, and c (Fig. 2), commencing at 2322, 2325, and 2330 UT, respectively.

Our first observations show the flare consisting of a few bright patches which coalesced forming one long and one arch-shaped filament; the latter extended gradually along one side to become parallel to the former, by which time the characteristic shape of a proton producing flare is obvious. Scattered brightenings appeared to the south (d in Fig. 2) from 2324 UT, but there was no evidence of high velocities either along the solar surface or in the line of sight which would have indicated a spray-like feature. Some prominence activity was observed from around 2343 UT when the filament (e in Fig. 2) appeared in the off-band blue frames, while the center line pictures showed brightening along the eastern border of the filament for a few minutes.

Due to mostly poor seeing conditions, the resolution of these photographs is not very high, but a close examination reveals structure between the flare filaments which can be interpreted as a loop prominence system, commencing at about 2334 UT at line center. Exposures at 2345 UT (Fig. 2) show this feature. Region 11128 was too far on to the disk to show any material projected beyond the limb and we had no coronal observations from January 26-28 when the region crossed the limb. The only other activity on the disk during this flare consisted of the temporary appearance of two small plage regions south of the solar equator but at about the same longitude as 11128. One of these is marked f on the disk exposure in Figure 1 and was present from before 2311 UT; the other was a few degrees to the east and commenced at 2315 UT. They were both gone by 2330 UT.

The complex system of 80 MHz radio sources associated with the flare have been studied in detail by Riddle and Sheridan [1971]. The first type II burst was clearly associated with the commencement of the optical flare, but it is difficult to relate the second major event - type II and type IV, starting at about 2320 UT - to a specific optical feature.

The observations described above were obtained as a result of support received from NASA Contract NGL 12-001-011 and NSF grant GA 25903.

### REFERENCES

- |                                     |      |  |
|-------------------------------------|------|--|
| RIDDLE, A. C. and<br>K. V. SHERIDAN | 1971 | Evolution of a Jet-like Structure in the Late Phase of a Complex Solar Outburst, <u>Proc. Astron. Soc. Australia</u> , 2, 1, 62. (Reprinted on pages 93-97.) |
|                                     | 1971 | <u>Solar-Geophysical Data</u> , 323 Part II, U.S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302).   |




Fig. 1 - H $\alpha$  Flare Patrol Observations, Jan. 24-25, 1971.




Fig. 2 - On and Off-band ( $\pm 5/8\text{\AA}$ )  $\text{H}\alpha$  Exposures, Jan. 24, 1971.

## Development of Activity in McMath 11128 and the 24 January 1971 Flare

by

Harold Zirin  
Big Bear Solar Observatory, Hale Observatories  
California Institute of Technology  
Carnegie Institution of Washington

The development of McMath 11128 is a fascinating example of the role of magnetic field reconnection and solar activity. When this active region came over the limb on January 14, it was an ordinary active region. The sunspots in the leading part, consisting of two "p" spots ("p1" and "p2") and one "f" spot ("f1") remained almost identical for the entire period. However on January 19 a new sunspot group developed in the following part of the region and rapidly spread to overtake the "f" spot of the first group. In the subsequent reconnection of fields, a considerable amount of activity took place, finally leading up to the great flare of the 24th.

The material for our discussion is provided by coverage with four telescopes: two refractors at Big Bear which give large scale on-band and off-band H $\alpha$ ; a patrol refractor in Pasadena giving back-up coverage with a small image, and the Tel Aviv photoheliograph covering the Pasadena night. Fairly continuous coverage is available during the disk passage of the region.

The development of the region is shown in Figure 1. We number the "p" spots "p1" and "p2" in the leading group, and "p3" in the follower; "f1" in the lead group (best seen January 22) and "f3" in the follower.

The emerging flux region to be designated Mt. Wilson 18284 was first seen by us on the 19th at 0700 UT on the Tel Aviv films. Its further growth was followed at Tel Aviv on the 20th. We have no film on the 21st, but on the 22nd it could be seen as a rapidly expanding bipolar region. By that time the lead spot "p3" of 18284 had pushed deep into the "f" plage of 18281, almost touching the second "p" spot "p2" of that group.

On January 22nd "p3" had reconnected to the "f" plage of the older group. A number of bipolar flares took place this day, with branches in "p3" and "f1". Note that there was no cancellation between the colliding "p" and "f" polarity, just reconnection. In fact the "f" spot even grew a bit. At the same time the big filament curling around "p1" and "p2" curled into a much tighter arc as the "f" plage pushed forward. The region along the filament grew considerably brighter, until on the morning of the 24th the filament was completely surrounded by bright plage, a situation often preceding flares.

On the 23rd at 1929 UT a flare occurred with a "p" branch in the N arc of the filament and "f" branch near spot "f1". On the 24th the filament had also encroached on the penumbra of "p2" so that it was sharply cut off. A precursor flare occurred along the filament at 2046 UT, accompanied by an expulsion from the "p1" spot and an impulsive radio burst. At 2230 UT gradual brightening started all over the area. There was very little motion of the filament, which just appeared to fade out. This is verified by the simultaneous off-band ( $-1/2 \text{ \AA}$ ) films and a  $\lambda$  scan from  $-1$  to  $+1 \text{ \AA}$  at 2300 UT. The real brightening starts at 23 hr 08 min 45 sec, the two strands starting to separate at 2310 UT. The bright "p" strand curled out from the N arc of the filament to cover the spot "p2", while the bright "f" strand spread over the entire "f" plage, but interestingly enough did not remain parallel to the filament. The most energetic part of the flare seems to have been a bifurcation of the filament near its northernmost arc, where some new flux has pushed up; it was here the "f" strand broke away from the filament.

On the 25th some structural change could be seen. The plage under the big filament was no longer bright, but the filament was back in place. The spot "p1" was split in two, and the spot "p2", over which the flare had occurred, was much diminished in size. On the 26th "p2" had disappeared. It may be no accident that the most significant spot changes in five days occurred after this big flare.

In summary, there is some evidence that the expansion of Mt. Wilson 18284 into Mt. Wilson 18281 gave rise to stresses which ultimately caused the great flare of 24 January 1971, but the case is not proven. The flare was also marked by intrusion of a filament onto a spot penumbra and rapid decline of that spot. The flares between "p3" and "f1" on the 22nd are a nice example of field reconnection.

This work was supported by NASA and NSF. I am indebted to Dr. J. Vorpahl for several valuable discussions.



# BIG BEAR SOLAR OBSERVATORY




Fig. 1. Four stages in the development of McMath 11128.  
(W top, N left)

- 1/20/71: The emerging flux region Mt. Wilson 18284 is seen at the bottom.
- 1/23/71: Mt. Wilson 18284 has grown into a serious bipolar group; its leader "p3" shares a penumbra with the follower "f1" of 18281, and the filament around that group has been compressed.
- 1/24/71: Just before the flare the filament is completely enveloped by plage and has moved closer to "p2". The follower spot "f1" has broken up.
- 1/25/71: After the flare the size of spot "p2" is greatly reduced.



# BIG BEAR SOLAR OBSERVATORY

## Proton Flare

January 24, 1971




Fig. 2. Development of the flare. The print at 23 hr 11 min 37 sec was redone at a different time from the others and is too contrasty; the actual flare brightness at that time is no greater than at 23 hr 14 min 46 sec directly following. Note the steady separating of the two bright strands in the course of the flare. The lower strand fills the area of following polarity between "p3" and the filament; the "p" strand fills the area inside the filament. The "f" side of the leading part of the filament plays no part in the flare.

## Flare of January 24 - 25, 1971

by

F. Moriyama  
Tokyo Astronomical Observatory

### Introduction

A large flare occurred at N18 W49 in an E-type sunspot group on January 24-25, 1971. We observed this flare at the Tokyo Astronomical Observatory using a 14 cm SECASI monochromatic heliograph at the center of  $H\alpha$  (pass band  $0.75\text{\AA}$ ) and a 20 cm equatorial refractor equipped with a Halle filter (pass band  $0.5\text{\AA}$ ) at the center as well as in the wings of  $H\alpha$ . Unfortunately, because of unfavorable weather, photographic records were obtained only for the decaying phase of the flare, Figure 1. Mr. Ohki of the Goto Optical Co. took several pictures of the flare around the maximum phase with an  $H\alpha$  interference filter (pass band  $2.4\text{\AA}$ ) mounted on a 7.5 cm refractor. The photographic aspect of the flare observed at the two observatories is collectively presented in this report.

### Development of Flare

Figure 2 shows pictures taken at the Goto Optical Co. In the first two prints, the flare appeared in two bright ropes: the one (F1) described a semicircle surrounding the north-west side of the central spot (S2), the other (F2) extended north and south across the eastern part of the sunspot group. The two ropes were similar in brightness, and the central spot was partly covered by F1. Between 2322 and 2335 UT, F1 evolved into a rather straight rope lying to the west of the central spot.

The apparent area of the flaring region was measured to be 1130 millionths of the solar disk at 0013 UT on January 25 when the observation started at Mitaka, and the flare was classified as importance 3n.  $H\alpha$  filtergrams showing the subsequent development of the flare are given in Figures 3 and 4. In the pictures at the line center of  $H\alpha$ , amorphous brightenings were visible superposed on the main flare ropes, which were prominent in the off-band pictures. On the original negatives, one can see threadlike formations (L) which bridged the gap between the two main flare ropes. They lasted until the end of the flare, and may be a system of loop prominences seen projected on the disk.




Fig. 1.  $H\alpha$ -filtergram taken with SECASI monochromatic heliograph at the Tokyo Astronomical Observatory on Jan. 25, 1971.




Fig. 2. Flare observed with a broad band filter (pass band 2.4A) at the Goto Optical Co. on Jan. 24, 1971.






Fig. 3. Flare observed with SECASI monochromatic heliograph at the Tokyo Astronomical Observatory on Jan. 25, 1971.








1.



2.



3.



The violet image of the flare was more enhanced than the red image in Set 3, but this asymmetry might possibly be caused by a shift of the wavelength scale of the Halle filter due to imperfect temperature control. Judging from the appearance of the chromospheric structure in the off-band photographs, we estimate the shift to be approximately  $0.05\text{\AA}$ .

A dark surge (D) was seen near F1 at 0045 UT, Figure 3. Although largely obscured by bright features, it can be traced back till 0013 UT. The surge was most noted in the  $+1.0\text{\AA}$  off-band picture at 0044 UT, which showed the surge to originate in the north side of the central spot, and disappeared at 0145 UT.

At about 0220 UT the brightness of the region did not change, and we may consider the flare ended.

#### Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Mr. K. Ohki for kindly providing pictures taken at the Goto Optical Co.

## The Flare of 24-25 January 1971 Observed at Manila

by

Francis Heyden, S. J. and Danilo Balboa  
Manila Observatory, P.O. Box 1231, Manila, Philippines

The flare was observed visually with the Halle filter. Spectroheliograms were taken in H $\alpha$  and K (Ca II). White light photographs were taken at Manila and Baguio. Continuous observation of the sun was maintained until 0212 UT of 25 January. At the time of the flare the sun was at a rather low altitude, approximately 20° above the southeastern horizon.

The 2B flare occurred at N19W50 in a region of several sunspots (Figures 1 to 4), Boulder region 128A or McMath region 11128. Starting time was observed visually at 2309 UT on 24 January 1971. Two maxima were observed, the first at 2316 with an estimated area of 280 millionths of the disk, and the second at 2322 with an estimated area of 450 millionths.

Three spectroheliograms were taken during the flare: one in H $\alpha$  (Figures 5 and 6) at 2357 UT on 24 January and two in K (Figures 7, 8 and 9) at 0005 and 0024 on 25 January. Although the H $\alpha$  spectroheliogram was taken after the two maxima, there is a considerable amount of detail, some of which is common to the white light photographs. There is a definite overlay of a bright cloud that shows a ribbon structure and which appears also on the K spectroheliograms taken at 0005 and at 0024 UT. The last coincides with the visual estimate of the end of the event.

The white light photographs were taken with Unitron four-inch F15 refractors at Manila and at Baguio. Both of them have been fitted with eyepieces which give approximately 30 X enlargement to permit direct reading of the film without projection. The four enlargements (Figures 1 to 4) show some rather interesting features. The first pair of sunspots show light bridges quite narrow across the leader and quite broad across the follower. This latter is most involved with the flare and on its light bridge there appears a distinct brightening about twenty minutes before the end of the flare at 0024. This same brightening of this area of the light bridge is seen on the photograph taken at Baguio at 2354 near the time of the H $\alpha$  spectroheliogram (Figures 5 and 6).

While the Wilson effect [Tandberg-Hanssen, 1967] seems very pronounced on the sunspots, a decided change occurs on the eastern edge of the following spot of the second pair. At 2321 (Figure 2) twelve minutes after the estimated beginning of the flare, the penumbra is still missing completely. About twenty-four hours earlier at 2342 on 23 January, a very bright loop had encroached over the eastern edge of this same spot, which also appeared double at that time (Figure 1). Between the white light photograph at 2321 on 24 January and the one taken at 0005 on 25 January some slight changes have occurred. The K spectroheliogram corresponds to the ending of the flare. An enlargement of the flare is shown in Figure 9, but many of the details of the original have been washed out. On the white light photographs taken at Manila and Baguio a few minutes earlier (Figures 2 and 4) there is another area of brightening appearing on the northwest edge of the following spot of the second pair. This spot is also involved in the flare.

The very bright encroachment (Figure 1) which can be seen also on the white light photograph taken at Baguio at 0010 on 24 January (Figure 10) does not show the duplicity that was noted twenty-four hours later in Manila. Possibly the duplicity is only apparent. No reports on this feature by other observers are available at Manila Observatory. Possibly it is due to an overlap of the sunspot areas 128A and 128B. An overlay (Figure 11) showing the position of the sunspots with respect to the area of the flare has been prepared to give some idea of the relative positions of the features mentioned in the other Figures.

The spectroheliograms in H $\alpha$  and K (Ca II) were taken by Adalric Arinque. The Baguio white light photographs were taken by Simeon Dicang.

### REFERENCE

- |                      |      |  |
|----------------------|------|--|
| Tandberg-Hanssen, E. | 1967 | <u>Solar Activity</u> , Blaisdell,<br>Waltham, Mass., 189. |
|----------------------|------|--|






Fig. 1. Sunspots at 2342 UT, 23 Jan. 1971



Fig. 2. Sunspots at 2321 UT, 24 Jan. 1971



Fig. 3. Sunspots at 0005 UT, 25 Jan. 1971




Fig. 4. Sunspots at 2354 UT, 24 Jan. 1971 (Baguio)



Fig. 5. Sun in  $H\alpha$  at 2357 UT, 24 Jan. 1971




Fig. 6. Sun in  $H\alpha$  at 2357 UT, 24 Jan. 1971 (enlargement)






Fig. 7. Sun in Ca II at 0005 UT, 25 Jan. 1971




Fig. 8. Sun in Ca II at 0024 UT, 25 Jan. 1971




Fig. 9. Sun in Ca II at 0005 UT, 25 Jan. 1971 (enlargement)




Fig. 10. Sunspots at 0010 UT, 24 Jan. 1971 (Baguio)



Fig. 11. Sunspots superposed on H $\alpha$  flare of 2357 UT, 24 Jan. 1971

Development of the Large-Scale Situation in which  
the Proton-Flare of January 24, 1971 Took Place

by

V. Bumba  
Astronomical Institute of the Czechoslovak  
Academy of Sciences, Ondřejov  
and

J. Šýkora  
Astronomical Institute of the Slovak  
Academy of Sciences, Skalnaté Pleso

1. Introduction:

Recently in several notes [Bumba 1971a; Bumba 1971b; Bumba et al. 1972] it was demonstrated that during the descending part of cycle of solar activity No. 19, the location of large particle-emitting flares was closely related to the large-scale distribution of photospheric magnetic fields. These large-scale magnetic field patterns are also connected with the systematic distribution of magnetic field and flare activity in heliographic longitude [Bumba 1971a] and therefore with the development of complexes of activity [Bumba et al. 1972]. Very often the magnetic fields of one polarity in an activity complex become expanded into large, regular (or semiregular) drop-shaped structures extending often more than  $100^\circ$  of heliographic longitude [Ambroz et al. 1971]. The opposite polarity forms a complementary figure. The center of gravity of the major flare activity, and of particle-emitting flares, is never connected with the head of these patterns formed from older magnetic fields, but is usually anchored to the eastern part of this drop-shaped magnetic field body. The regular large-scale distribution of magnetic fields is practically repeated by the distribution of the green corona intensity, which has the same spatial relationship to large flares as in the case of magnetic fields [Bumba, Šýkora, 1971].

2. Development of the Large-scale Situation in which the Studied Proton-flare Took Place:

Information about the development of the large-scale situation in which the proton-flare of January 24, 1971 took place is shown in Figure 1. This complex figure presents a time-series of synoptic charts, plotted in latitude and longitude, centered on the longitude of the proton-flare region of the January 24 event ( $220^\circ$ ) and with the arrow indicating the solar rotation during which the flare took place ( $1570^\circ$ ). Figures 1a and 1b show the negative and positive polarity magnetic fields, respectively, drawn from daily Mt. Wilson magnetic maps. Figure 1c presents the Solar Activity Fraunhofer Institute maps. Figure 1d shows geomagnetic data taken from the Göttingen daily geomagnetic character figures, shifted four days to take into account the travel time of solar particles.

Studying the magnetic field distribution, we find the same behavior as in the preceding cycle, although the large-scale characteristic features of the magnetic field body are not so well pronounced. On the negative polarity maps, two streams of concentrated fields with synodic rotation close to 27 days are seen. In the center of the figure in northern heliographic latitude where the flare occurred, the indication of a drop-shaped feature with its tail stretched out to the southern hemisphere may be seen in its development. Again, the flare did not appear in the region of the head of this feature but in its eastern part, about  $90^\circ$  from the head. The complementary patterns of the positive polarity are not as spectacular as during the previous cycle. Consider the Carrington period of synodic rotation of positive polarity features connected with the flare. As in previous cases the fast redistribution of negative polarity following the flare occurrence is seen in opposition to the relative stability of the positive polarity patterns.

The development of calcium plages and spot groups in the given longitudinal and time interval shows that the proton-flare region seems to be the last large manifestation of activity in this longitudinal interval. The fast disappearance of activity in the western stream of negative polarity once more speaks in favor of the idea that the fields in the head of drop-shaped large-scale field body are older, being the remains of activity which took place there several rotations earlier.

Concerning the enhancement of the geomagnetic activity in the previous nineteenth cycle, it was as a rule closely related to the streams of older positive polarity magnetic fields [Bumba, 1971c; Bumba, 1971b; Ambroz et al. 1971]. For the first time during this period of the two recent cycles, the recurrent geomagnetically enhanced time intervals correlate with the streams of negative polarity magnetic field, as is seen in Figure 1d compared with Figures 1a, 1b and 1c. This important fact is studied and discussed separately.






Fig. 1a. Series of consecutively mounted synoptic charts (for latitudes  $\pm 40^\circ$ ) of negative polarity magnetic fields for rotations Nos. 1566-1575. For integration two consecutive maps, one of which is repeated, are always overlapped. The rotation with the proton-flare which took place close to the indicated heliographic longitude  $220^\circ$  (N  $19^\circ$ ) is shown by an arrow.




Fig. 1b. The same series of consecutively mounted magnetic synoptic charts as in Fig. 1a for positive polarity fields.




Fig. 1c. Series of consecutively mounted charts of the large-scale solar activity distribution (Fraunhofer Institute, Freiburg) without overlapping of maps for rotations Nos. 1566-1574. Active region F 38 in which the proton-flare occurred is indicated by an arrow.




Fig. 1d. Series of consecutively mounted charts of geomagnetic activity distribution (Institut für Geophysik, Göttingen) for the same time interval with the same overlapping of charts. The four days, needed by the particles to arrive at the Earth, are taken into account.




Fig. 2. The development of spot area and magnetic field intensity in two sunspot groups (No. 17 + No. 20) forming the proton-flare region. On the bottom the changes of the area in  $10^{-6}$  of the visible solar hemisphere taken from the "Magnetic Field of Sunspots" published by the Pulkovo Observatory of the U.S.S.R. Academy of Sciences. The small areas of satellite groups are drawn too. The middle part shows changes of the maximum negative (S) and positive polarity (N) magnetic field intensity in the leading and following part of the main spot group No. 17. The top shows the same for the newly developed group No. 20.



### 3. Development of the Proton-flare Region:

We believe that to understand the physics and the trigger mechanism of the studied flare the interesting development of the proton-flare sunspot groups has to be described. The main group (No. 17), as seen for example in the "Magnetic Field of Sunspots" published by the Pulkovo Observatory of the U.S.S.R. Academy of Sciences, appeared well developed, bipolar, with some indications of magnetic field complexity when at the limb. Until January 21 both polarities were separated in two pronounced rows. On January 18 several degrees east of this main group a small bipolar group (No. 20) developed. On January 21 this sunspot group started to grow rapidly and on January 23 it reached its maximum area. During the same time interval very small satellite groups appeared north of the main group (No. 28, 29, 30). Simultaneous with this growth the main group (No. 17) became more complex. On January 24 both groups seemed to interact mutually and on this day their area diminished rapidly. This area decrease continued until they passed the western limb. The described development of both groups is seen in Figure 2, which shows the daily values of area of each group until it was no longer possible to separate them. The changes of maximum intensity of the main spots in both groups are also presented in Figure 2. Note the higher values of the southern (lead-




Fig. 3. Coronal situation above the proton-flare region for the rotation with the flare and the next rotation. Lines of equal mean intensity of the green ( $\lambda$  5303 Å) coronal emission in absolute coronal units above the studied solar region are shown. The isophotes are drawn with the distance of 25 absolute units, the intensity being unified to the Pic-du-Midi photometric scale using the method described by Sykora [1971]. Heliographic coordinates are indicated. Also, the passage of the region on the limb is shown by the date and an arrow.

ing) polarity throughout the whole transit of both groups on the disk with the exception of the day with the proton-flare. The same results were obtained by Krivsky [1972]. Also, the enhancement of flare activity reported by him as starting on January 18 and once more on January 24 is probably related to the described phases of development of the two groups forming the proton-flare region.

#### 4. Coronal Situation Above the Proton-flare Region:

Not enough observational data exist to follow the large scale distribution of the coronal green emission ( $\lambda$  5303 Å). Thus, in Figure 3 only the situation as it was observed on the east and west solar limb around the day of the studied region's passage (indicated by the arrow) and one rotation after is shown. The peak of emission intensity (247 coronal absolute units) at the east limb on January 15 probably indicates the future development of the sunspot group No. 20. The peak of intensity (309 units) at the west limb on January 30 does not belong to the studied region; it is connected with another group, separated from the proton-flare region. The fact that the coronal situation after the flare occurrence becomes calm very fast seems to be meaningful.

#### REFERENCES

- |  |       |  |
|--|-------|--|
| AMBROZ, P.,<br>V. BUMBA,<br>R. HOWARD and<br>J. SÝKORA | 1971  | Opposite Polarities in the Development of Some Regularities in the Distribution of Large-scale Magnetic Fields, Howard (ed.), <u>Solar Magnetic Fields</u> , 696.  |
| BUMBA, V.  | 1971a | Large-scale Negative Polarity Magnetic Fields on the Sun and Particle Emitting Flares, Submitted to the <u>Proceedings of the Solar Wind Conference, Asilomar, Pacific Grove, California, March 21-26, 1971.</u>             |
| BUMBA, V.  | 1971b | Large-scale Regularities in Solar Magnetic Field Distribution and Occurrence of Large Flares, Submitted to the <u>Proceedings of the 6th Regional Consultation on Solar Physics, Gyula, Hungary, September 6-11, 1971.</u>   |
| BUMBA, V.  | 1971c | Solar Large-scale Positive Polarity Magnetic Fields and Geomagnetic Disturbances, Submitted to the <u>Proceedings of the Solar Wind Conference, Asilomar, Pacific Grove, California, March 21-26, 1971.</u>                  |
| BUMBA, V.,<br>L. KRIVSKY and<br>J. SÝKORA              | 1972  | Development and Spatial Structure of Proton-flares Near the Limb and Coronal Phenomena. IV Proton-flare from Nov. 2, 1969 and its Active Region, <u>Bull. Astr. Inst. Czech.</u> , <u>23</u> , 85.                           |
| BUMBA, V. and<br>J. SÝKORA                             | 1971  | Svjaz krupnomasshtabnogo raspredelenija solnetschnych magnitnych polej i korony s bolshimi vspyskami i solnetschnym vetrom, Submitted to the <u>Proceedings of the Interkosmos Conference, Moscow, November 15-19, 1971.</u> |
| KRIVSKY, L.  | 1972  | Trends of Development of the Proton Active Region about January 24, 1971, <u>Bull. Astr. Inst. Czech.</u> , <u>23</u> , in press.  |
| SÝKORA, J.   | 1971  | Some Remarks on the Summary Use of Existing Corona Measurements, <u>Bull. Astr. Inst. Czech.</u> , <u>22</u> , 12.   |

# Magnetic Fields in McMath Region 11128

by

David M. Rust  
Sacramento Peak Observatory  
Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories  
Sunsport, New Mexico 88349

## ABSTRACT

The magnetic fields in the region which produced a 3B flare on January 24, 1971, were measured with a non-saturating magnetograph on January 18 - 20. Other data reveal only minor changes during the intervening days in the gross structure of the magnetic field in the western part of the region where the flare occurred. Utilizing this knowledge, I have computed the current-free fields for the low corona over the region. The computed fields provide considerable aid in understanding diverse phenomena of the flare, including the observed pattern of H $\alpha$  brightening, the post-flare loops and the escape of protons from the seat of the flare.

### 1. Instrumentation

On January 18, 19 and 20, 1971, I measured the longitudinal component of the photospheric magnetic fields in McMath Region 11128. These observations were made with the Doppler-Zeeman Analyzer (DZA), a photoelectric instrument similar in some respects to the Babcock magnetograph used at Mount Wilson. The chief difference between the DZA and the Babcock magnetograph is that the DZA splits the light passing through the entrance slit of the spectrograph into two beams representing incoming light of opposing senses of circular polarization. At the output of the spectrograph, the central wavelength of each of the two images of a selected spectral line is measured photoelectrically and recorded on magnetic tape. The recordings ('R' and 'L') of the central wavelengths of the right- and left-circularly polarized images of the spectral line are processed in a digital computer to produce contour maps of the longitudinal velocities and longitudinal magnetic fields over selected regions of the solar disk. Velocities are computed from the average of the L and R wavelength shifts and magnetic fields are computed from the difference, L - R. Because the L and R shifts are measured independently of each other, the DZA magnetic signal does not saturate in intense fields, contrary to the behavior of a Babcock magnetograph. The brightness in the wings of the line and the coordinates of each observation point on the solar disk, are recorded along with the L and R signals. For a more complete description of the instrument, the reader should see the articles by Dunn [1971] and Evans [1966].

### 2. Observations

I chose to make all the observations in the FeI line at 5250.218 Å because of its high sensitivity to the Zeeman effect ( $g\lambda^2 = 83$ ). As Harvey and Livingston [1969] have pointed out, the line is extremely temperature sensitive and measurements made with conventional magnetographs must be increased by a factor of up to 2.5 due to the correlation between temperature-induced line profile changes and the longitudinal magnetic field. The DZA measurements suffer somewhat from the same fault, but to a lesser degree [Hollars, 1970]. The magnetic field intensities indicated on the maps, therefore, should be increased by perhaps 50%, the error depending upon the unknown sub-telescopic fine structure of the fields. Table 1 gives other observational details.

Table 1 Observational Parameters

| Scan No. | Date | Time (UT)   | Aperture  | Step between Observations | Image Quality |
|----------|------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|
|          |      |             | (arc sec) | (arc sec)                 |               |
| 1        | 1/18 | 1548 - 1601 | 4 x 4"    | 10"                       | fair          |
| 2        | 1/18 | 1601 - 1614 | 4 x 4     | 10                        | fair          |
| 3        | 1/19 | 1534 - 1552 | 4 x 4     | 4                         | good          |
| 4        | 1/19 | 1552 - 1610 | 4 x 4     | 4                         | good          |
| 5        | 1/20 | 2022 - 2030 | 8 x 10    | 8                         | poor          |
| 6        | 1/20 | 2030 - 2038 | 8 x 10    | 8                         | poor          |
| 7        | 1/20 | 2039 - 2047 | 8 x 10    | 8                         | poor          |
| 8        | 1/20 | 2047 - 2055 | 8 x 10    | 8                         | poor          |

Figures 1, 2 and 3 show maps of the longitudinal component of the magnetic field for scans 1, 3 and 6 made on January 18, 19 and 20, 1971, respectively. The contour levels start at  $\pm 10$  G and increase by factors of two up to a -1280 G contour on each map. Solid lines enclose positive fields




Fig. 1. McMath Region 11128 magnetic fields at 1601 UT, January 18, 1971.




Fig. 2. Magnetic fields in the same region at 1552 UT, January 19, 1971.




Fig. 3. McMath Region 11128 magnetic fields at 2038 UT, January 20, 1971.

and dashed lines enclose negative fields. As with the Mount Wilson magnetograms, this convention is reversed when the fields within an area already contoured are locally decreasing; i.e., we have a way to distinguish a 'valley' from a 'hill'. Geocentric north is toward the top of the maps and east is on the left. All of the maps show the fields in a 300 by 400 arc sec area. Due to cloudiness and some haste in setting-up for the observations, the maps for the three days do not represent a homogeneous set of data and cannot profitably be examined for detailed changes from day-to-day. However, much can be learned from a detailed examination of the individual maps.

The most intense field in McMath Region 11128 was in the large, negative-polarity, leading sunspot. The DZA measurements for both January 18 and 19 indicated a field of -1900 G there. The visual field measurements from Mount Wilson indicated fields of -2600 G and -2900 G on January 18 and 19, respectively. The differences between the two types of measurement probably are not significant, since the aperture of the DZA averages the field over a relatively large area while the observer making visual measurements selects the point of most intense field in the spot. The DZA should be considered principally as a flux measuring device, therefore. The integrated positive flux through the region on both days was  $10^{22}$  maxwells, and the integrated negative flux was  $-1.4 \times 10^{22}$  maxwells. The meaning and reality, even, of the flux deficit of  $4 \times 10^{21}$  maxwells are among the most intriguing and difficult problems in the study of solar magnetism. In the calculations of lines of magnetic force described below, I assume the flux difference is real. The fieldline calculations are based on data from January 20, when the measured net flux was  $3 \times 10^{21}$  maxwells.

When compared with the map of the fields for January 20 (Figure 3), the higher resolution of the magnetograms from January 18 and 19 is obvious. The gross structure of the magnetic fields is the same on all three days, however. In the first two maps, one may especially notice how complex the fields are in comparison with most active centers. The leading spot of negative polarity is surrounded by 'satellites' of opposite polarity. These satellites will always be closely associated with small flares and surges and may serve as the starting points for large flares [Rust, 1972]. However, as Figures 1 and 2 show, the satellites change significantly from day-to-day, and it is therefore impossible to associate any of these features with the 3B flare of January 24.

Notice the region of steep horizontal gradients in the field that appears at the NW (hooked) extreme of the inversion line that runs diagonally across the center of Figure 2. It is possible to follow this region on the magnetograms of the succeeding days up to January 24, when the first bright points of the flare at 2309 UT occurred there. From a topological point of view, it is probably impossible to construct a set of lines of magnetic force near such a region of intertwined polarities

without admitting to the presence of at least one true neutral point in the magnetic fields in the low corona or upper chromosphere there. Of course, there are theoretical reasons for supposing that flares start at such neutral points, but more important for the discussion that follows is the fact that matter accelerated at such a point will have access to fieldlines leading to many other sections of the active region.

### 3. Fieldline calculations; the flare of January 24

An examination of Mount Wilson magnetograms and H $\alpha$  photographs from January 20 to 24 showed that the western part of McMath Region 11128 (the part where the 3B flare occurred) did not change its gross structure during that period. Therefore, I computed the lines of magnetic force that would stretch over the region if there were no electric currents in the lower corona. The input data for the calculation are the fields measured by DZA on January 20, 1971 (see Figure 3). The fields observed on that day may be regarded as the radial component of the magnetic field in the photosphere, since the region was near disk center then. Figure 4 shows the coordinates of the scanned region on that day and outlines the principal sunspots. The method of calculating the current-free fieldlines and the limitations of such calculations have been discussed by Schmidt [1964] and by Rust [1970]. A most important characteristic of the computed lines of force that must be borne in mind when comparing the fields with other data on the flare is that these current-free fields are incapable of energy release. The field lines computed with the aid of the Schmidt program and shown in Figure 5 represent the lowest energy state of the magnetic fields over the active region. The distribution of the poles in the photosphere, as shown in Figure 3, uniquely determines the fields shown in Figure 5.




Fig. 4. Sunspot outlines and solar coordinates for McMath Region 11128 at 2038 UT, January 20, 1971

The reader may immediately notice several interesting features of the fieldlines in Figure 5 that will help understand the 3B flare of January 24. Most noticeable are the lines of force opening into space from the leading, negative-polarity spot. The open lines generally curve gently to the east and one has no difficulty in his mind in connecting these lines of force from the flaring region at 49° west longitude with the spiral fields of the solar sector structure that will help guide to the earth the high-energy protons emitted at the beginning of the flare. The open fieldlines stem from the same region of the leading spot as do fieldlines passing through the region where the flare started. High-energy protons may diffuse from closed fieldlines extending from the region where they were accelerated to adjacent, open fieldlines that they may follow into interplanetary space.




Fig. 5. Lines of force computed from the magnetogram shown in Fig. 3.




Fig. 6. Flare patches (shaded areas) and post-flare loops at 2345 UT, January 24, 1971

As a check on the possibility that matter was ejected from the region of the leading spot during the flare, one should follow the high loops that curve over the region to the small patches of positive field in the northeast. If material were to follow these lines of force and strike the chromosphere, there would be some flare brightenings far removed from the two ribbons of the main body of the flare (see Figure 6).

Dr. Marie McCabe of the Institute of Astronomy in Hawaii has kindly allowed me to examine a preprint of her H $\alpha$  observations of the flare. Her discussion of the observations appears elsewhere in this volume [McCabe, 1972]. Her observations show that there were indeed a number of sporadic brightenings of isolated points in the northeast region. The brightenings occurred in positive field regions, as closely as I can tell from maps made on January 20 and extrapolated to January 24. As we should expect, also, the leader spot was partially obscured by H $\alpha$  emission during the flare.

In Figure 5, it is interesting to note, the lines of force from the leader spot either spread outward toward the earth or curve high over the closest positive fields. Instead of stopping there, the fieldlines extend to the positive fields on the eastern edge of the region. The leader spot is not connected magnetically to the two principal ribbons of the flare. As shown in McCabe's observations, these ribbons are parallel with and close by the principal inversion line of the region. The current-free fields show a system of low-lying loops connecting these ribbons. It is well known from the work by Bruzek [1964] that the brightest ribbons of major flares outline the locus of the intersections of post-flare loops and the solar surface. As Figure 6 shows (it has been drawn from McCabe's observations) there was indeed a system of low-lying loops seen to connect the bright ribbons of the flare. These loops coincide very closely with the computed fieldlines shown in Figure 5.

The flare started at 2309 UT, and McCabe saw the loops at 2345 UT, a sufficiently long time after the onset of the flare to allow the magnetic fields, now drained of their convertible energy, to assume the current-free configuration shown in Figure 5. A remarkable correspondence between post-flare loops and lines of magnetic force computed with the Schmidt program has been noted earlier by Rust and Roy [1971]. Roy [1972] has shown convincingly that the growth of loops coincides with sets of successively higher fieldlines computed from center-of-the-disk magnetic observations.

#### 4. Summary

The usefulness of moderate-resolution magnetograms and current-free field calculations is evident from this preliminary analysis of data from the January 24, 1971 proton flare. A more detailed study of H $\alpha$  films and of the associated radio and high energy phenomena is planned in order to discover how far one may apply the rather crude techniques used here to the flare problem. Sakurai [1970] derived a model field configuration for proton flares that bears a striking resemblance to the current-free fields shown in Figure 5. The fact that his work was based entirely upon Type IV radio data and H $\alpha$  observations of major flares seems to lend credibility to the picture derived here.

#### 5. Acknowledgements

I am especially grateful to Dr. Marie McCabe for allowing me to examine her analysis of the January 24 flare prior to publication. I am also grateful to Dr. Harold Zirin and the staff of the Big Bear Solar Observatory for the use of their H $\alpha$  films of the flare. Dr. Robert Howard of Hale Observatories allowed me to make copies of the Mount Wilson sunspot drawings and magnetograms.

#### REFERENCE

- |                                    |      |  |
|------------------------------------|------|--|
| BRUZEK, A.                         | 1964 | On the association between loop prominences and flares, <u>Astrophys. J.</u> , <u>140</u> , 746.             |
| DUNN, R. B.                        | 1971 | Sacramento Peak magnetograph, <u>Solar Magnetic Fields</u> , R. HOWARD, ed., Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, 65. |
| EVANS, J. W.                       | 1966 | Solar magnetographs, <u>Atti del Convegno sui Campi Magnetici Solari</u> , G. BARBERA, Florence, 123.        |
| HARVEY, J. W. and<br>W. LIVINGSTON | 1969 | Magnetograph measurements with temperature-sensitive lines, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>10</u> , 283.            |
| HOLLARS, D.                        | 1970 | Private communication.   |
| MCCABE, M.                         | 1972 | H $\alpha$ observations of the solar flare, January 24-25, 1971, this volume, p. 28.                         |



|                               |      |  |
|-------------------------------|------|--|
| ROY, J. -R.                   | 1972 | The magnetic configuration of the 18 November 1968 loop prominence system, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , in press.  |
| RUST, D. M.                   | 1970 | Magnetic fields in quiescent solar prominences II. Photospheric sources, <u>Astrophys. J.</u> , <u>160</u> , 315.  |
| RUST, D. M.                   | 1972 | Flares and changing magnetic fields, <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>25</u> , 141.   |
| RUST, D. M. and<br>J. -R. ROY | 1971 | Coronal magnetic fields above active regions, <u>Solar Magnetic Fields</u> , R. HOWARD, ed., Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, 569.  |
| SAKURAI, K.                   | 1970 | On the magnetic configuration of sunspot groups which produce solar flares, <u>Planet. Space Sci.</u> , <u>18</u> , 33.  |
| SCHMIDT, H. U.                | 1964 | On the observable effects of magnetic energy storage and release connected with solar flares, <u>AAS-NASA Symp. Phys. Solar Flares</u> , W. N. NESS, ed., NASA SP-50, U. S. Government Printing Off., Washington, 107. |

A Study of the Coronal Active Region  
Associated with the Eruptive Flare of January 24, 1971

by

P. R. SenGupta  
Tripura Engineering College  
Tripura, India

The eruptive flare of January 24, which occurred at 2307 UT, was associated with the McMath plage region 11128. This is concluded from the reported location of the associated optical flare. The optical flare which started at 2309 UT was of importance 1B. The X-ray flare belonged to Type III (Eruptive) flare according to the author's classification [SenGupta, 1971b]. A number of intense radio bursts covering a wide range of frequency were also observed during the flare. That the flare was of eruptive nature is confirmed from the Solar Particle Events recorded simultaneously by particle detectors on several satellites within a few hours after the flare.

Table 1 shows the time history of the Coronal Active Region Associated with McMath plage region 11128. Daily physical data of the active region calculated from the daily 9.1 cm Radio-Spectroheliogram made by Stanford University Radio Astronomy Laboratory and published in "Solar-Geophysical Data" [319, 1971], employing the physical model of the Plage Associated Active Regions and the empirical formula derived by the author [SenGupta, 1971a], are listed in the first two columns of Table 1.  $T_{e \text{ max}}$  is the maximum electron temperature and  $Y_i$  the total emission measure of the region.

Table 1

Calculated and Observed Physical Data for the Active Region Associated with McMath Region 11128

| Date<br>Jan.<br>1971 | $T_{e \text{ max}}$<br>( $10^6 \text{ }^\circ\text{K}$ ) | $Y_i$<br>( $10^{48} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) | Recorded 9.1 cm<br>flux from the<br>region | No. of X-ray<br>flares from the<br>region recorded<br>by NRL detectors | No. of 2-12 Å<br>flares from the<br>region reported<br>by University of<br>Iowa experiment |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 14                   | 2.3  | 14                                     | 13   | Nil  | Nil  |
| 15                   | 2.4  | 20                                     | 19   | 1  | 1  |
| 16                   | 2.5  | 28                                     | 26   | 1  | 1  |
| 17                   | 2.6  | 30                                     | 27   | 1  | Nil  |
| 18                   | 2.7  | 32                                     | ?  | 2  | Nil  |
| 19                   | 2.7  | 35                                     | 29   | 3  | 1  |
| 20                   | 2.7  | 29                                     | 25   | ?  | Nil  |
| 21                   | 2.7  | 25                                     | 20   | 4  | 1  |
| 22                   | 2.65   | 25                                     | 20   | 2  | 1  |
| 23                   | 2.6  | 20                                     | 18   | 1  | Nil  |
| 24                   | 3.0  | 45                                     | 32   | 7  | 4  |
| 25                   | 2.4  | 14                                     | 12   | Nil  | Nil  |
| 26                   | 2.3  | 12                                     | 12   | Nil  | Nil  |
| 27                   | 2.2  | 6                                      | 7  | Nil  | Nil  |

9.1 cm flux from the region listed in column 3 is also from the same issue of the "Solar-Geophysical Data". NRL X-ray flare data in column 4 are from the continuous daily plots in "Solar-Geophysical Data" [323, 1971]. The University of Iowa X-ray flare data in column 5 are also from the same issue of "Solar-Geophysical Data". The number in both cases is taken from the correspondence with optical flares from the region. There are fewer flares in column 5 than in column 4 because the University of Iowa reports only those flares in which peak flux exceeds the preflare level by a factor of four or more.

It is easy to see from the Table that there were two superimposed phases of activity. The first phase reached a peak on January 18-19 with a peak temperature and emission measure of  $2.7 \times 10^6 \text{ }^\circ\text{K}$  and  $35 \times 10^{48} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , respectively, and showed a decline from January 20. But before the activity could decline to the background undisturbed coronal level, a second and more intense phase of activity showed up which had a very fast growth and an equally fast decay. Comparing the growth and the decay rates of the two phases, we find in Table 1 that while it took five days for the first phase to increase the electron temperature from 2.3 to  $2.7 \times 10^6 \text{ }^\circ\text{K}$ , i.e. by  $0.4 \times 10^6 \text{ }^\circ\text{K}$ , in the second




FIG1. INDEX OF ACTIVITY LEVEL OF McMATH REGION III28 IN TERMS OF CALCULATED ELECTRON TEMPERATURES. TEMPERATURES ARE IN UNITS OF  $10^6$  K.  
TIME 2000-2100 UT

phase it took only one day for an equal increase in temperature. The decay of the second phase is more significant. In one day the electron temperature decreased by  $0.6 \times 10^6$  °K and the emission measure was reduced to less than 30% of the previous day's value. It is significant to note that the great eruptive flare occurred at 2307 UT on January 24. The daily physical data in Table 1, as also in Figure 1, correspond to 2000-2100 UT. Hence, the data on January 24 correspond to the activity about two hours before the great eruption, while the data on January 25 correspond to the activity level about twenty hours after the flare. Altogether, seven X-ray flares were recorded by NRL detectors on January 24. The decline in the activity may thus be well attributed, at least partly, to the release of energy in the eruptive flares of January 24.

Data in columns 3, 4 and 5 are also in conformity with the behavior of the Active Region described above.

Figure 1 gives a picture of the growth and decay of the second phase of the activity in terms of area and the distribution of electron temperature in the region. The figures on the contours represent the calculated electron temperature in  $10^6$  °K.

#### REFERENCES

- |                 |       |  |
|-----------------|-------|--|
| SENGUPTA, P. R. | 1971a | A method of calculating 0-20 Å solar X-ray flux and its spectral distribution using 9.1 cm. spectroheliogram, <u>Solar Physics</u> , 17, 160-173.  |
| SENGUPTA, P. R. | 1971b | A study of solar X-ray flares, <u>Proc. Visitors' Programme on Space Physics, Delhi University, July, 1971</u> , Solar Radiations and the Earth, Ed. J. N. TANDON, Hindustan Publishing Co., 1972. |
|                 | 1971  | <u>Solar-Geophysical Data</u> , 319 Part I; 323 Part II, U.S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302).   |

### 3. SOLAR RADIO EVENTS

#### On the S-Component and Noise Storms in January, 1971

by

A. Böhme and A. Krüger  
German Academy of Sciences  
Central Institute for Solar-Terrestrial Physics  
(Heinrich-Hertz-Institute)  
Berlin-Adlershof, GDR

This report is restricted to a representation of radio flux and polarization data referring to the development of the active regions before the occurrence of the proton event on January 24, 1971. The observed data are shown in Table 1, and are condensed in form of synthetic spectral diagrams of the S-component and the noise storm emission (Figure 1).

It is widely believed that the S-component and noise storm spectra carry some significance about conditions facilitating the outflow of high and medium energetic solar particles, respectively [cf. also Sakurai, 1971]. However, it is indicated by the present examples that the observing conditions by directivity and angular dependence of the emission properties are not always favorable for an exact prediction of energetic events.

#### The January 1971 Period

The last decade of January 1971 was characterized by an increase of the S-component up to about 130 solar units at the spectral maximum near 10 cm wavelength. Apparently this increase was preferably due to the influence of the active region on the northern hemisphere which produced the proton flare on January 24 (cf. Figure 1).

In contrast to the long-period character of the S-component, the noise storm component exhibits stronger time fluctuations. As a consequence of the higher directivity, the radiation is only visible under special geometrical conditions similar to the intermittent flash of light from a light-house. Therefore, optimal conclusions from noise storm data can be drawn from observations near the central meridian [cf. also Böhme and Krüger, 1971]. But at present it seems not yet clear whether the effects of short-term (day-to-day) variability are due to changes of the ray direction or to changes of the emitted intensity itself.

A strong source of ordinary circular polarization was very briefly seen on the 20th and 21st of January. Proposing an origin at the northern solar hemisphere, the sense of circular polarization was opposite to that which could be expected from the leading spot hypothesis. It should be noticed that at longer wavelengths the degree of polarization decreased. Especially at 113 MHz there was not always a close (hourly) correlation between the magnitudes of the fluxes and the degree of polarization.

#### REFERENCES

- |                            |      |  |
|----------------------------|------|--|
| BÖHME, A. and<br>A. KRÜGER | 1971 | Data on Solar-Geophysical Activity Associated with the Major Geomagnetic Storm of March 8, 1970, <u>World Data Center A - Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-12</u> , NOAA, Boulder, Colorado, 71. |
| SAKURAI, K.                | 1971 | Data on Solar-Geophysical Activity Associated with the Major Geomagnetic Storm of March 8, 1970, <u>World Data Center A - Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-12</u> , NOAA, Boulder, Colorado, 52. |

Table 1

| Daily Flux and Polarization Data, Heinrich-Hertz-Institute |        |      |         |      |      |      |        |     |         |
|--|--------|------|---------|------|------|------|--------|-----|---------|
| Jan.<br>1971   | 9500   | 3000 | 1490    | 510  | 287  | 234  | 113    | 68  | 40 MHz  |
| 11   | 297 -  | 141  | 99 -    | -    | 24   | 39   | 63 L   | <   | < 1     |
| 12   | 292 -  | 144  | 103 -   | 35   | 27   | 54   | 67 L   | <   | < 1     |
| 13   | 292 -  | 140  | 101 1   | 39   | 28   | 51   | 54 1   | 70  | 130 1   |
| 14   | 294 -  | 152  | 96 1    | 32   | 17   | 16   | 32 1   | <   | 170 1   |
| 15   | 295 -  | 148  | - 1     | 32   | 18   | 21   | 2 0    | <   | < 0     |
| 16   | (314)- | (-)  | (101 1) | (81) | (49) | (39) | (39 0) | (-) | (180 0) |
| 17   | 302 -  | 153  | - 0     | 32   | 12   | 8    | 2 0    | <   | < 0     |
| 18   | 308 1  | 154  | 103 1   | 32   | 15   | 9    | 2 1    | <   | < 0     |
| 19   | 304 1  | 164  | 101 1   | 32   | 14   | 14   | 15 L   | <   | < 1     |
| 20   | 307 1  | 158: | 122:1   | 32   | 22   | 47   | 670 L  | 270 | < 0     |
| 21   | 301 r  | 176  | 109 1   | 32   | 29   | 71   | 140 L  | 70  | < 1     |
| 22   | 298 r  | 177  | 109 0   | 32   | 12   | 12   | 2 1    | <   | < 0     |
| 23   | 314 r  | 183  | 114 0   | 35   | 11   | 10   | 17 r   | <   | < 0     |
| 24   | 313 r  | 174  | 112 0   | 32   | 10   | 8    | 2 r    | <   | < 0     |
| 25   | 305 r  | 160  | 111 0   | 35   | 15   | 12   | 7 1    | <   | < 0     |
| 26   | 307 -  | 161  | 104 0   | 32   | 10   | 8    | 2 0    | <   | < 0     |
| 27   | 309 0  | 161  | 104 0   | 32   | 10   | 8    | 2 0    | <   | < 0     |
| 28   | 307 0  | 161  | 104 0   | 32   | 11   | 8    | 2 0    | <   | < 0     |
| 29   | 306 0  | -    | 123 0   | 32   | 12   | 12   | 12 r   | <   | < 0     |
| 30   | 297 r  | -    | 121 0   | 32   | 12   | 13   | 12 r   | <   | < 0     |
| 31   | 299 0  | 156  | 121 1   | 32   | 17   | 20   | 21 r   | 70  | < r     |




Fig.1 Spectral diagram of S- and noise storm components and major spot groups (top)

Millimeter Wave Spectroheliograms Associated  
with the January 24, 1971 Solar Terrestrial Event

by

Larry E. Telford  
Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories  
L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts 01730

8.6 mm spectroheliograms have been taken on a daily basis (weather and equipment permitting) since the Summer, 1968. In March, 1971, 20 mm spectroheliograms were added to the daily routine. The 8.6 mm and 20 mm spectroheliograms are taken concurrently using a dual frequency feed system on the AFCRL 29-Foot Millimeter Wave Antenna. This submission represents a collection of 8.6 mm spectroheliograms covering approximately two weeks prior to the event.

The following comments apply to the spectroheliograms presented in Figures 1 and 2:

1. All spectroheliogram radio brightness temperatures are to be multiplied by ten, i. e., 520 = 5200°K.
2. All spectroheliogram radio brightness temperatures are antenna temperatures corrected for atmospheric attenuation but not corrected for antenna pattern effects.
3. The 8.6 mm antenna pattern and radiometer parameters are given in the latest "Solar-Geophysical Data Descriptive Text".
4. The contour levels for the plotted spectroheliograms are:  
Figs. 1 and 2 - 2500°K, 4600°K and up in 200°K increments.
5. The times associated with each spectroheliogram is the time the center grid point was observed. The spectroheliogram observation sequence starts at the upper left corner of the plotted grid and ten seconds are spent at each grid point. The sequence moves from left to right for each line. Using this sequence, the exact observation time for each grid point can be calculated.

In addition to the plotted spectroheliograms, Table 1 represents the output of a data reduction computer program which interpolates the original radio brightness temperature grid and searches for maxima with a fixed set of searching constraints. The table represents the heliographic location and enhancement, or temperature above the average surface background temperature, for each maxima found for each spectroheliogram. In reproducing the table, all maxima with enhancements less than 100°K were ignored. Since the input grid data are not corrected for antenna effects, the maxima locations are not accurate when the region is greater than  $\pm 45^\circ$  from Central Meridian at 8.6 mm. Within these limits, the locations are accurate to  $\pm 2^\circ$  in heliographic coordinates.










Figure 1.


8.6 MM SPECTROHELIOGRAM  
PROSPECT HILL RADIO OBSERVATORY

1645 UT DAY 20 1971  
CONTOURS IN INTERVALS OF 200 DEGREES KELVIN  
DEGREES KELVIN/10




8.6 MM SPECTROHELIOGRAM  
PROSPECT HILL RADIO OBSERVATORY

1540 UT DAY 21 1971  
CONTOURS IN INTERVALS OF 200 DEGREES KELVIN  
DEGREES KELVIN/10



8.6 MM SPECTROHELIOGRAM  
PROSPECT HILL RADIO OBSERVATORY

1627 UT DAY 24 1971  
CONTOURS IN INTERVALS OF 200 DEGREES KELVIN  
DEGREES KELVIN/10



8.6 MM SPECTROHELIOGRAM  
PROSPECT HILL RADIO OBSERVATORY

1525 UT DAY 27 1971  
CONTOURS IN INTERVALS OF 200 DEGREES KELVIN  
DEGREES KELVIN/10




Figure 2.

TABLE I

Day 16

JANUARY 16, 1971 1642 UT

 $L_O = 283^\circ$ ,  $B_O = -5^\circ$ 

| <u>L</u> | <u>LAT</u> | <u>CMD</u> | <u>8 mm</u> |
|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| 290      | 17N        | 07W        | 180 K       |
| 227      | 18N        | 56E        | 290 K       |
| 257      | 15N        | 26E        | 190 K       |
| 323      | 12N        | 40W        | 170 K       |
| 265      | 03S        | 18E        | 160 K       |
| 304      | 10S        | 21W        | 150 K       |

Day 17

JANUARY 17, 1971 1920 UT

 $L_O = 270^\circ$ ,  $B_O = -5^\circ$ 

| <u>L</u> | <u>LAT</u> | <u>CMD</u> | <u>8 mm</u> |
|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| 225      | 20N        | 45E        | 600 K       |
| 257      | 16N        | 13E        | 215 K       |
| 292      | 16N        | 22W        | 175 K       |
| 270      | 01S        | 00         | 160 K       |
| 284      | 05S        | 14W        | 150 K       |
| 300      | 07S        | 30W        | 140 K       |

Day 18

JANUARY 18, 1971 1639 UT

 $L_O = 257^\circ$ ,  $B_O = -5^\circ$ 

| <u>L</u> | <u>LAT</u> | <u>CMD</u> | <u>8 mm</u> |
|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| 222      | 18N        | 35E        | 520 K       |
| 286      | 15N        | 29W        | 115 K       |
| 256      | 12N        | 01E        | 135 K       |
| 278      | 01S        | 21W        | 100 K       |
| 229      | 05S        | 28E        | 150 K       |
| 286      | 05S        | 29W        | 100 K       |
| 203      | 09S        | 54E        | 130 K       |

Day 19

JANUARY 19, 1971 1630 UT

 $L_O = 244^\circ$ ,  $B_O = -5^\circ$ 

| <u>L</u> | <u>LAT</u> | <u>CMD</u> | <u>8 mm</u> |
|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| 222      | 20N        | 22E        | 775 K       |
| 189      | 12N        | 55E        | 160 K       |
| 188      | 07S        | 56E        | 240 K       |

TABLE I Continued

Day 20

JANUARY 20, 1971 1645 UT

 $L_o = 239^\circ$ ,  $B_o = -5^\circ$ 

| L   | LAT | CMD | 8 mm  |
|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 221 | 18N | 10E | 604 K |
| 191 | 12N | 40E | 220 K |
| 188 | 07S | 43E | 190 K |
| 207 | 11S | 24E | 170 K |

Day 21

JANUARY 21, 1971 1540 UT

 $L_o = 218^\circ$ ,  $B_o = -5^\circ$ 

| L   | LAT | CMD | 8 mm  |
|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 218 | 18N | 00  | 970 K |
| 189 | 11N | 29E | 260 K |
| 165 | 11N | 53E | 90 K  |
| 189 | 08S | 29E | 325 K |

Day 24

JANUARY 24, 1971 1627 UT

 $L_o = 178^\circ$ ,  $B_o = -5^\circ$ 

| L   | LAT | CMD | 8 mm  |
|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 221 | 20N | 43W | 623 K |
| 158 | 12N | 20E | 190 K |
| 190 | 12N | 12W | 230 K |
| 143 | 06S | 35E | 100 K |
| 192 | 08S | 14W | 365 K |

Day 27

JANUARY 27, 1971 1525 UT

 $L_o = 138^\circ$ ,  $B_o = -6^\circ$ 

| L   | LAT | CMD | 8 mm  |
|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 156 | 11N | 18W | 125 K |
| 100 | 05S | 38E | 330 K |
| 133 | 09S | 05E | 180 K |
| 187 | 07S | 49W | 100 K |

## REFERENCES

1972

Solar-Geophysical Data, Descriptive Text, Number 330  
 (Supplement), February, 1972, U. S. Department of  
 Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302), 21.

# Dynamic Radio Spectra of the Solar Flare of 1971 January 24 2300 UT

by

A. Maxwell

Harvard Radio Astronomy Station, Fort Davis, Texas 79734

During 1971, the solar dynamic radio-spectrum analyzer at the Harvard Radio Astronomy Station, Fort Davis, Texas, operated over the complete band 10-2000 MHz. Descriptions of the equipment will be found elsewhere [Thompson 1961, Maxwell 1971]. The receivers in the band 500-2000 MHz were put into operation in 1970 and are connected to a steerable 85-ft antenna, whose large collecting area permits solar bursts in this band to be recorded at high sensitivity.

The two records of Figure 1 show the radio outburst that was recorded at Fort Davis on 1971 January 24. Type III emission began at 23hr 06min 40sec. Type IV emission was first seen in the decimeter band at 2310 UT and it then gradually spread to lower bands. A type II burst, with a clearly recognizable fundamental and second harmonic, commenced in the meter band at 23hr 15min 30sec and was followed by complex bursts with "herringbone" and reverse drift structure that continued until 2346 UT. The type IV emission continued until sunset at 2355 UT. (At Culgoora, in Australia, the type IV burst was reported to have continued on the meter band until 0250 UT on the following day, January 25.) According to the NOAA Monthly Bulletin of Solar-Geophysical Data, the radio burst was accompanied by a large optical flare that was located at N16 W49 on the solar disk. The flare commenced at about 2215 UT on January 24, brightened to reach an importance classification of 3B at about 2308 UT, and died away at about 0300 UT on the following day, January 25.

## REFERENCES

- |                 |      |  |
|-----------------|------|--|
| MAXWELL, A.     | 1971 | <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>16</u> , 224.    |
| THOMPSON, A. R. | 1961 | <u>Astrophys. J.</u> , <u>133</u> , 643. |




Fig. 1. Dynamic spectra of the solar radio burst recorded at the Harvard Radio Astronomy Station, Fort Davis, Texas.

# Radio Burst Observations of 24 January 1971 Solar Proton Flare

by

William R. Barron  
Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories  
Bedford, Massachusetts


On 24 January 1971 a 3B solar flare was observed in McMath Region 11128, reaching a maximum at 2331 UT. This flare was the source of energetic particles and subsequent ground level phenomena.

Radio emission associated with this flare was observed at the Manila Observatory, Republic of the Philippines, at discrete frequencies in the 606 - 8800 MHz interval [Hennessey, 1969; Badillo, 1970]. Table 1 gives data pertaining to the radio burst.

Table 1

| Freq.<br>(MHz) | Start<br>Time (UT) | Peak<br>Time (UT) | Duration<br>(min.) | Peak Flux<br>( $\times 10^{-22} \text{ W/m}^2/\text{Hz}$ ) | Mean<br>Flux |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|--------------|
| 8800           | 2304.3*            | 2323.1            | 28.6*              | 11900*   | 4200*        |
| 4995           | 2303.8             | 2323.2            | 29.1               | 7050*  | 3200*        |
| 2695           | 2303.8             | 2323.2            | 29.1               | 2400*  | 1200*        |
| 1415           | 2304.3*            | 2323.2*           | 28.6*              | 720*   | 500*         |
| 606            | 2307.6             | 2323.2            | 16.1*              | 1400*  | 540*         |

The data values marked with an asterisk (\*) are final values supplied by Reverend V. J. Badillo of the Manila Observatory. The analog record of the burst is illustrated in Figure 1.



GREAT RADIO BURST OBSERVED ON 24 JANUARY 1971  
AT THE MANILA OBSERVATORY, R. P.

FIG. 1



The spectral plot of the peak fluxes of the burst is shown in Figure 2. Also included in the plot is the 2695 MHz peak flux observed at the Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory, Penticton, British Columbia [NOAA, 1971 a]. This is included to show the agreement between the two observatories. The peak flux spectrum (U-shaped), flux amplitudes, and flux rise times (>5 minutes) all bear the characteristics of a solar proton radio burst [Castelli *et al.*, 1967; O'Brien, 1970].

The least squares best fit cubic curve determined from the data values is plotted in Figure 2. The maximum and minimum of this curve are

$$F_{\max} = 8374 \text{ MHz}, \sim 3.5 \text{ cm}$$

$$F_{\min} = 1064 \text{ MHz}, \sim 28.1 \text{ cm}$$

The integrated radio burst flux densities, found by multiplying the burst mean flux by the duration in seconds, are given in Table 2.

Table 2

| <u>Frequencies</u> | <u>Integrated Flux Densities</u><br><u><math>\times 10^{-16} \text{ Joules/m}^2/\text{Hz}</math></u> |
|--------------------|--|
| 8800               | 12.9   |
| 4995               | 8.9  |
| 4695               | 4.1  |
| 1415               | 2.5  |
| 606                | 3.0  |

These integrated flux densities fall into the  $10^{-17}$ - $10^{-15}$  Joules/m<sup>2</sup>/Hz range which is sufficient for ground level proton events to occur [Straka *et al.*, 1970]. The reported riometer absorption at 30 MHz was

|                             |        |                 |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Godhavn, Greenland          | 9.7 dB | [Cormier, 1972] |
| Shepherd's Bay, NWT, Canada | 6.2 dB |                 |

The 3B flare reached maximum at 2331 UT at a position of N18 W49 on the visible solar disk. The heliographic longitude of this position was 222.8°. This is in a region of heliographic longitudes which has a history of producing solar proton flares [Straka *et al.*, 1970; Dodson *et al.*, 1968].

In the 100 - 245 MHz frequency interval, noise storm activity was reported by several observatories [NOAA, 1971 a] during the days just prior to the 24th, on the 24th, and on the 25th until approximately 14 hours after the flare. The noise storm activity ceased to be observed at 1400 UT on the 25th and continued to be quiet until 29 January. This decline in solar activity is also noted in dekameter band activity following the intense type IV and type II bursts associated with the 3B flare [NOAA, 1971 b]. No activity whatsoever was reported in the 24 - 48 MHz band by either the Manila Observatory or Sagamore Hill from 0634 UT on 25 January to 1350 UT on 29 January [Geophysics and Space Data Bulletin, 1971].

During the period of January 26 - 28 the level of radio burst activity was also quite low in the 606 - 8800 MHz frequency interval. The lowest level of activity occurred on the 27th. Experience at the AFCRL Sagamore Hill Radio Observatory has shown that a decrease of activity in this given frequency range is a characteristic of post-proton flare periods.

### Summary

The 3B solar flare of 24 January 1971 occurred in a heliographic region known to be productive of proton flares. The U-shaped frequency spectrum, peak fluxes, and flux rise times all met criteria established as being characteristic of solar proton flares which are accompanied by PCA events. The reported absorptions of 6.2 dB and 9.7 dB meant that a ground level PCA event had taken place after the flare. For a period of about 4 days after the flare, radio burst activity was very reduced.




FIG. 2 PEAK FLUX SPECTRUM OF SOLAR RADIO BURST OBSERVED 24 JANUARY 1971, MANILA OBSERVATORY, R.P. AT 2323 UT.

|  |      |  |
|--|------|--|
|  |      | REFERENCES   |
| BADILLO, V. J.                                     | 1970 | Solar Studies at Manila Observatory, <u>AFCRL Report 70-0358</u> .   |
| CASTELLI, J. P.,<br>J. AARONS and<br>G. A. MICHAEL | 1967 | Flux Density Measurements of Radio Bursts of Proton-Producing Flares and Non-Proton Flares, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>72</u> .  |
| CORMIER, R.  | 1972 | (private communication)  |
| DODSON, H. W. and<br>R. HEDEMAN                    | 1968 | Some Patterns in the Development of Centers of Solar Activity, 1962-66, <u>Structure &amp; Development of Solar Active Regions</u> , IAU Symposium No. 35, Published by D. Reidel Pub. Co. |
| HENNESSEY, J. J.                                   | 1969 | Solar Work at Manila Observatory, <u>Solar Physics</u> , <u>9</u> , No. 2.   |
| O'BRIEN, W. E.                                     | 1970 | The Prediction of Solar Proton Events Based on Solar Radio Emissions, AFCRL-70-0425; 23 Jul 70, <u>Environmental Research Papers</u> , No. 328.  |
| STRAKA, R. M. and<br>W. R. BARRON                  | 1970 | Multifrequency Solar Radio Bursts as Predictors for Proton Events, <u>AGARD Conference Proceedings No. 49</u> , Edited by V. AGY, Published January 1970.                                  |

- 1971                    Geophysics and Space Data Bulletin, A. L. CARRIGAN,  
Editor, Vol VIII, No. 1, First Quarter 1971, AFCRL-71-  
0339, Space Reports No. 119.
- 1971 a                Solar-Geophysical Data, 323 Part II, July 1971, U.S.  
Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A.  
80302).
- 1971 b                Solar-Geophysical Data, 319 Part I, February 1971, U.S.  
Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A.  
80302).

# The Solar Microwave Burst of January 24-25, 1971

by

Haruo Tanaka and Shinzo Énomé  
The Research Institute of Atmospheric  
Nagoya University

Time histories of the burst as observed at Toyokawa are shown in the Figure, which indicates comparatively smooth and simple profiles of the burst at four frequencies: 1000, 2000, 3750 and 9400 MHz. Details of numerical values related to this burst are tabulated in Table 1. Within the observed frequencies the spectrum is characterized by the flux being roughly proportional to the frequency. Polarization percentages at the time of maximum flux are also designated in the final column of the Table. Although we have failed to measure polarization at 3750 MHz, the sense of polarization was probably right-handed at 9400, 3750 and 2000 MHz. At 1000 MHz the sense was left-handed (40%) in the main peak, but in the secondary hump occurring around 2350 UT the sense reversed to right-handed (20%). We have no spatial information of the burst source in the main peak owing to the unfavorable time of occurrence for interferometer observations.

Table 1

| Date | Freq. | Starting Time | Time of Max. | Duration | Type | Max. Flux Dens.                        |      | Polarization |
|------|-------|---------------|--------------|----------|------|--|------|--------------|
|      |       |               |              |          |      | $10^{-22}\text{Wm}^{-2}\text{Hz}^{-1}$ |      |              |
| Jan. | MHz   | UT            | UT           | Min.     |      | Peak                                   | Mean |              |
| 24   | 9400  | 2304          | 2322.4       | 51       | C+   | 6900                                   | 1400 | 25% R        |
|      | 3750  | 2303          | 2324         | 52       | C+   | 3540                                   | 850  | -            |
|      | 2000  | 2303          | 2324         | 57       | C+   | 1270                                   | 390  | ≈ 30% R      |
|      | 1000  | 2304          | 2322.1       | 55       | C    | 810                                    | 250  | 40% L        |

JAN 24-25 1971




Fig. 1. Solar microwave burst at Toyokawa, January 24-25, 1971

The Slowly Varying Component of the Frequencies of 2695 MHz, 606 MHz and 536 MHz  
during the Period of the Proton Flare Events of January 24 and September 1, 1971

by

A. Tlamicha and J. Olmr  
Astronomical Institute  
of  
The Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences  
Ondrejov, Czechoslovakia

Because of the observation schedule at The Ondrejov Observatory, the radiotelescopes were not in operation at the time of the proton flares of January 24 and September 1. Thus, we can say nothing about the active radio component during the above-mentioned proton flares. Since the slowly varying component originates from discrete sources that exist in the neighborhood of sunspot active regions, we therefore try to examine not only the active radio component, but also the slowly varying component.

In order to have a richer spectrum, we examined the slowly varying component on the frequencies of 2695 MHz (Sagamore Hill), 606 MHz (Sagamore Hill), 536 MHz (Ondrejov) and 260 MHz (Ondrejov). The period examined includes December 1, 1970 to December 31, 1971 (see Figure 1). The results confirm there is good correlation between the radio flux on the wavelengths mentioned above and sunspot area [Covington, 1948; Tanaka, 1958].

We have taken into account (see Figure 2) the reduced sunspot area of every group from Solnechnye Dannye (Moscow) and the definitive relative sunspot numbers for the years 1970 and 1971 (Sunspot Numbers, Swiss. Federal Observatory, Zürich). It appears from the graph that a very high correlation exists between the flux density on the frequency of 2695 MHz and sunspot area and relative sunspot numbers. A considerable strengthening of the slowly varying component appears on the frequency of 2695 MHz in the period from January 21 to 24 (Table 1). It agrees very well with the supposition of the storage of energy before the proton flare.

On the other hand, at the end of August we observed at this frequency a decrease after strong enhancement (Table 2). The active center of the proton flare by this time had passed from the visible solar disk 3 days earlier.

Surprisingly, the correlation between the main maxima of sunspot area (and relative sunspot number) and the maxima of flux density on the frequencies of 606 MHz, 536 MHz and 260 MHz is also very strong. The base level on 260 MHz is remarkably constant. One observes an extraordinary enhancement in the period from January 9 to 25 (Table 1). We can say nothing for the second event since there are no measurements on 260 MHz from August 27-31.

It is conspicuous that in the period before both proton flares the behavior of the component of radiation on 260 MHz was of the sort that cannot be overlooked. The flux density was enhanced and the active radio component was manifested by strong noise storms. Such centers of storm radiation can be supposed to be stores of particles of low energy escaping from the Sun and coming to the earth [Böhme A. and A. Krüger, 1971]. The behavior of the base level components on all mentioned frequencies and the active radio component on 536 MHz and 260 MHz is presented.

In the Tables 3 and 4 for the noise storms in January and August are given, besides the starting time and duration, also the variability and types of noise storm as classified after Tlamicha et al. [1964]. The noise storms were very intense, particularly on 9, 10, 11 and 12 January 1971 and on August 20-24, 1971. We also examined the active radio component on 9400 MHz, 808 MHz and 536 MHz during January and August at the Ondrejov Observatory. In Tables 5 and 6 the values of the bursts on the frequencies 9400 MHz and 808 MHz are on a relative scale while the values on the frequency 536 MHz are expressed in units of  $10^{-22} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ .






Table 1

Proton event of January 24, 1971

Daily means of solar radio flux density from January 1 to January 31, 1971

| Date<br>January 1971 | 2695 MHz<br>(Sagamore Hill) | 606 MHz<br>(Sagamore Hill) | 536 MHz<br>(Ondrejov) | 260 MHz<br>(Ondrejov) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1                    | 125                         | 64                         | 51                    | 10                    |
| 2                    | 129                         | 63                         | 53                    | 10                    |
| 3                    | 132                         | 64                         | 53                    | 9                     |
| 4                    | 135                         | 66                         | 52                    | 9                     |
| 5                    | 140                         | 67                         | 56                    | 11                    |
| 6                    | 143                         | 69                         | 48                    | 10                    |
| 7                    | 147                         | 87                         | 57                    | 12                    |
| 8                    | 145                         | 72                         | 60                    | 11                    |
| 9                    | 151                         | 76                         | 76                    | 46                    |
| 10                   | 148                         | 71                         | 57                    | 27                    |
| 11                   | 147                         | 77                         | 53                    | 17                    |
| 12                   | 148                         | 71                         | 49                    | 31                    |
| 13                   | 143                         | 70                         | 50                    | 23                    |
| 14                   | 150                         | 74                         | 52                    | 15                    |
| 15                   | 149                         | 68                         | 56                    | 14                    |
| 16                   | 158                         | 74                         | 70                    | 27                    |
| 17                   | 150                         | 68                         | 51                    | 9                     |
| 18                   | 154                         | 67                         | 54                    | 9                     |
| 19                   | 161                         | 68                         | 56                    | 12                    |
| 20                   | 169                         | 68                         | 52                    | 19                    |
| 21                   | 175                         | 68                         | 52                    | 28                    |
| 22                   | 176                         | 68                         | 56                    | 9                     |
| 23                   | 166                         | 68                         | 51                    | 10                    |
| 24                   | 174                         | 71                         | 57                    | 11                    |
| 25                   | 164                         | 70                         | 57                    | 11                    |
| 26                   | 161                         | 65                         | 54                    | 8                     |
| 27                   | 163                         | 66                         | 51                    | 9                     |
| 28                   | 163                         | 71                         | 54                    | 9                     |
| 29                   | 156                         | 68                         | 53                    | 11                    |
| 30                   | 159                         | 73                         | 52                    | 10                    |
| 31                   | 170                         | 70                         | 46                    | 18                    |

Table 2

Proton event of September 1, 1971

Daily means of solar radio flux density from August 1 to September 1

| Date   | 2695 MHz<br>(Sagamore Hill) | 606 MHz<br>(Sagamore Hill) | 536 MHz<br>(Ondrejov) | 260 MHz<br>(Ondrejov) |
|--------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Aug. 1 | 101                         | 50                         | 42                    | 8                     |
| 2      | 99                          | 48                         | 41                    | 7                     |
| 3      | 97                          | 49                         | 43                    | 7                     |
| 4      | 96                          | 51                         | 40                    | 8                     |
| 5      | 97                          | 48                         | 40                    | 8                     |
| 6      | 97                          | 46                         | 41                    | 8                     |
| 7      | 95                          | 48                         | 44                    | 7                     |
| 8      | 104                         | 47                         | 36                    | 9                     |
| 9      | 102                         | 51                         | 38                    | 8                     |
| 10     | 100                         | 48                         | 41                    | 7                     |
| 11     | 97                          | 49                         | 39                    | 7                     |
| 12     | 94                          | 49                         | 43                    | 7                     |
| 13     | 94                          | 49                         | 42                    | 8                     |
| 14     | 92                          | 49                         | 41                    | 8                     |
| 15     | 91                          | 47                         | 40                    | 8                     |
| 16     | 91                          | 46                         | 47                    | 8                     |
| 17     | 96                          | 47                         | 44                    | 8                     |
| 18     | 104                         | 50                         | 46                    | 8                     |
| 19     | 116                         | 54                         | 48                    | 6                     |
| 20     | 131                         | 67                         | 59                    | 24                    |
| 21     | 138                         | 70                         | 57                    | 27                    |
| 22     | 139                         | 62                         | 59                    | 37                    |
| 23     | 138                         | 58                         | 49                    | 37                    |
| 24     | 148                         | 65                         | 55                    | 33                    |
| 25     | 132                         | 56                         | 48                    | 16                    |
| 26     | 126                         | 54                         | 46                    | 9                     |
| 27     | 127                         | 55                         | 46                    | -                     |
| 28     | 117                         | 52                         | 47                    | -                     |
| 29     | 104                         | 50                         | 45                    | -                     |
| 30     | 95                          | 48                         | 44                    | -                     |
| 31     | 89                          | 46                         | 43                    | -                     |
| Sep. 1 | 85                          | 47                         | 43                    | 8                     |

Table 3

## Noise storms at Ondrejov on 260 MHz - January 1971

| Date   | Start<br>UT | Duration<br>Min. | Intensity | Variability | Type        |
|--------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Jan. 4 | 0820        | 250              | 65        | 0           | M weak      |
| 6      | 0820        | 330              | 50        | 0           | "           |
| 8      | 0810        | 340              | 65        | 0           | "           |
| 9      | 0820        | 350              | 85        | 3           | NC          |
| 10     | 0830        | 370              | 80        | 3           | NC          |
| 11     | 0810        | 360              | 50        | 3           | NC          |
| 12     | 0810        | 300              | 65        | 3           | NC          |
| 17     | 0810        | 350              | 70        | 1           | M weak      |
| 18     | 0820        | 340              | 70        | 1           | M very weak |
| 19     | 0820        | 350              | 80        | 0           | "           |
| 21     | 0810        | 360              | 75        | 2           | NC          |
| 22     | 0918        | 282              | 100       | 1           | M weak      |
| 25     | 0810        | 370              | 40        | 1           | M           |
| 29     | 0820        | 360              | 50        | 1           | M           |
| 31     | 0840        | 320              | 105       | 2           | NS          |
| Feb. 2 | 0810        | 230              | >55       | 1           | M           |
| 8      | 0820        | 360              | 60        | 0           | M weak      |
| 9      | 0830        | 360              | 60        | 1           | M weak      |
| 17     | 0910        | 340              | >60       | 1           | M very weak |
| 19     | 1010        | 240              | 100       | 1           | M very weak |
| 27     | 0650        | 490              | >40       | 1           | M           |

Table 4

## Noise storms at Ondrejov on 260 MHz - August 1971

| Date   | Start<br>UT | Duration<br>Min. | Intensity | Variability | Type      |
|--------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Aug. 5 | 0939        | 141              | 60        | 1           | NB        |
| 19     | 1007.5      | 200              | 30        | 0           | M         |
| 20     | 0600        | 580              | >130      | 2           | ND        |
| 21     | 0640        | 530              | > 95      | 2           | NC        |
| 22     | 0620        | 430              | >120      | 1           | NC        |
| 23     | 0610        | 560              | 50        | 2           | NC        |
| 24     | 0610        | 560              | 50        | 2           | NC        |
| 27     | 1234        | 61               | 110       | ?           | M         |
| Sep. 5 | 1310        | 130              | 50        | No class.   |           |
| 6      | 0625        | 525              | 75        | 1           | No class. |
| 7      | 1100        | 520              | 70        | 1           | NB        |
| 8      | 0630        | 450              | 60        | 1           | ND        |
| 9      | <1109.5     | 241.5            | 50        | 1           | NC        |
| 10     | 0640        | 320              | 65        | 2           | M         |
| 11     | 1050        | 210              | 50        | 0           | M         |
| 14     | 0650        | 500              | 45        | 1           | NS        |
| 16     | 0808        | 79               | 65        | 1           | NB        |
| 24     | 0740        | 140              | 35        | 1           | M         |
| 28     | 1308.5      | 121.5            | 75        | 0           | M         |

## Types:

M = Group of bursts  
 NS = Noise storm in progress  
 C = Complex

Table 5

List of extraordinary events at Ondrejov during January 1971

| Date | Start<br>UT | Duration<br>Min. | Intensity         |                   |  |
|------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
|      |             |                  | 9400 MHz          | 808 MHz           | 536 MHz                                  |
|      |             |                  | Relative<br>units | Relative<br>units | $10^{-22} \text{Wm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1}$ |
| 14   | 1121        | 9                | >4.1              | >2.6              | 235                                      |
|      | 1123        | 9                |                   |                   |  |
|      | 1121        | 9                |                   |                   |  |
| 21   | 1318        | 11               | 1.7               | 1.8               | 70                                       |
|      | 1319        |                  |                   |                   |  |
|      | 1320        |                  |                   |                   |  |
| 22   | 1105.5      | 8.5              | 1.8               | >2.8              | >120                                     |
|      | 1106        | >14              |                   |                   |  |
|      | 1106        | >14              |                   |                   |  |
| 23   | 1252.5      | 2.5              |                   |                   | 235                                      |
| 25   | 0927.5      | 10               |                   |                   | 180                                      |
| 26   | 1327        | 3                |                   | 1.6               | 60                                       |
|      | 1327.5      | 2.5              |                   |                   |  |

Table 6

List of extraordinary events at Ondrejov during August 1971

| Date | Start<br>UT | Duration<br>Min. | Intensity         |                   |  |
|------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
|      |             |                  | 9400 MHz          | 808 MHz           | 536 MHz                                  |
|      |             |                  | Relative<br>Units | Relative<br>Units | $10^{-22} \text{Wm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1}$ |
| 8    | 1154        | 1.5              | 1.3               |                   |  |
|      | 1214        | 5.5              | 1.2               |                   |  |
|      | 1157        | 2                |                   | 1.2               |  |
|      | 1209        | 4.5              |                   | 1.3               |  |
| 21   | 0933.5      | 3.5              | 1.6               | 2.3               | 190                                      |
|      | 0934        | 3.5              |                   |                   |  |
|      | 0934.5      | 0.5              |                   |                   |  |
| 22   | 0750        | 8                | 3.8               | 2.0               | >260                                     |
|      | 0750        | 8                |                   |                   |  |
|      | 0747        | 28               |                   |                   |  |
| 23   | 0943        | 3                | 1.9               | 2.0               |  |
|      | 0943        | 7                |                   |                   |  |

In conclusion, we can say that we propose the possibility that the big storms before proton events may be an indication of the storage of energy manifested by the proton events of January 24 and September 1, 1971.

The authors wish to thank Dr. L. Krivský, from whose initiative this work was begun and for his valuable suggestions during the preparing of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- |  |      |  |
|--|------|--|
| BÖHME A. and<br>A. KRÜGER                  | 1971 | Characteristics of Noise Storms and S-component of Solar Radio Emission in March, 1970. <u>World Data Center A, Report UAG-12, Part I</u> , 71-75.   |
| COVINGTON, A. E. and<br>W. J. MEDD         | 1948 | Simultaneous Observations of Solar Radio Noise on 1.5 Meters and 10.7 Centimeters, <u>J. Roy. Astron. Soc.</u> , <b>43</b> , 106-110.  |
| KRÜGER, A.,<br>W. KRÜGER and<br>G. WALLIS  | 1964 | Das zeitliche und spektrale Verhalten der langsam veränderlichen Komponente der solaren Radiostrahlung im gegenwärtigen Fleckenzyklus, <u>Zeitschrift für Astrophysik</u> , <b>59</b> , 37-55. |
| KUNDU, M. R.                               | 1964 | <u>Solar Radio Astronomy</u> , 1964, pp 201 and following.   |
| TANAKA, H.                                 | 1964 | Eleven-year Variation of the Spectrum of Solar Radio Emission on Microwave Region, <u>Proceedings of the Research Institute of Atmospheric</u> , Nagoya University, <b>11</b> , 41-51.         |
| TLAMICHA, A.,<br>L. KRIVSKY and<br>J. OLMR | 1964 | Classification of Solar Radio Noise Storms (Ondrejov 1959-1961), <u>Bull. of Czech. Obs.</u> , <b>15</b> , No. 2, 49-52.   |

## Dekameter Burst of 24 January 1971

by

V. L. Badillo  
Manila Observatory  
P. O. Box 1231, Manila  
Philippines

The solar dekameter burst accompanying the Ground Level Event (GLE) of 24 January 1971 is shown in Figures 1 and 2 as recorded by the Swept Frequency Interferometer Radiometer (SFIR) at Manila about one hour after local sunrise. The instrument has two outputs: (1) one showing the flux integrated over the spectral band 24-48 MHz and permitting a measurement of intensity variation as in Figure 1, and (2) a dynamic spectrograph as in Figure 2 permitting identification of the type of burst, among other things. Data in the dekameter band provides information on activities and conditions in the sun's upper corona.

The envelope of the total flux curve shows three main maxima, A, B and C, each of which show fine structure. A rather striking symmetry is indicated whose physical significance is still to be found. The spectrograph shows type IV radiation on which are superposed type II and type III bursts. Change in intensity is also indicated in the spectrograph by intensification of fringe pattern, fringe reversal (brought about by a clipping circuit when saturation is reached) and fringe washout (due to saturation of the IF circuit). A close correspondence can be seen between the fine structure of the total flux curve and the events depicted in the spectrograph.

The dekameter burst has a more impulsive character than the cm bursts, also recorded at Manila. Bursts 1, 2 and 3 in the main maximum A can be identified as a type III followed by two type II bursts with drift rates of 0.25 and 0.20 MHz/sec respectively. These bursts can be interpreted as manifestations of streams of energetic electrons moving radially outward and exciting successively lower frequencies. The three bursts would then represent three streams, the fastest being followed by successively slower streams. Burst 3 does not seem to be a harmonic of burst 2. Clouds of relativistic electrons are responsible for the type III burst. Using the Baumbach-Allen model with electron densities increased by a factor of 10 (for coronal densities above an active region) the velocities of the electron clouds responsible for bursts 2 and 3 are of the order of 2000 km/sec.

The dekameter burst is very evident starting at 2320.5 UT, but faint traces can be discerned at 2316 in the spectrograph and as early as 2304 in the total power curve, which is about the start time for the cm burst. The GLE of 24 January 1971 is thus accompanied by type IV radiation from the cm to dekameter bands. Hopefully the data from the dekameter burst may help to a better understanding of the mechanisms responsible for Ground Level Events.

We thank the following: J. P. Castelli and J. J. Hennessey for their encouragement, J. E. Salcedo for careful measurements and Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories for supporting this.




Fig. 1. Flux of 24 January 1971 dekameter burst integrated over 24-48 MHz band. (Flux is in relative units).




Fig. 2. Type IV dekameter event recorded by a 24-48 MHz SFIR on 24 January 1971 at Manila Observatory, R. P.



Culgoora Radioheliograph and Spectrograph Observations of  
the Event of 1971 January 24

by

A.C. Riddle and I.D. Palmer  
Division of Radiophysics, CSIRO, Sydney, Australia

The event of 1971 January 24 was observed at Culgoora, in its entirety with the 8 MHz to 8 GHz spectrograph and from 23<sup>h</sup>16<sup>m</sup>30<sup>s</sup> U.T. by the 80 MHz radioheliograph. Sections of these data have been utilized in two papers [Riddle and Sheridan 1971; Palmer *et al.* 1972], published elsewhere and reproduced below in this UAG report.

Riddle and Sheridan [1971] described briefly the observations and gave a model for the sources seen in the late phase of the event. A feature of their model was the postulated injection of electrons, with energies in the 50 to 500 keV range, on to the interplanetary field lines over the period 00<sup>h</sup> to 04<sup>h</sup> U.T. Palmer *et al.* [1972] related the radio data to the initial acceleration of mildly relativistic protons and electrons and their subsequent injection on to interplanetary field lines. Here we add a more detailed comparison of spectrum and heliograph records in the early phase (23<sup>h</sup>16<sup>m</sup> to 23<sup>h</sup>47<sup>m</sup>) to facilitate comparison with other data. In the process of this comparison more definite associations have been made between features on the spectrum and radioheliograph data.

The Culgoora spectrum for the early phase is shown in Figure 1(b). The flux of each radioheliograph source seen during the same period is shown in Figure 1(a). In the analysis which follows use was also made of a spectrum kindly provided by Dr. Alan Maxwell from the Harvard, Fort Davis, Observatory which enabled us to determine spectral details in areas where the Culgoora record is obscured by saturation.

The positions of the heliograph sources, derived from computer analysis, are shown in Figure 2, where an indication of the time of occurrence is given for the moving sources.

The fundamental emission from the first type II event was seen only briefly at 80 MHz and the high-frequency component of the split band was responsible for source A. The lower-frequency component of the harmonic split band was responsible for source B, which appeared to move with a projected speed comparable with that of the shock causing the type II event [Riddle and Sheridan 1971]. However, source C, which corresponds to the high-frequency component of the harmonic of the first type II, was almost stationary, as are many type II sources observed with the radioheliograph.




Fig. 1 The early phase of the event of 1971 January 24.  
 (a) Computer-derived 80 MHz flux values for each radio-heliograph source plotted on a logarithmic scale in arbitrary units. Unless sources present simultaneously have similar flux values only the strongest source is recorded. Dashed profiles indicate missing data. Positions for the sources are shown in Figure 2.  
 (b) The radio spectrum, 8 MHz to 8 GHz.  
 (After Riddle and Sheridan. 1971.)




Fig. 2 Positions of peak brightness for 80 MHz sources during the early phases of the event of 1971 January 24. Points are plotted for every half-minute during the time a source was continuously visible and the dashed lines indicate the time (in minutes after 23h00m) at which the source was at a particular position.  
 (After Riddle and Sheridan, 1971.)

The continuum or type-V-like feature (which includes repeated type III events on the Harvard spectrum) commencing at  $23^{\text{h}}20^{\text{m}}30^{\text{s}}$  corresponded to source D, the position of which changed continuously over the period  $23^{\text{h}}20^{\text{m}}$  to  $23^{\text{h}}27^{\text{m}}$ , as though influenced by the first shock front [Riddle and Sheridan 1971]. Only the harmonic of the second type II passed through 80 MHz ( $23^{\text{h}}26^{\text{m}}$  to  $23^{\text{h}}27^{\text{m}}$ ) and was responsible for the final brightening of the source D. Later portions of the second type II event possibly were represented by source E, although both E and F appear also to be related to the continuum from  $23^{\text{h}}28^{\text{m}}$  onwards. Both E and F are moving sources and are apparently 80 MHz manifestations of the shock wave responsible for the second type II event. Drifting features seen on the spectrum passing through 80 MHz at  $23^{\text{h}}35^{\text{m}}$  and  $23^{\text{h}}38^{\text{m}}$  and herringbone features at  $23^{\text{h}}32^{\text{m}}$ ,  $23^{\text{h}}38^{\text{m}}$  and  $23^{\text{h}}45^{\text{m}}$  caused enhancement of sources E or F without any obvious change in their position. The source J corresponds at  $23^{\text{h}}28^{\text{m}}$  to a drifting feature visible on the Harvard spectrum and probably gives evidence of northward propagation of the shock fronts. The source H became highly polarized towards the end of the early phase and persisted as a storm source for many hours. It is the only source common to both the early and late phase of the event.

Overall the spectral and radioheliograph data for the early stage of the event can be related well to a double explosive event having one flash phase at about  $23^{\text{h}}09^{\text{m}}$  and another at about  $23^{\text{h}}20^{\text{m}}$ , each with a resultant shock front. The moving radioheliograph sources can be related directly to one or the other of two shock fronts, which apparently propagate in quite different directions. In the case of sources E and F emissions represented by a wide variety of spectral features originate within, or close to, the second shock front; in the case of D there is distinct evidence of electrons accelerated in the second flash phase interacting with the shock wave produced by the first flash.

#### REFERENCES

- |   |      |  |
|---|------|--|
| Riddle, A.C. and K.V. Sheridan            | 1971 | Evolution of a jet-like structure in the late phase of a complex solar outburst, <u>Proc. A.S.A.</u> , <u>2</u> , 62-65. |
| Palmer, I.D., S.F. Smerd, and A.C. Riddle | 1972 | Solar radio observations of the proton event of 1971 January 24, <u>Proc. A.S.A.</u> , <u>2</u> , 103-105.               |

by

I.D. PALMER, S.F. SMERD AND A.C. RIDDLE  
Division of Radiophysics, CSIRO, Sydney

On 1971 January 24 a 3B flare at  $18^{\circ}\text{N.}$ ,  $49^{\circ}\text{W.}$  was associated with the acceleration of protons to relativistic energies; it was one of the rare events recorded by ground-level neutron monitors. Excellent radio coverage was obtained with single-frequency radiometers in the range 1000-9400 MHz, and at Culgoora with the 8-8000 MHz spectrograph and the 80 MHz radioheliograph. At the Earth relativistic protons and electrons arrived very promptly from the flare, whose site was near the foot of the nominal interplanetary field line which connects to the Earth.

In this paper we relate the time sequence and the positions of the solar radio bursts to the times and places where the energetic particles were accelerated and injected on to interplanetary field lines. This is the first time that an analysis of such an event has used the positions of the radio bursts in the corona. We show from the radio data that there were two separate explosive events, identified by two flash phases, which occurred  $\sim 10$  min apart. Both explosions gave rise to a coronal shock wave, and these propagated away from the flare site in markedly different directions. The microwave observations indicate that particle acceleration to relativistic energies was associated with the first event, while the subsequent particle release, as deduced from cosmic ray data recorded near the Earth, occurred during the second event. We propose that the shock wave of the first event was responsible for the acceleration of the relativistic particles and that the shock wave of the second event enabled their release on to interplanetary field lines which connected to the Earth.

#### RADIO OBSERVATIONS

The radio spectrum recorded at Culgoora during the 1971 January 24 event has been discussed by Riddle and Sheridan<sup>1</sup> (see their Figure 1). Two type II bursts (both showing fundamental and harmonic structure) appeared at  $23^{\text{h}}16^{\text{m}}$  and  $23^{\text{h}}25^{\text{m}}$ . Their frequency drifts imply the release of shocks from near the surface of the Sun at  $23^{\text{h}}10^{\text{m}}$  and  $23^{\text{h}}20^{\text{m}}$ , respectively. We take these times as defining those of two flash phases in this double event.<sup>1</sup>

In the case of the first flash phase this interpretation is supported by the observation at  $23^{\text{h}}10^{\text{m}}$  of the initial impulsive rise at the microwave frequencies (see below), and of the  $0.5\text{-}3\text{\AA}$  hard X-ray burst between  $23^{\text{h}}04^{\text{m}}$  and  $23^{\text{h}}12^{\text{m}}$ .<sup>2</sup> The absence of type III bursts suggests that the accelerated sub-relativistic electrons were magnetically confined low in the corona. The identification of the second flash phase is supported by several fast-drift bursts which accompanied the sudden onset at  $23^{\text{h}}20^{\text{m}}$  of a broad-band metre-wave continuum, probably of type V.<sup>1</sup> The absence of a distinct impulsive microwave burst suggests that the sub-relativistic electrons did not have access to the low corona.

The microwave single-frequency traces recorded at Toyokawa at frequencies of 9400, 3750, 2000 and 1000 MHz, and reproduced here by courtesy of Dr. H. Tanaka, are given in Figure 1. The 80 MHz flux density is shown for comparison. The impulsive first flash-phase burst at  $23^{\text{h}}10^{\text{m}}$  was followed by a much larger outburst which peaked at  $23^{\text{h}}23^{\text{m}}$ ; both can be regarded as part of the first event, and distinct from the second event, which begins with the second flash.

The 80 MHz positional data obtained from the heliograph,<sup>1</sup> as summarized in Figure 2, shows the envelopes of the brightest points of the first type II burst (A, B and C), the continuum (D), and the second type II burst (D, E and F). Source movement is indicated by an arrow within the envelope. Notice that the 80 MHz source positions indicate that the two shock waves travelled away from the flare site in approximately orthogonal directions.

#### SOLAR COSMIC RAYS

The recording of this event by neutron monitors indicates that protons with energy of  $\sim 1$  GeV first arrived at the Earth at  $23^{\text{h}}35^{\text{m}}$ .<sup>2</sup> Subtracting their theoretical transit time over  $1.3\text{ A.U.}$ <sup>3</sup> suggests that they were injected at the Sun into the interplanetary field at  $23^{\text{h}}23^{\text{m}}$ . This figure is based on the assumption that the first particles to reach the Earth had suffered negligible delay due to scattering, and therefore were effectively collimated (pitch angle  $\sim 0^{\circ}$ ) along the diverging spiral interplanetary field.

In this event spacecraft observations near Earth also revealed the presence of mildly-relativistic electrons. When interpreted similarly to that above, the onset times of the 0.3-0.9 MeV and  $>80$  keV electron events, viz.  $23^{\text{h}}33^{\text{m}}$ ,<sup>4</sup> and  $23^{\text{h}}41^{\text{m}}$ ,<sup>5</sup> imply injection times of  $23^{\text{h}}21^{\text{m}}$  and  $23^{\text{h}}25^{\text{m}}$ , respectively.

In order to test whether any appreciable diffusive delay (due to scattering in the interplanetary medium) might be inherent in the transit time of the first-arriving particles, a simple isotropic

\*Editor's Note: Permission has been received from the authors and editors of the Astronomical Society of Australia to reprint this article.

diffusion model (with diffusion coefficient independent of radial distance from the Sun) was employed to fit the rise of intensity of the proton event as recorded by the Alert neutron monitor.<sup>6</sup> The best fit implies an impulsive injection at 23<sup>h</sup>22<sup>m</sup> with an error of a few minutes, and is thus in good agreement with the values above derived from transit times. We conclude therefore that the injection at the Sun of mildly-relativistic protons and electrons occurred between 23<sup>h</sup>20<sup>m</sup> and 23<sup>h</sup>25<sup>m</sup>, i.e. during the decay of the microwave type IV burst as shown in Figure 1. (Note that particle injection times are shown delayed by 8 min to agree with the radio observations.)




Fig. 1. Flux density profiles obtained from Toyokawa for the event of 1971 January 24-25 at frequencies of 9400, 3750, 2000 and 1000 MHz. The 80 MHz profile from the Culgoora heliograph is also given, and the peaks are labelled by their positions in Figure 2. The two flash phases and the period of cosmic ray injection are also indicated. The cosmic ray injection is shown 8 min after the time at the Sun, since all the other times refer to the arrival of electromagnetic radiation at the Earth.




Fig. 2. Radioheliograph positions at 80 MHz of various radio bursts in the event of 1971 January 24-25, superposed on the Boulder sunspot map.

## DISCUSSION

We now interpret these pieces of evidence in terms of the acceleration, storage and release of relativistic particles in this event (with the particle injection time still delayed by 8 min).

The rise of the microwave type IV burst is evidence of gradual particle acceleration from 23<sup>h</sup>16<sup>m</sup> to 23<sup>h</sup>23<sup>m</sup> (see Figure 1). It is likely that during this time protons as well as electrons were accelerated to relativistic energies perhaps as a consequence of some form of wave-particle interaction associated with the first shock. If the peak in the microwave outburst at 23<sup>h</sup>23<sup>m</sup> signifies the end of particle acceleration, this leaves 5-10 min before the relativistic particles were injected on to interplanetary field lines which connected to the Earth. The injection time, 8-13 min after the second explosion (at 23<sup>h</sup>20<sup>m</sup>) is near the time at which the second shock wave reached the upper corona. We propose that the second shock wave, by virtue of its quite different direction of travel relative to the first, released the energetic particles (which had been trapped during their acceleration) between H, D, E and F (Figure 2). The particle release may have culminated, at about 23<sup>h</sup>28<sup>m</sup>, in a 'bursting' of the magnetic trap due to the expanding hot gas behind the second shock. (A similar interpretation was placed<sup>7</sup> on another event in which the 'bursting' of the condensation was detected by coronagraph observations.) Those relativistic particles released from the magnetic trap on to field lines that connected with the interplanetary field high above equatorial regions near longitude 50°W. should have found a direct magnetic connection to Earth.

The radio records were examined for indications of the release of mildly relativistic electrons in the corona at this time. The only possible evidence is a fairly sudden enhancement in continuum radiation which spans the frequency range between the fundamental and the harmonic of the second type II burst (20-150 MHz).

Riddle and Sheridan<sup>1</sup> described several moving sources appearing some hours later in this event and stretching to the west of region H (see Figure 2) in the form of a jet. They attributed this to the escape of mildly-relativistic electrons along a streamer above H. This streamer would serve as a suitable escape route for the cosmic rays observed at the Earth.

Figure 3 illustrates a model of the three phases of the particle acceleration and release described above.

The authors would like to thank Dr. H. Tanaka for providing the microwave records from Toyokawa, and Dr. G. M. Simnett and Dr. R. P. Lin for the onset times of the 0.3-0.9 MeV and >80 keV electron events, respectively.

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup>Riddle, A.C. and Sheridan, K.V., Proc. ASA, 2, 62 (1971).
- <sup>2</sup>Solar Geophysical Data, U.S. Dept. Commerce, 319, Part 1 (March 1971).
- <sup>3</sup>Webber, W.R., 'The Physics of Solar Flares', NASA SP-50, 215 (1964).
- <sup>4</sup>Simnett, G.M., private communication.
- <sup>5</sup>Lin, R.P., private communication.
- <sup>6</sup>Cline, T.L. and McDonald, F.B., Sol. Phys. 5, 507 (1968).
- <sup>7</sup>Hansen, R.T., Garcia, C.J., Grogard, R.J.-M. and Sheridan, K.V., Proc. ASA, 2, 57 (1971).




Fig. 3. Illustrating the model of the acceleration and release of energetic particles:

- (a) The coronal magnetic field configuration at the first flash phase at 23<sup>h</sup>10<sup>m</sup> showing the flare (X) below closed loops of strong field, but with open field lines nearby.
- (b) The situation at the time of the second flash phase at 23<sup>h</sup>20<sup>m</sup>, showing the trapped particles (shaded loop) accelerated behind the first shock wave, and the appearance of the continuum and associated fast drift bursts in the 80 MHz region D.
- (c) The bursting of the magnetic trap behind the second shock wave at ~23<sup>h</sup>28<sup>m</sup>. Energetic particles are released towards the Earth.



## Evolution of a Jet-like Structure in the Late Phase of a Complex Solar Outburst \*

A. C. RIDDLE AND K. V. SHERIDAN  
*Division of Radiophysics, CSIRO, Sydney*

A new feature in the form of a jet formed by close juxtaposition of a number of highly polarized, separately resolved 80 MHz sources was observed as the late phase of a very complex outburst on 1971 January 25. We present here a source model which, we think, can explain the observed source properties. The early phase, also complex and involving numerous moving sources, will be described first.

### THE EARLY PHASE

#### (a) Observations other than radio

A flare of importance 2B was observed<sup>1</sup> to start at position N.19°, W.49° in McMath region 11128 on January 24, 23<sup>h</sup>09<sup>m</sup> U.T. The maximum intensity of the flare was at 23<sup>h</sup>16<sup>m</sup>, and by January 25, 00<sup>h</sup>24<sup>m</sup> the flare was over. A distinctive feature of this region was a row of five large sunspots formed by coalescence of previously separate regions and extending over some 20° in longitude between latitudes 17° and 19°N. The magnetic-field distribution at the surface was correspondingly complex.

The event was accompanied by a large solar proton burst detected at ground level by neutron monitors.

An intense solar X-ray burst was also recorded, between 0.5 and 20 Å, by the Explorer 37 satellite.<sup>1</sup>

#### (b) Radio observations

The event was recorded from its beginning by the 8 MHz to 8 GHz Culgoora radiospectrograph. The intense activity began at 23<sup>h</sup>15<sup>m</sup>30<sup>s</sup> with the sudden onset of the first type II burst (see Figure 1 (c)\*). After 23<sup>h</sup>20<sup>m</sup> a more intense continuum burst appeared superimposed on this type II burst. A second type II burst commenced at about 23<sup>h</sup>25<sup>m</sup>. These intense emissions, characterizing the early phase of the event, finished at about 23<sup>h</sup>47<sup>m</sup>.

Observations with the 80 MHz radioheliograph<sup>2</sup> commenced at 23<sup>h</sup>16<sup>m</sup>30<sup>s</sup> U.T.—near flare maximum but just prior to the major radio emissions at 80 MHz (see Figure 1 (a)). For the next 30 min a multitude of sources provided a spectacular radioheliograph display. Figure 2 (a)† shows a number of individual sources which, on the whole, moved outward from a central point (the flare centre); in part the morphology is reminiscent of previously reported arch structure.<sup>3-5</sup> The positions of the peak brightness of these sources are plotted in Figure 3 for the intervals during which the sources were observed, as shown in Figure 1 (b).

#### (c) Discussion

The first type II event shows split-band fundamental and harmonic radiation. From the spectrum we derive a starting time for the initiating disturbance (presumably a shock wave) of 23<sup>h</sup>07<sup>m</sup>, close to the starting time of the flare, and a radial velocity of  $\approx 1200$  km/s. Source A (Figures 1 (b) and 3) is the source of the upper-frequency band of the fundamental radiation, while source B accounts




Figure 3. Positions of peak brightness for 80 MHz sources during the early phase of the event of 1971 January 24/25. Points are plotted for every half-minute during the time a source was continuously visible (see Figure 1 (b)). All points representing the same source are enclosed by a line and identified with a letter. The arrows show the direction of motion of each source. The large circle represents the solar photosphere and X the site of the flare at N.19° W.49°.

for the lower band of the second harmonic radiation. A line joining sources A and B is approximately normal to a radius through the flare centre, a relationship which has been previously noted.<sup>6</sup> The identification of source C is uncertain; it may be that of the upper band of the second harmonic. The projected velocity of source B is  $\approx 1000$  km/s, which, on the assumption of radial propagation, gives a source velocity of  $\approx 1250$  km/s, in good agreement with the velocity derived from the spectrum.

The harmonic radiation of the second type II burst appears as source E, which moved at a projected velocity of 950 km/s. From the spectrum we derived a radial velocity of  $\approx 2000$  km/s and a starting time of  $\sim 23^h20^m$  for the initiating disturbance. This was also the starting time of the metre-wave continuum burst (possibly of type V) identified as source D. The common starting time suggests a possible connection between these two bursts. This could be another explosive flare phase resulting in the ejection of fast electrons and a much slower shock front. The former could be responsible for the continuum burst when crossing the shock front of the first type II burst; the latter could become the source of the second type II burst. No distinct optical event has been reported at that time.

The radioheliograph sources F to J are not clearly related to any distinct features on the photographic

\*See Plate III.

†See Plate IV.

\*Editor's Note: Permission has been received from the authors and Journal to reprint this article.

1971 JANUARY 24




Figure 1. The early phase of the event of 1971 January 24/25.  
(a) The total flux density at 80 MHz plotted on a pseudo-logarithmic scale.  
(b) A bar chart showing the time duration of sources identified at 80 MHz. The positions of the sources are shown in Figure 3.  
(c) The radio spectrum, 8 MHz to 8 GHz.




Figure 2. Selected 80 MHz 1-sec. heliograms for the event of 1971 January 24/25.

(a) The early phase of the event.

(b) A sequence of sequential brightenings in the late phase.

spectrum; photometric analysis of the spectrum may lead to further identifications.

The early phase of the event shows features and raises problems already mentioned in other papers<sup>5</sup> and no new hypotheses will be presented here other than the suggestion above of a possible connection between the continuum burst at 23<sup>h</sup>20<sup>m</sup> and the second type II burst at 23<sup>h</sup>25<sup>m</sup>.

#### THE LATE PHASE

##### (a) Observations

After 23<sup>h</sup>47<sup>m</sup> the spectrum at frequencies near 80 MHz showed much reduced activity with weak type IV continuum emission, type I and occasional type III bursts. On the heliograph up to four sources were visible at any one time. These sources were positioned close to a line running west from the centre of the disk (see Figure 2 (b)). Most sources were moving farther west with time. This new type of structure will be referred to as the jet. There were no reports<sup>1</sup> of significant optical activity.

The positions of peak emission of heliograph sources in the jet were calculated up till the cessation of observation on January 25, 04<sup>h</sup>00<sup>m</sup>. The east-west coordinate of these positions is plotted in Figure 4 as a function of time. While only four sources were apparent on the heliograph at any one time, Figure 4 shows that at least seven sources existed at different times. Five of the sources were moving with velocities ranging from 50 to 200 km/s. Except for source 6 the moving sources could have originated from a common position between the two stationary sources (1




Figure 4. Source positions west of the centre of the Sun as a function of time during the late phase of the event of 1971 January 24/25. Symbols such as N3 show the north-south position coordinate in minutes of arc. Two type III bursts are indicated by X.

and 2) at the time of flare commencement. The degree of L.H. circular polarization of the sources 1, 2 and 4 to 6 was consistently 80-90%, whilst that of source 3 increased from an initial value of  $\approx 40\%$  to about 80% during the period 23<sup>h</sup>35<sup>m</sup> to 00<sup>h</sup>00<sup>m</sup> U.T. Source 7 was too weak relative to the other close sources for reliable polarization measurements, and both type III sources at 01<sup>h</sup>35<sup>m</sup> and 03<sup>h</sup>30<sup>m</sup> were unpolarized.

Ionospheric refraction was apparently quite constant during the existence of the jet as most sources maintained a steady north-south position within 2' arc. The source at 8' W. also maintained its east-west coordinate within 2' arc.

##### (b) Analysis

On viewing the heliograph film at speed the authors noted a number of occasions on which the sources in the

jet seemed to brighten in succession from east to west. The brightenings typically doubled the flux density of each source in turn; each brightening lasted for one or two seconds and the sequence took  $\approx 7$  sec to complete. One such occurrence is shown in Figure 2 (b) in which all four sources brightened. On other occasions only three of the sources brightened. In the period 01<sup>h</sup>55<sup>m</sup> to 02<sup>h</sup>25<sup>m</sup>, for most of which suitable data were available, there were three occurrences of four sources brightening in sequence and eight when only three sources brightened.

Assuming the sequential brightenings to be a real effect, we calculated the projected velocity of the causal agent. Velocities of 0.4c to 0.6c (c is the velocity of light) are typical of the 11 cases. The true velocity will be greater than the projected velocity by an amount depending on the geometry.

We tested for coincidences between jet source brightenings and type III bursts to see if the energy range of the jet source electrons ( $\geq 100$  keV) extended down to typical type III values ( $\approx 40$  keV). Only two out of seven weak type III events corresponded in time with brightenings. There is certainly no close association.

##### (c) Discussion

The radiation mechanism for the moving sources in the jet is presumably synchrotron radiation (in the x-mode), since most of the sources occur at positions far above the 80 MHz plasma level. The high degree of polarization is compatible<sup>7</sup> with the mildly relativistic energies of the jet source electrons; it also requires small viewing angles with respect to the magnetic field and would favour anisotropic and asymmetric pitch angle distributions. Since all sources are of like polarity it is probable that the electrons are travelling along open field lines.




Figure 5. A model of the magnetic field for the event of 1971 January 24/25. The view represents a cross section through the active region in a plane parallel to the equatorial plane. The photosphere is seen as a full line quadrant, the 80 MHz plasma level as a dashed curve, the neutral sheet as a dash-dot line, and X marks the site of the flare. Possible stationary sources are denoted by S1 and S2 and a moving source by M. A view in a higher, or lower, plane may show a different moving source.

The stationary sources are probably continuum storms radiated at the plasma level (in the o-mode). Since they have the same (L.H.) polarization as the moving sources the magnetic fields through the stationary and the moving sources must have opposite line-of-sight components.

For the moving sources we hypothesize a disturbance moving outward along the very extended neutral sheet in a helmet structure above the unusually long sunspot group. The disturbance, which could be a plasmoid ejected by the flare or a loop retracting outwards after reconnection of field lines in the neutral sheet, travels with the local Alfvén velocity. Energetic electrons moving on field lines close to the neutral sheet see the disturbance as a bulging region of higher magnetic field. The higher field, and perhaps also the wider range of possible viewing angles, result in the observer seeing much enhanced radiation over that from other points on the electrons' trajectory. This radiation would correspond to a moving source. Brightenings of the source are the result of extra electrons with a narrow range of velocity. In order that the brightenings occur with the observed timing the electrons must have energies  $> 50$  keV. On the high-energy side, electrons with energies  $> 500$  keV would have increasingly directive radiation patterns and may escape observation.

The differing velocities of the moving sources make it unlikely that they follow the same path. In view of the complex nature of the magnetic field in the spot group it is possible that several disturbances, or different parts of a broad disturbance, could be propagating seemingly independently in different parts of the neutral sheet. The various projected velocities could arise from different Alfvén velocities (due to differences in the local magnetic field and electron density), or from differing directions of motion.

One such moving source (designated by M) is illustrated

in Figure 5. The solid lines represent the magnetic field, viewed from the north pole, in a section parallel to the equator through the region of most intense magnetic field. Also marked on the solid lines by S1 and S2 are the positions at which continuum storms could exist. It is suggested that S1 corresponds to the heliograph source 1 and that source 2, which is also stationary, could be either S2 or a moving source travelling directly along the line of sight.

For the type III bursts which occurred during the jet phase to be visible to the west of sources 1 and 2, as observed (see Figure 4), the plasma level in the region where the type III bursts originated must be considerably higher than in the section shown, or the bursts could be harmonic radiation from the 40 MHz plasma level. Both are possible in the present model.

The injection point of electrons must be situated so as to excite any or all of the sources. A position near the photosphere below S1 is a suitable point. Which sources would be excited by a particular group of electrons would depend on the combination of lines along which the electrons were injected into the complex network of closed and open fields.

The model outlined above appears to account at least qualitatively for all the significant observations.

The authors wish to thank Miss Marie McCabe (University of Hawaii) for her generosity in supplying optical data, the staff of the Culgoora Observatory, and Dr S. F. Smerd for his valuable contributions.

<sup>1</sup> Solar Geophys. Data, No. 318 Part I, U.S. Dept. Commerce, (February 1971)

<sup>2</sup> Wild, J. P. (ed.), 'The Culgoora Radioheliograph', *Proc. IREE Aust.*, **28**, No. 9 (1967).

<sup>3</sup> Wild, J. P., *Solar Phys.*, **9**, 260 (1969).

<sup>4</sup> Kai, K., *Solar Phys.*, **11**, 310 (1970).

<sup>5</sup> Wild, J. P., *Proc. ASA*, **1**, 365 (1970).

<sup>6</sup> Dulk, G. A., *Aust. J. Phys.*, **24**, 177 (1971).

<sup>7</sup> Dulk, G. A., *Proc. ASA*, **1**, 372 (1970).

# Radio Bursts Associated with Solar Proton Flare on January 24, 1971

by

Kunitomo Sakurai  
Radio Astronomy Branch  
Laboratory for Extraterrestrial Physics  
NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center  
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

## 1. Introduction

Solar flares which produce high-energy particles, so-called solar cosmic rays, generally accompany radio bursts of spectral type IV. It is known that the microwave component of these bursts is a good indicator of the generation of high-energy particles in solar flares [e.g., Kundu and Haddock, 1960; Sakurai and Maeda, 1961].

In this paper, we will consider some characteristics of solar radio bursts as obtained by satellite and ground-based observations on January 24, 1971. In case of the solar flare on January 24, 1971, the result of decametric radio bursts as observed at the Clark Lake Observatory only will be shown.

## 2. Radio Bursts at Decametric Frequencies on January 24, 1971

The solar flare which produced solar cosmic rays occurred at 2307 UT on January 24, 1971. Its location was N19 and W50 in latitude and longitude, respectively. Type II and IV radio bursts at decametric frequencies were associated with this flare as shown in Figure 1. These were observed at the Clark Lake Observatory. Type II and IV radio bursts at these frequencies started at about 2316 and 2324 UT, respectively. Thus, about ten minutes after the start of the flare, these radio bursts started.

## 3. Discussion

It is known that intense emission of type IV radio bursts at decametric frequencies is a good indicator of the occurrence of solar proton events [e.g., Sakurai and Kita, 1966]. In the case of the January 24, 1971 event, an intense decametric burst was observed as shown in Figure 1. Although the data on high frequency radio emission are not available, this burst may have been accompanied by intense type IV radio bursts at microwave and decimetric frequencies.

## 4. Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Dr. R. G. Stone and Dr. J. Fainberg for their supply of the valuable data on solar radio emission for this event. Comments by Dr. J. Fainberg are appreciated.

## REFERENCES

- |                                   |      |   |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|
| KUNDU, M. R. and<br>F. T. HADDOCK | 1960 | <u>Nature</u> , <u>186</u> , 610.                 |
| SAKURAI, K. and<br>K. KITA        | 1966 | <u>Pub. Astron. Soc. Japan</u> , <u>18</u> , 355. |
| SAKURAI, K. and<br>H. MAEDA       | 1961 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>66</u> , 1966.       |




Fig. 1. Record of decametric radio bursts on January 24, 1971.



#### 4. SPACE OBSERVATIONS

##### Solar X-Ray Emission on January 24-25, 1971

by

D. M. Horan, R. W. Kreplin, and R. G. Taylor  
E. O. Hulburt Center for Space Research  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20390

The records of solar X-ray emission obtained by the Naval Research Laboratory's SOLRAD 9 satellite (Explorer 37, 1968-17A) on January 24 and 25, 1971 are shown as Figures 1 and 2. The top curve on each plot represents the solar X-ray energy flux in the 8 to 20 Å band. In both cases, a gray-body solar emission spectrum [Kreplin, 1961] with a  $2 \times 10^6$  °K color temperature was assumed in converting from ionization chamber current levels to energy flux units. The third curve from the top represents solar energy flux in the 0.5 to 3 Å band based on a gray-body emission spectrum with a  $10 \times 10^6$  °K color temperature for the emitting solar region. The curve is quite intermittent because the 0.5 to 3 Å solar energy flux is usually below the threshold level of the detector.

The X-ray emission is plotted in units of ergs/cm<sup>2</sup>sec on a logarithmic scale. The abscissa is linear with the integers denoting hours in Universal Time (UT). Charged particle interference with the X-rays sensors, which can cause the plotted flux values to be higher or lower than the actual flux, is indicated by the lowest data curve. The ionization chamber current caused by the charged particle background is digitized and recorded as a "count." The number of "counts" plotted is linearly related to the current generated in the 0.5 to 3 Å ionization chamber by penetrating charged particles when the detector is facing away from the sun. Counts of 10 to 15 indicate negligible particle interference. Counts of 20 to the maximum value of 127 indicate significant particle interference. The data processing computer program inhibits the plotting of data obviously contaminated by particle interference, and this feature causes randomly spaced data gaps of 30 minutes duration or less.

In Figure 1, the record of solar X-ray emission in the 1 to 8 Å and 8 to 20 Å bands shows a high background but virtually no flare activity between 0000 and 1150 UT on January 24, 1971. Between 1150 and 1706 UT there were several minor flares; the largest of which may have occurred during the 1418 to 1453 UT darkness interval.

After 1706 UT, the flare activity increased significantly in both frequency of occurrence and magnitude of X-ray emission. This period of increased activity produced three Class M flares which reached peak emission in the 1 to 8 Å band at 1729, at 1820, and sometime after 2049 UT; and culminated in the large, Class X, proton flare which saturated all three SOLRAD 9 sensors and reached a peak flux level between 2323 and 2347 UT. (NOAA's Space Environmental Services Center has defined a flare whose flux in the 1 to 8 Å band exceeds  $1 \times 10^{-2}$  ergs/cm<sup>2</sup>sec to be a Class M event, and a flare whose flux exceeds  $1 \times 10^{-1}$  ergs/cm<sup>2</sup>sec to be a Class X event.) The decaying emission from the Class X flare continued at a sufficiently high level to mask any minor flare activity for several hours into January 25, Figure 2. From 1000 UT until the end of the day on January 25, the record of solar X-ray emission shows a high background level with no flare activity. The periodic increases in the plotted X-ray level for the 0.5 to 3 Å and 1 to 8 Å bands between 0300 and 1120 UT, and the apparent decrease in the 0.5 to 3 Å level at 0125 UT are certainly charged particle effects in the detectors, and probably due to high energy protons emitted by the proton flare rather than particles trapped in the Earth's magnetic field.

#### REFERENCES

HORAN, D. M. and 1972  
R. W. KREPLIN

The SOLRAD 10 Satellite, Explorer 44, 1971-058A, NRL  
Report # 7408.






Fig. 1. Solar X-ray flux on January 24, 1971.




Fig. 2. Solar X-ray flux on January 25, 1971.

IMP V Observations on the Solar Flare Particle Events  
of January 24 and September 1 of 1971

by

M. Van Hollebeke, J. R. Wang, and F. B. McDonald  
 NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland

Introduction

Detailed observations of the solar particle events of January 24 and September 1, 1971, were made with the Goddard Cosmic Ray Experiment on IMP V. These were among the larger events of the current cycle. Both exhibited a rapid rise and a lengthy decay that extended over a three-week period. Detailed examination of the arrival times, and proton/alpha ratio, however, show important differences.

The detector system provides spectral information on 0.5-20 Mev electrons, and 1-80 Mev/nucleon proton and helium nuclei. In particular the experiment is designed to study both galactic and solar cosmic rays and therefore gives rather precise onset times for the various energy intervals. Table 1 gives the particle onset times as well as some solar parameters associated with the two flare events.

Table 1. Details of the Flares

|                         | Event                  |                |
|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
|                         | Jan. 24, 1971          | Sept. 1, 1971  |
| Particle Onset          |                        |                |
| near Earth (U.T.):      |                        |                |
| 0.5-1 Mev electron --   | 2333 $\pm$ 3           | 2002 $\pm$ 3   |
| 19-80 Mev proton --     | 0001 $\pm$ 3 (Jan. 25) | 2055 $\pm$ 3   |
| 4-19 Mev proton --      | 0031 $\pm$ 3 (Jan. 25) | 2130 $\pm$ 3   |
| Flare:                  |                        |                |
| McMath Plage --         | 11128                  | 11482*         |
| Class --                | 3B                     |                |
| Position --             | N19W49                 | ~S12W120*      |
| H $\alpha$ (U.T.)       |                        |                |
| Start --                | 2300                   |                |
| Max. --                 | 2320                   |                |
| End --                  | 0020 (Jan. 25)         |                |
| Type II onset (U.T.) -- | 2316                   | 1934           |
| Type IV onset (U.T.) -- | 2310                   | 1937           |
|                         | 2320                   |                |
| 2-12 Å X-ray (U.T.):    |                        |                |
| Onset --                | 2307                   | 1930           |
| Max --                  | 2329                   | 2009           |
| Remark --               | Strong                 | Weak           |
| Geomagnetic Activity:   |                        |                |
| Onset SC (U.T.) --      | 0430 (Jan. 27)         | 1645 (Sept. 4) |

\* Assumed origin of the associated flare.

# The Event of January 24, 1971

The first 0.5-1 Mev electrons, 19-80 Mev and 4-19 Mev protons associated with this flare were observed to arrive at 2333 UT (Jan. 24), 0001 UT (Jan. 25) and 0031 UT (Jan. 25), respectively. The intensity-time profiles of these particles are plotted in Figure 1. These data points are averages over 1 hour interval. The initial rise-time was very rapid, and the detector observing electrons and 19-80 Mev protons saturated about 2-3 hours after the onset. The 6-19 Mev proton intensity reached a broad maximum around 2300 UT on January 25. Both protons and electron intensities decayed more or less exponentially during the January 26-January 30 period. The decay time constants of 0.5-1 Mev electrons, 19-80 Mev and 6-19 Mev protons were ~28 hours, ~20 hours, and ~17 hours, respectively. After about January 30, the decay times for all particles either became very large or the decay mode was not exponential. The entire event lasted for more than 3 weeks. There was a sudden commencement at ~0430 UT on January 27, which produced only small effects in the particle intensities.




Fig. 1. Intensity-time variations of 0.5-1 Mev electrons, 6-19 Mev and 19-80 Mev protons for the flare-associated event of January 24, 1971. The data were averaged over 1-hour intervals.

Figure 2 shows both the proton and alpha differential energy spectra averaged over 24 hours during the decay phase. It is clear that the proton intensity distribution does not obey power-law-in-energy representation over the entire energy range of 1-80 Mev. In the limited energy range of 4-20 Mev, the proton spectrum could be described by the form of  $E^{-n}$ , with  $n = 2.7$ . On the other hand, the alpha energy spectrum appears to be well represented by  $\sim E^{-4.1}$  over the energy range of 1-80 Mev/nuc. The steeper energy spectrum of alpha particles as compared to that of protons for a given flare-associated event has been observed by many authors in the past years [Teegarden, personal communication; Hsieh and Simpson, 1970; Lanzerotti, 1971].




Fig. 2. The differential energy spectra of protons and alpha particles for January 24 event. Both proton and alpha intensities were averaged over 24 hours during the early part of the decay phase.

Figure 3 gives the variations with time of proton intensities in both 6-19 Mev and 19-80 Mev energy intervals and of the proton spectral index in the energy range of 4-19 Mev. The data points in this plot were averaged over a time period of 6 hours. Initially, the spectrum is hard and gradually becomes softer as the intensity increases, the spectral index reaching a maximum value of 2.7. The spectral index then decreases as the intensity steadily decreases. The hardening of the energy spectrum during the decay phase is consistent with the observational results of Rao *et al.* [1971], that the further away from the population longitude the measurement is made, the harder the energy spectrum one obtains.




Fig. 3. The 6-hour averages of 6-19 Mev and 19-80 Mev proton intensities are plotted here as a function of both time and the position of the associated flare. The time variation of proton spectral index in the energy range of 4-19 Mev is also shown.

In Figure 4 we have plotted both the 6-19 Mev to 19-80 Mev proton ratio and the proton-to-alpha ratio in the same 6-19 Mev/nuc range as a function of both time and flare position. The 6-19 Mev to 19-80 Mev proton ratio clearly decreases with time in decay phase. This implies that the hardening of proton spectrum with time shown in Figure 2 extends to energy of ~80 Mev. On the other hand, the proton-to-alpha ratio in the energy range of 6-19 Mev/nuc displays an initial rapid rise, and then increases very slowly with time. The ratio has a value of ~50 on January 26 when both proton and alpha intensities begin to decay and increases to ~70 on January 30. The average ratio over the entire event is 56.




Fig. 4. The intensity ratios of both 6-19 Mev to 19-80 Mev protons and proton-to-alpha particles in the energy range of 6-19 Mev/nuc are plotted as a function of time and flare position. The time variations of the two ratios are quite different during the decay phase.

# The Event of September 1, 1971

This particle event could not be positively associated with an optical flare on the visible solar disk. There were Type II, Type IV, and soft X-ray bursts at about the right time which could be related to the flare. Later in this section, we shall present arguments suggesting that this particle event originated from a flare about  $30^\circ$  behind the west limb.

The onsets of 0.5-1 Mev electrons, 19-80 Mev and 4-19 Mev protons were observed to be ~2002 UT, 2055 UT, and 2130 UT, respectively. The intensity-time variations of these particles are shown in Figure 5. The initial intensity rise of 0.5-1 Mev electrons is comparable to that of the January 24 event. However, the protons in both the 19-80 Mev and 6-19 Mev energy intervals appear to have longer initial rise-times than those of January 24 event. The 6-19 Mev proton intensity maximum was reached only some 10 hours after the onset and the time of maximum intensity was better defined when compared to the event on January 24. About 3 days after the particle onsets, there was a geomagnetic storm sudden commencement. The small but distinct simultaneous drop in both electron and proton intensities around ~2000 UT on September 4 perhaps was related to the passage of an interplanetary shock wave. Protons in both 6-19 Mev and 19-80 Mev energy ranges have approximately the same decay time constant of ~21 hours, whereas 0.5-1 Mev electrons have a longer decay time of ~25 hours during the period from ~1200 UT September 2 to ~1200 UT September 6.




Fig. 5. Intensity-time variations of 0.5-1 Mev electrons, 6-19 and 19-80 Mev protons for the event of September 1, 1971. The data are averaged over 1-hour intervals.

Figure 6 shows both proton and alpha differential energy spectra measured during the time interval from 1200 UT, September 2 to 2400 UT September 3. Again, the alpha particle spectrum appears to obey a power law in energy quite well, whereas the proton spectrum does not. Both proton and alpha energy spectra are harder compared to those of the January 24 event and have spectral indices of  $\sim 2.0$  and  $\sim 2.9$ , respectively, in the energy range of 4-40 MeV/nuc. Once more, we have steeper alpha spectrum for this event.




Fig. 6. The proton and alpha differential energy spectra from the event of September 1. Both proton and alpha intensities are averaged over 36 hours during the early part of the decay phase.



The 6-hour averages of 6-19 Mev and 19-80 Mev proton intensities and of the proton spectral index in the energy range of 4-19 Mev are plotted in Figure 7 as a function of time. Clearly, the time variation of the spectral index is quite similar to that of the previous event. During the initial intensity rise, the spectrum becomes softer and softer showing the effect of velocity dispersion. The spectral index reaches a maximum value of  $\sim 2$  when the particle intensity started to decay. It decreases with time slowly during the decay phase.




Fig. 7. The 6-hour averages of 6-19 Mev and 19-80 Mev proton intensities are plotted as a function of time. The time variation of proton spectral index in the energy range of 4-19 Mev is also shown.

Figure 8 gives the ratios of both 6-19 to 19-80 Mev protons and proton-to-alpha particles in the energy range of 6-19 Mev/nuc. Although the data points are very scattered, the average 6-19 Mev to 19-80 Mev proton ratio appears to show a general slow decrease with time. This again indicates the general hardening of spectrum up to ~80 Mev, but the rate of this spectral hardening is less rapid than the previous event. The proton-to-alpha ratio on the bottom half of Figure 8 shows a different pattern compared to the event of January 24. During the initial intensity increase, it decreases rapidly. In the decay phase it shows a slight increase with time, although, within errors, a time-independent ratio could also be consistent with data. The overall average ratio is ~40.




Fig. 8. The intensity ratios of both 6-19 Mev to 19-80 Mev and proton-to-alpha particles in the energy range of 6-19 Mev/nuc are plotted as a function of time. The difference in the time variation of the two ratios, although not as strong as that of January 24 event, is present.

Our belief that this event could be originated from a backside flare is based upon the following observations:

a. The McMath Plage region 11482 was at the position of  $\sim W120$  of central meridian at the time of the flare. During its passage on the visible solar disk, the activity of this region, both in terms of optical flare production and flux of 9 cm radio waves was quite outstanding.

b. Within several hours before the time of particle intensity increase, there was no optical flare of reasonable size and brightness which could be considered as being reasonable for the huge particle fluxes observed near the Earth. Although there are both Type II and Type IV radio bursts associated with the particle event, it is possible that these are produced by a flare just behind the limb [Smerd, 1970]. The observed weak soft X-ray burst listed in Table 1 could result from the edge of a large radiation region centered around McMath Plage 11482.

c. Both the proton and alpha particle spectra in the energy range of 4-40 Mev/nuc are hard compared to those of particle events originating from western hemisphere on the visible solar disk. For most cases, we found that protons associated with flares at positions far away from  $\sim W50$  generally have harder energy spectrum than those near  $\sim W50$  heliolongitude.

d. At the time of this event, the positions of Pioneers 6, 7, 8 and 9 spacecraft relative to the assumed position of the flare ( $\sim W120$  of central meridian) are at  $\sim E120$ ,  $\sim W50$ ,  $\sim W160$  and  $\sim W110$ , respectively. Therefore, particle intensities observed by Pioneers 6 and 7, as well as IMP V, should be comparable. Those measured by Pioneers 8 and 9 should be somewhat less comparable (after the effect due to interplanetary spiral magnetic field is taken into account). The data from Pioneer 7 were not available during the event [Solar-Geophysical Data]. However, a preliminary comparison of proton intensities measured by IMP V, Pioneers 6, 8, and 9 is consistent with our assumption on the position of the flare.

From all the above considerations, it is very likely that this particle event originates from McMath Plage region 11482 at  $\sim W120$ .

### Discussion

There are several features from the above description which we consider to be significant. First of all, the variation of the proton-to-alpha ratio in the energy range of 6-19 Mev/nuc during the time of initial intensity rise was completely different for the two events. Whereas the ratio increases for the event of January 24, it decreases for the event of September 1. This strongly suggests that the condition of the diffusive region between the source and the Earth may be quite different for the two time periods. In fact, Englade [1971] has pointed out that the parallel diffusion coefficients for protons and alpha particles at a given energy per nucleon depend critically upon both the power-law exponent of the interplanetary magnetic field power spectrum and the correlation length of the field. Thus, depending upon these magnetic field parameters at the time of particle measurements, it is possible to have the proton-to-alpha ratio either increasing or decreasing with time in the early part of the particle event.

Secondly, during the decay phase of both events, while the proton-to-alpha ratio (6-19 Mev/nuc) appears to increase with time, the 6-19 Mev to 19-80 Mev proton ratio is observed to decrease with time. The 6-19 Mev/nuc alpha particles have the same speed as, but higher rigidity than, the 6-19 Mev protons. Compared to 19-80 Mev protons, however, they have about the same rigidity but less speed. If the diffusion coefficient in both solar corona and interplanetary medium is an increasing function of both speed and rigidity, then one expects the proton-to-alpha ratio to stay constant or decrease slightly with time during the decay phase. The observed increase of the ratio with time suggests that, because of the difference in proton and alpha differential energy spectra, the effect of adiabatic deceleration in the interplanetary medium and/or the ionization loss in the solar corona is operating. For the case of adiabatic deceleration, the alpha particles would decay faster than protons because the energy spectrum for alpha particles is steeper than that for protons [Forman, 1971]. On the other hand, the energy loss in the solar corona could also give rise to the observed phenomenon, because (1) for both events, the positions of the associated flares moved away from  $\sim W50$  heliolongitude, and (2) the ionization loss is the same for both protons and alpha particles at a given energy per nucleon. As time goes on in the decay phase, we are observing proton-to-alpha ratio at progressively higher kinetic energy (Mev/nuc) as particles travel a longer distance across the solar disk.

Thirdly, if the first arriving particles travel  $\sim 1.3$  A.U. along the interplanetary spiral magnetic field lines between the sun and the Earth, then the transit times are  $\sim 12$  min,  $\sim 34$  min and  $\sim 71$  min for 0.7 Mev electrons,  $\sim 50$  Mev and  $\sim 11$  Mev protons, respectively. The expected transit time difference between 0.5-1 Mev electrons and 19-80 Mev protons is  $\sim 22$  min, and that between 0.5-1 Mev electrons and 4-19 Mev protons is  $\sim 59$  min. These time differences are consistent with the observed results of the January 24 event. However, the event of September 1 gives much larger transit time differences of  $\sim 53$  min and  $\sim 88$  min than those expected from a simultaneous near-sun release of all flare particles. The onsets of  $>45$  kev electrons (which has the effective energy response of  $\sim 100$  kev assuming electron energy spectrum of  $\sim E^{-3}$ ) for those events were  $\sim 2333 \pm 2$  UT on January 24 and  $\sim 2000 \pm 2/-5$  UT on September 1 [R. P. Lin, personal communication]. These results imply [Sullivan, 1970; Lin and Anderson, 1967] that particles of different species and energy may not be injected into interplanetary medium simultaneously. It is not completely clear whether this non-simultaneous particle injection is due to the evolutionary particle acceleration [Sullivan, 1970] or the diffusion-storage of the energetic particles in the solar corona. Undoubtedly, this phenomenon deserves more detailed study.

#### REFERENCES

- |  |      |   |
|--|------|---|
| ENGLADE, R. C.   | 1971 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> <b>76</b> , 768   |
| FORMAN, M. A.  | 1971 | <u>12th Intern. Conf. on Cosmic Rays</u> , <b>2</b> , 511   |
| HSIEH, K. C. and<br>J. A. SIMPSON                                    | 1970 | <u>Ap. J.</u> , <b>162</b> , L191   |
| LANZEROTTI, L. J.  | 1972 | <u>NASA/TM-X02440</u> , 193   |
| LIN, R. P. and<br>D. A. ANDERSON                                     | 1967 | <u>Solar Physics</u> , <b>1</b> , 446.  |
| RAO, U. R.,<br>K. G. MC CRACKEN,<br>R. P. BUKATA, and<br>E. P. KEATH | 1971 | <u>12th Intern. Conf. on Cosmic Rays</u> , <b>2</b> , 519   |
| SMERD, S. F.   | 1970 | <u>Proc. ASA</u> , <b>1</b> , 305   |
| SULLIVAN, J. D.  | 1970 | <u>Thesis, University of Chicago</u>  |
|  | 1971 | <u>Solar-Geophysical Data</u> , 318 Part I, U.S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302). |

Energetic Electron and Proton Solar Particle Observations  
on OGO-5, January 24-30, 1971\*

by

H. I. West, Jr., R. M. Buck and J. R. Walton  
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, University of California  
Livermore, California 94550

and

R. G. D'Arcy, Jr.  
Bartol Foundation of the Franklin Institute  
Swarthmore, Pennsylvania 19081

## Introduction

Neutron monitors [Solar-Geophysical Data No. 319, Part I, p. 97, March 1971] indicated the arrival of solar particles of cosmic energy at the earth at about 2330 UT January 24, 1971. At that time OGO-5 was outbound on the morning side of the earth. Our instruments on OGO-5 detected the first arrival of solar particles at 2336 UT at which time OGO-5 was located at  $R = 10.27 R_E$ ,  $\lambda_m = 41.6^\circ$ ,  $\phi_{GSE} = 254^\circ$ ,  $\lambda_{GSE} = 67.5^\circ$ ,  $\phi_{GSM} = 262^\circ$ , and  $\lambda_{GSM} = 42.2^\circ$ , where the coordinates are radial distance, magnetic latitude, solar ecliptic azimuth and elevation, and solar magnetospheric azimuth and elevation. OGO-5 did not return to the magnetosphere until January 27, hence was able to provide uninterrupted coverage during this early evolution of the solar particle event. The data coverage in this report extends through January 30, 1971.

## Instrumentation

These data were obtained by the LLL electron and proton spectrometer experiment on OGO-5. The electron analyzer consisted of two magnetic  $180^\circ$ -first-order-focusing spectrometers. Solid state detectors in the focal plane provided both particle detection and secondary energy analysis. Shielded background detectors provided an accurate measure of the background. Data were obtained from energy channels centered at 79, 158, 266, 479, and 822 keV. Channels were also available at 1530 and 2830 keV. However, the 1530-keV channel at this time was somewhat noisy (OGO-5 was launched March 4, 1968) and the 2830-keV channel was at background.

Protons were obtained from a range energy telescope and a single adjacent detector located in the larger of the electron spectrometer magnets in line with the entrance aperture of the electron spectrometer. The electron spectrometer magnet served the dual purpose of electron broom. Energy channels were  $P_1$  (0.1 - 0.15 MeV),  $P_2$  (0.23 - 0.57 MeV),  $P_3$  (0.56 - 1.35 MeV),  $P_4$  (1.35 - 5.4 MeV),  $P_5$  (5.6 - 13.3 MeV),  $P_6$  (14 - 46 MeV), and  $P_7$  (43 -  $\sim 94$  MeV). In addition an omnidirectional channel derived from the background detector for the 2830-keV electron channel provided a proton channel  $O_1$  ( $\geq 100$  MeV). The geometrical factors for these channels were ( $P_1$ ,  $2.06 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2\text{-sr}$ ), ( $P_2$ ,  $1.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2\text{-sr}$ ), ( $P_3$ ,  $1.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2\text{-sr}$ ), ( $P_4$ ,  $1.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2\text{-sr}$ ), ( $P_5$ ,  $1.25 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2\text{-sr}$ ), ( $P_6$ ,  $1.72 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2\text{-sr}$ ), ( $P_7$ ,  $1.98 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2\text{-sr}$ ), and ( $O_1$ ,  $\sim 0.6 \times 4\pi \text{ cm}^2$ ). The logical rearrangement of the various logic elements of the proton telescope allowed the evaluation of the backgrounds but in general the background evaluation was more subjective than for the electron spectrometer.

In order to obtain directional information on the earth-sun oriented satellite, the apertures of the spectrometers were scanned relative to the satellite at  $3^\circ/\text{second}$  through an excursion of  $230^\circ$ . The axis of the scan was about the radius vector passing through the center of the earth with the experiment aperture looking out perpendicular to the scan axis.

Since the experiment had been in use 3 years at the time of these observations, the experiments diagnostic procedures were important. An inflight pulse generator insured us that the logic levels were correct and that the logic was functioning properly. In addition the data obtained in the outer radiation belt were credible.

## Orbit

At launch on March 4, 1968, OGO-5's orbit was inclined at  $31^\circ$  and perigee and apogee were  $1.04 R_E$  and  $24 R_E$  (geocentric). At the time of these observations the orbit was inclined at  $54^\circ$  and perigee and apogee were  $4.8 R_E$  and  $20.2 R_E$  (geocentric). Table 1 gives the location of the satellite during these observations.

---

\*Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission funded in part under NASA P.O. S-70014-G.

Table 1  
Position of OGO-5

| UT      | R       | $\lambda_m$ | $\phi_{GSE}$ | $\lambda_{GSE}$ | $\phi_{GSM}$ | $\lambda_{GSM}$ |
|---------|---------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 2400/24 | 10.631  | 41          | 261          | 69              | 265          | 43              |
| 0200/25 | 12.32   | 37          | 291          | 70              | 281          | 48              |
| 0400/25 | 13.81   | 34          | 313          | 67              | 296          | 53              |
| 0600/25 | 15.117  | 32          | 326          | 63              | 313          | 57              |
| 1200/25 | 17.802  | 35          | 344          | 53              | 341          | 52              |
| 1800/25 | 19.739  | 30          | 354          | 41              | 340          | 37              |
| 2400/25 | 20.288* | 7           | 359          | 32              | 344          | 28              |
| 1200/26 | 18.097  | - 4         | 7            | 11              | 7            | 11              |
| 1800/26 | 15.179  | - 7         | 12           | - 4             | 13           | 0               |
| 2400/26 | 10.738  | -34         | 22           | -28             | 31           | -16             |
| 0200/27 | 8.882   | -45         | 29           | -41             | 42           | -27             |
| 0400/27 | 6.921   | -51         | 50           | -60             | 63           | -46             |
| 0600/27 | 5.242   | -33         | 144          | -63             | 130          | -56             |
| 0724/27 | 4.815*  | - 1         | 179          | -29             | 175          | -29             |
| 0800/27 | 4.935   | 13          | 186          | -12             | 185          | -13             |
| 1000/27 | 6.327   | 49          | 203          | 32              | 205          | 31              |
| 1200/27 | 8.269   | 62          | 222          | 56              | 226          | 54              |
| 1800/27 | 13.439  | 49          | 306          | 68              | 291          | 52              |
| 2400/27 | 18.939  | - 5         | 3            | 15              | 355          | 15              |
| 1200/28 | 20.162  | 20          | 354          | 36              | 352          | 35              |
| 1430/28 | 20.256* | 21          | 356          | 32              | 351          | 31              |
| 2400/28 | 18.647  | - 9         | 4            | 14              | 357          | 14              |
| 1200/29 | 12.751  | -29         | 14           | -16             | 15           | -15             |
| 1800/29 | 7.382   | -46         | 40           | -56             | 55           | -42             |
| 2000/29 | 5.585   | -43         | 118          | -69             | 104          | -47             |
| 2142/29 | 4.846*  | -12         | 175          | -31             | 161          | -26             |
| 2400/29 | 5.928   | 32          | 197          | 23              | 205          | 13              |
| 0200/30 | 7.806   | 47          | 214          | 51              | 231          | 34              |
| 0400/30 | 9.729   | 49          | 239          | 65              | 251          | 48              |
| 1200/30 | 15.678  | 47          | 326          | 61              | 320          | 59              |
| 1800/30 | 18.383  | 36          | 341          | 50              | 325          | 41              |

\* Apogee and perigee.

#### Electron Observations

OGO-5 was at 10.45  $R_E$  on the morning side of the earth at the start of the event. It had just exited from an encounter with high latitude ( $\lambda_m = 42^\circ$ ) plasma sheet particles and from 2336 UT January 24 to 0100 UT January 25 was able to make good electron observations before again encountering plasma sheet particles (11.5  $R_E$ ). In general all data obtained during the encounters with particles of magnetospheric origin have been eliminated. At times, even interplanetary, there were occasional gusts of particles in  $E_1$ . No attempt was made to preserve the structure in the averaging of the data.

The data average during the period of rapidly changing fluxes at the start was 9.6 minutes, later to be extended to 1.2 hour. The experiment scanned continuously during the six days of observations. No sign of any appreciable deviation from isotropy showed during this period. All data were of course, corrected for backgrounds, the most subjective periods being within a few hours of the start when the relativistic particle fluxes were highest and towards the end of the observations when the solar particle counts were not greatly above background.

The data in Figure 1 show strong time-of-flight effects. This becomes more evident by examining the differential energy spectra in Figure 2. By 2142 UT January 25 a power law spectrum ( $E^{-3.00}$ ) prevailed. After that the spectrum hardened slightly, the exponent being 2.66 at 0400 UT January 27, 2.55 at 0400 UT January 28, and 2.67 at 0300 UT January 29. There is no significant difference between these values.

### Proton Observations

The proton results are shown in Figures 3 and 4, Figure 3 giving the time history and Figure 4 integral spectra. Similarly, as for the electrons the data are 9.6 minutes or 1.2 hour averages as the details of the structure dictate. We have not plotted channels  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . These channels often saw gusts of particles and the structure would be lost in the plot. Also there was some anisotropy in the data, the effect being greatest at the lower energies. The background effects for all P-channels have been evaluated and subtracted for both Figures 3 and 4. For  $O_1$ , the galactic background level of 3.1 counts/sec was included in Figure 3 but was subtracted for Figure 4. Similar to the electrons these data show strong time-of-flight effects.

### Discussion

A periodic structure ( $\sim 5$  hours) shows up in both the electron and proton data for the first day after the onset of arrival of the particles. Since the periodicity is not dependent upon energy or type of particle the data suggest a filamentary structure in the interplanetary medium.

Early on January 27 a Forbush decrease began [Solar-Geophysical Data No. 319, Part 1, p. 98, March 1971] terminating towards the end of January 29. Possibly the drop in the flux of the more energetic protons (including the  $> 100$  Mev-channel) starting  $\sim 0400$  UT January 27 is associated with the Forbush decrease. However, at this time OGO-5 was well inside the magnetosphere ( $\sim 7 R_E$ ) encountering trapped radiation between 0630 UT and 0900 UT. The solar proton flux continued to decrease until  $\sim 1500$  UT ( $R = 11.6$ ,  $\lambda_m = 44^\circ$ ,  $\phi_{GSM} = 267^\circ$ , and  $\lambda_{GMS} = 60^\circ$ ). It is possibly significant that as the flux returned to its original decay curve at 2300 UT ( $R = 15.4$ ,  $\lambda_m = 36^\circ$ ,  $\phi_{GMS} = 302^\circ$ ,  $\lambda_{GMS} = 44^\circ$ ) the directional distribution of the protons changed from isotropy to a modulation in the OGO-5 data of  $\sim 4/1$ . The data as averaged at this time (in Figure 3) reflect, predominately, the flux of particles from the sunward direction. At this time we can be reasonably assured that OGO-5 was outside of the magnetosphere. It would appear that the flux of protons in the magnetosphere more nearly followed the flux of protons directed towards the sun than away from the sun. The solar protons stayed modulated until  $\sim 1300$  UT January 28 ( $R = 20.2$ ,  $\phi_{GSE} = 356^\circ$ ,  $\lambda_{GSE} = 33^\circ$ ). Possibly some of the drop in counting rates at this time may have been due to a loss of the most advantageous look direction. During the solar particle event the electrons were isotropic and showed no effects during the magnetospheric encounters, except of course, when trapped radiation or gusts of plasma sheet radiation were encountered. These radiations were easily detected and excluded from the plot in Figures 1. It would appear that when properly analyzed these solar particle data will have something to say about magnetospheric entry and clearly they can contribute to our knowledge of the interplanetary transport of energetic charged particles.




Fig. 1. Time profile of the differential electron fluxes as observed by the magnetic electron spectrometer on OG0-5.






Fig. 2. Differential electron spectra during the early part of the event. The spectrum was essentially constant after 2400 UT January 25.




Fig. 3. Time profile of the proton fluxes as observed by the range energy telescope on OGO-5. The geometrical factors are given in the text.




Fig. 4. Integral proton spectra during the particle event.

## Proton and Alpha Particle Fluxes Measured Aboard OV5-6

by

G. K. Yates and J. G. Kelley  
Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories  
L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts

and

B. Sellers and F. A. Hanser  
Panametrics, Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts

Satellite OV5-6 (International designation 1969-046B) measures solar fluxes of protons and alpha particles. The perigee is 16,341 km and the apogee is 112,196 km. Thus, for most of the time the instruments are outside the earth's magnetosphere. The data presented here do not include fluxes within this region. The orbit was described in greater detail in an earlier report in this series [Yates et al., 1971].

The proton-alpha particle detector on OV5-6 consists of two totally depleted silicon surface barrier detectors in a telescope configuration. The detectors each have a  $2 \text{ cm}^2$  area and are separated by 2.54 cm. The outer one is 200 microns thick and the inner one 750 microns. The outer detector is shielded from light by 0.6 mil of aluminum foil. In the coincidence mode of operation, the telescope has a geometric factor of  $0.52 \text{ cm}^2\text{-sr}$ , with a detection cone of  $30^\circ$  half angle. The average angle of detection is  $17^\circ$ . A coincidence is set by an energy loss window on the first detector and a threshold on the second detector. The resulting coincidences detect protons and alpha particles (principally) in the following ranges: protons 5.3 to 8 Mev, 8 to 17 Mev, 17 to 40 Mev, and 40 to 100 Mev; alpha-particles 20 to 32 Mev, 32 to 68 Mev, and 68 to 100 Mev. The telescope cycles sequentially through these seven ranges, each range is counted and then read out. The complete cycle is completed in approximately two minutes. The telescope looks in the equatorial plane of the satellite.

The satellite spin axis is stable and is directed toward  $0^h$  and  $40^m$  RA and  $32^\circ$  declination in celestial coordinates. In January 1971 the sun appeared twenty degrees above ( $+20^\circ$ ) the satellite's equator. Since the spin period at this time was approximately 4.7 seconds, the telescope accumulated counts in a given particle energy range for approximately two satellite rotations.

The figure shows 30 minute averages of the data from the four coincidence proton channels and the lowest energy alpha particle channel. Fluxes are given in  $\text{particles/cm}^2\text{-sec-sr-Mev}$ ; most gaps correspond to periods of no telemetry; points when the satellite was within the trapped radiation belt are omitted.

Armstrong and Krimigis [1971] have shown that there is an inverse correlation between the proton/alpha-particle flux ratio and the hardness of the proton energy spectrum. These data agree with their results. A comparison of the two lower proton energy intervals in the figure shows that the January event was harder than the September event given in Part II, p. At 1200 hours UT, January 25, the proton/alpha-particle flux ratio in the 5 to 8 Mev/nucleon interval was 12.

### REFERENCES

ARMSTRONG, T. P. and 1971  
S. M. KRIMIGIS

Statistical study of solar protons, alpha particles, and  $Z \geq 3$  nuclei in 1967-1968, J. Geophys. Res., **76**, 4230-4244.

YATES, G. K., L. KATZ, 1971  
J. G. KELLEY, B. SELLERS,  
F. A. HANSER and  
P. R. MOREL

Proton, alpha and bremsstrahlung fluxes measured aboard OV5-6, Upper Atmospheric Geophysics Report, **UAG-12**, Pt I, p. 139-146.




FIGURE 1. TIME VARIATION OF PARTICLE FLUXES (30 minute AVERAGES)

## Particle Observations during the 24 January 1971 Event

by

J. W. Kohl  
The Johns Hopkins University  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
Silver Spring, Maryland

Presented here are observations of solar proton and alpha particles detected by solid state detectors of the Solar Proton Monitoring Experiment (SPME) on board IMP-G (Explorer 41) during the time period 24 January through 1 February 1971.

### Background

IMP-G (Explorer 41) is in a highly elliptical orbit with apogee at  $\sim 170 \times 10^3$  km, perigee at  $\sim 250$  km, and an inclination of  $84^\circ$ . The orbital period is  $\sim 80$  hours and during the time period shown in the following figures completed  $\sim 2 \frac{1}{2}$  orbits. From 24 January through 1 February 1971 the orbital plane was at a sun-earth-spacecraft angle of  $\sim 156^\circ$  at  $\sim 2200$  hours LT.

There are three groups of integral detectors with threshold energies of  $>60$  Mev,  $>30$  Mev, and  $>10$  Mev. A description of these detector systems and their characteristics has been previously published in "Solar-Geophysical Data", Descriptive Text [1972]. There is also a single detector with two discrimination levels which measures protons and alphas in the differential ranges  $1 \leq E_p \leq 10$  Mev and  $4 \leq E_\alpha \leq 36$  Mev, respectively. The characteristics of this detector have been previously discussed by J. C. Armstrong and C. O. Bostrom [1971]. No additional comments on detector characteristics will be presented in this report.

The data for all channels will be presented as hourly averages. Perigee effects such as passage through the trapped radiation zones have been edited out. Also, a background value (an average of several days prior to 24 January) has been subtracted from the  $>60$  Mev,  $>30$  Mev, and  $>10$  Mev values. No background values have been subtracted from the  $1 \leq E \leq 10$  Mev/nucleon channels because of their variability prior to the event.

### Observations

The data for protons  $>60$  Mev,  $>30$  Mev, and  $>10$  Mev for the time period 24 January through 1 February 1971 are presented in Figure 1. From every-point data, which is not shown, it can be seen that all three detectors begin their initial rise within  $\sim 6$  minutes of each other at  $\sim 2336$  UT on 24 January. The fluxes then increase to their maximum; 89, 407, 1170 protons/cm<sup>2</sup>sec ster for the  $>60$ ,  $>30$ ,  $>10$  Mev detectors, respectively. The fluxes then seem to remain on a plateau for a length of time longer for lower energies. The decay period, which exhibits some modulating effects, extends from  $\sim 3$  to  $\sim 7$  days, depending on energy. Shown on this same figure, for convenience, are the 3-hour Kp averages. Also indicated is a sudden-storm-commencement at 0430 UT on 27 January. All three channels indicate the arrival as a sudden decrease in intensity.

Figure 2a shows the intensity-time profile for the two differential channels. Except for the ledge early on the 25th, the intensity-time profiles exhibit essentially similar characteristics. The event onset for the  $\sim 1$ -10 Mev/nucleon channels is  $\sim 2347$  UT on the 24th - some 10 minutes after the higher energy integral channels. The maximum intensities are 3.936 and 161 particles/cm<sup>2</sup>sec ster for the  $\sim 1$ -10 Mev/nucleon protons and alphas, respectively. The ratio of alphas-to-protons for the same time intervals is shown in Figure 2b. It can be seen that prior to the event the alpha/proton ratio has a large spread about  $\sim 0.06$  and at the start of the event the spread in the ratios become smaller to form a smooth curve. The ratio is then seen to fall to a minimum of  $\sim 0.015$  at about the time of the sudden-storm-commencement. At this time also, the spread begins to increase. As the recovery phase develops, the value of the alpha/proton ratio and the spread in values increase to approximately the pre-event values.




Fig. 1. EXPLORER 41 HOURLY AVERAGES 1971 CH 1, 2, 3




Fig. 2a




Fig. 2b

Fig. 2. EXPLORER 41 HOURLY AVERAGES CH 4, 5



## REFERENCES

- ARMSTRONG J. C. and C. O. BOSTROM 1971 Solar Protons and Alpha Particles in the March 6-9, 1970 Events, World Data Center A, Upper Atmosphere Geophysics, Report UAG-12, 134.
- 1972 Solar-Geophysical Data, Descriptive Text, 330 Supplement, U.S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302).

# Solar Electrons, Protons, and Alpha Particles in the 24 January 1971 Event

by

L. J. Lanzerotti and C. G. MacLennan  
Bell Laboratories  
Murray Hill, New Jersey

Editor's Note: The authors did not have time to submit discussion of their data, but kindly sent the following four Figures presenting the event as seen on detectors on the Explorer 41 satellite.




Fig. 1. Time history of the electron fluxes in three integral electron channels as measured by a four element solid state detector telescope on the Explorer 41 satellite.




Fig. 2. Time history of the proton fluxes in four differential channels.




Fig. 3. Time history of the alpha particle fluxes in three differential channels.




Fig. 4. Time history of the alpha and proton fluxes in two differential channels and the alpha-to-proton flux ratio for the onset of the event. The alpha-to-proton ratio is substantially enhanced during the first day of the event. (This figure is taken from a paper by Lanzerotti, MacLennan, and Graedel, *Astrophys. J.*, 173, L39-43, University of Chicago Press, 1972. Permission to reprint this figure has been given by the authors and the Journal. Copyright 1972, The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.).

The Distant Interplanetary Magnetic Field Measured by Pioneer 8  
during the Period January 20 to 30, 1971

by

A. Castelli<sup>+</sup> and F. Mariani<sup>++</sup>

<sup>+</sup>Laboratorio Plasma nello Spazio  
Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche  
Istituto di Fisica dell'Università  
Roma, Italia

<sup>++</sup>Istituto di Fisica dell'Università  
L'Aquila, Italia

and

N. F. Ness

NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center  
Greenbelt, Maryland U.S.A.

In the time interval from January 20 to 30, 1971 the Pioneer 8 space probe was on its heliocentric orbit East of the Sun-Earth line at an angular distance of about 70 degrees: the geometry of the orbit is shown in Figure 1.




Fig. 1. The trajectory of Pioneer 8 on the ecliptic plane, referred to the Sun-Earth line. The figure is slightly different from that published in a previous report [UAG-12, Part III, p. 409] because now the Sun-Earth line distance is taken as a time invariable unit, incorporating its annual variation. To allow reconstruction of the heliocentric absolute distance the dashed line tracing the trajectory gives the absolute Sun-Earth distance in units of that at the beginning of the flight.

The average time interval between each field value is about 7 seconds. Each vector component is the average of four consecutive measurements computed on board the spacecraft by a special computer, the Time-Average Unit. The total time coverage was about 45% of the time.

Hourly averages of the field elements are shown in Figure 2. More details are shown in Figures 3 and 4 where five minutes averages are shown for days 22 to 26 January.

Except for the first two days the field character is near to that of the spiral away from the Sun.

The incomplete time coverage does not allow clear statements on the field behavior, although higher levels of the field strength, as well as of its fluctuations, is suggested for days 23 to 25.

A shock-like step increase of the field intensity is possibly observed at 0142 UT of January 23, as shown in Figure 5 where one-minute averages are plotted for the first 4 hours of the day.

The definitions of the values plotted are as follows:

$\bar{F}$ , the average field computed by the averages  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{y}$ ,  $\bar{z}$  of the field components.

$\bar{F}$ , the average field magnitude computed by the individual field magnitudes. According to their definitions,  $\bar{F}$  is always greater than or equal to  $\bar{F}$ .




Fig. 2. Hourly average field magnitude ( $\bar{F}$ ,  $\bar{F}$ ), orientation ( $\theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ) and RMS deviations D1 and D2. Magnitude and deviations are in gammas; angles in degrees. The time is given as day of year (1st of January = day 1) and calendar day.




Fig. 3. Five-minute average field magnitude ( $\bar{F}$ ,  $\bar{F}$ ), orientation ( $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ ) and RMS deviations D1 and D2 for January 22 and 23.




Fig. 4. Same as for Fig. 3, for January 24 and 25, and the first few hours of January 26.



$\theta$  and  $\Phi$ , i.e. the inclination (+ north, - south) and the azimuth of the field vector on the ecliptic plane ( $0^\circ$  towards Sun,  $90^\circ$  east and  $180^\circ$  away from Sun).

Also, the RMS deviations are given, according to the following definition:

$$D = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \bar{x})^2 + (y_i - \bar{y})^2 + (z_i - \bar{z})^2] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where  $x_i, y_i, z_i$  are individual values of the three field components.

D1, the same as D computed over the averaging time interval.

D2, the average of the 30 sec RMS deviation D.




Fig. 5. One-minute average of field elements for January 23 between 0000 and 0400 UT. The abrupt increase of field strength at 0142 UT has to be noticed.

## 5. COSMIC RAYS

### Tables of Neutron Monitor Data and Selected Graphs for the January 24, 1971 Event

by

Helen E. Coffey  
World Data Center A for  
Solar-Terrestrial Physics  
NOAA, Boulder, Colorado 80302

Cosmic ray neutron monitor data for the January 24-25, 1971 event are presented both in tabulated form and graphical displays. In many cases the data for this period were forwarded to us upon special request. We thank all the reporting observatories for their cooperation.

Table 1 lists the stations, their equipment, geographic coordinates, cut-off rigidities, scaling factors, pressure coefficients, mean station pressure in mm Hg and multiplication factors, if any. Following this is Table 2 which presents the hourly values for January 24-25, 1971 from the 41 stations, listed in cut-off rigidity order. The incompleteness of the data is due to the lag in compiling cosmic ray data. The data does however cluster around the period we are most interested in, i.e., 2300-0200 UT.

Graphical displays of selected stations show both the variation of data with cut-off rigidity and a quick graphical look at the event. These include: a.) Dumont d'Urville fifteen-minute data given in percentage deviations for 1800 UT January 24 - 0800 UT January 25 (Figure 1); Port aux Francais five-minute data in percentage deviations for 1800 UT January 24 - 0800 UT January 25 (Figure 2); and c.) Durham five-minute data for 2000 UT January 24 - 0400 UT January 25 (Figure 3).

Finally, Table 3 presents 23 individual stations data in 5-, 10-, and 15-minute hourly rates. Information pertinent to each station is given in the headings. All data is pressure corrected except for Rome, Belgrano, Apatity and Oulu. Average hourly pressure values are given for Rome and Oulu. No pressure values were available for Belgrano and Apatity.

The 23 stations listed in cut-off rigidity order are:

| <u>Station</u>    | <u>Cutoff rigidity</u> | <u>Hourly rate</u> |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Dumont d'Urville  | 0.01                   | Fifteen-minute     |
| Fort Churchill    | 0.21                   | Five-minute        |
| Tixie Bay         | 0.53                   | " "                |
| Kiruna            | 0.54                   | " "                |
| Apatity           | 0.65                   | Fifteen-minute     |
| General Belgrano  | 0.75                   | Five-minute        |
| Oulu              | 0.81                   | " "                |
| Deep River        | 1.02                   | " "                |
| Sanae             | 1.02                   | Ten-minute         |
| Port aux Francais | 1.19                   | Five-minute        |
| Mt. Washington    | 1.24                   | " "                |
| Durham            | 1.41                   | " "                |
| Uppsala           | 1.43                   | " "                |
| Magadan           | 2.10                   | Fifteen-minute     |
| Leeds             | 2.20                   | Five-minute        |
| Kiel              | 2.29                   | " "                |
| Moscow            | 2.46                   | Fifteen-minute     |
| Irkutsk           | 3.74                   | Five-minute        |
| Lomnicky Stit     | 4.00                   | Ten-minute         |
| Dallas            | 4.35                   | Five-minute        |
| Rome              | 6.32                   | Fifteen-minute     |
| Mt. Norikura      | 11.39                  | Fifteen-minute     |
| Tokyo/Itabashi    | 11.61                  | Ten-minute         |

Table 1

| Station |                   | Equip. | Geographic Coordinates<br>Lat. East |        | Cutoff Rigidity | Scaling Factors | Pressure Coefficient | Mean Station Pressure<br>mm HG | Real Counts |           |
|---------|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| ALE     | Alert             | SNM    | 82.5 N                              | 297.6  | 0.0             | --              | 0.987%/mm Hg         | 725                            | 100x        | tabulated |
| DUM     | Dumont D'urville  | SNM    | 66.4 S                              | 140.0  | 0.01            | --              | .99 %/mm Hg          | ---                            | 200x        | "         |
| INU     | Inuvik            | SNM    | 68.35N                              | 226.2  | 0.18            | --              | .987%/mm Hg          | 758                            | 100x        | "         |
| CHU     | Fort Churchill    | NM     | 58.75N                              | 265.9  | 0.21            | --              | --                   | 1010mb                         | 40x         | "         |
|         | Syowa Base        | SNM    | 69.03S                              | 39.6   | 0.42            | --              | --                   | 980mb                          | 100x        | "         |
| GOO     | Goose Bay         | SNM    | 53.33N                              | 299.5  | 0.52            | --              | .987%/mm Hg          | 758                            | 100x        | "         |
|         | Tixie Bay         | SNM    | 71.55N                              | 128.9  | 0.53            | --              | -.96 %/mm Hg         | 758                            | 100x        | "         |
|         | Kiruna            | SNM    | 67.83N                              | 20.4   | 0.54            | --              | -.99 %/mm Hg         | 720                            | 100x        | "         |
|         | Norilsk           | SNM    | 69.26N                              | 88.05  | 0.63            | 64              | -.69 %/mb            | 1005mb                         | ---         | "         |
| APA     | Apatity           | NM     | 67.55N                              | 33.3   | 0.65            | --              | --                   | ---                            | ---         | "         |
| BEL     | General Belgrano  | SNM    | 77.97S                              | 321.2  | 0.75            | --              | --                   | ---*                           | 32x         | "         |
| OUL     | Oulu              | SNM    | 65.0 N                              | 25.4   | 0.81            | --              | .735%/mb             | 1000mb                         | 100x        | "         |
| DEE     | Deep River        | SNM    | 46.1 N                              | 282.5  | 1.02            | --              | .987%/mm Hg          | 747                            | 300x        | "         |
|         | Sanae             | SNM    | 70.46S                              | 357.51 | 1.02            | --              | .73 %/mb             | 980mb                          | 10x         | "         |
| OTT     | Ottawa            | NM     | 45.4 N                              | 284.4  | 1.08            | 6.4             | --                   | ---                            | ---         | "         |
| CAL     | Calgary           | SNM    | 51.08N                              | 245.9  | 1.09            | --              | .7718%/mb            | ---                            | 100x        | "         |
| BEG     | Bergen            | NM     | 60.4 N                              | 5.3    | 1.13            | 16              | .74 %/mb             | 990mb                          | ---         | "         |
| SUL     | Sulphur Mountain  | SNM    | 51.2 N                              | 244.39 | 1.14            | --              | .7665%/mb            | ---                            | 100x        | "         |
| POR     | Port aux Francais | SNM    | 49.35S                              | 70.2   | 1.19            | --              | 1.01                 | ---                            | 400x        | "         |
| MTW     | Mt. Washington    | NM     | 44.3 N                              | 288.7  | 1.24            | --              | Pressure Corrected   | ---                            | ---         | "         |
| DUR     | Durham            | SNM    | 43.1 N                              | 289.1  | 1.41            | --              | Pressure Corrected   | ---                            | ---         | "         |
| UPP     | Uppsala           | NM     | 58.85N                              | 17.92  | 1.43            | --              | -.986%/mm Hg         | 757.75                         | 10x         | "         |
|         | Magadan           | SNM    | 60.11N                              | 151.01 | 2.1             | 64              | -.74 %/mb            | 982mb                          | ---         | "         |
| LEE     | Leeds             | NM     | 53.82N                              | 358.4  | 2.2             | --              | --                   | ---                            | 100x        | "         |
| KIE     | Kiel              | SNM    | 54.33N                              | 10.1   | 2.29            | --              | .961%/mm Hg          | 755                            | 100x        | "         |
| MOS     | Moscow            | SNM    | 55.47N                              | 37.3   | 2.46            | --              | -.71 %/mb            | ---                            | 200x        | "         |
|         | Utrecht           | SNM    | 52.08N                              | 5.13   | 2.76            | --              | .99 %/mm Hg          | 760                            | 100x        | "         |
| LIN     | Lindau            | SNM    | 51.6 N                              | 10.1   | 3.0             | --              | --                   | 780                            | 100x        | "         |
| CLI     | Climax            | NM     | 39.37N                              | 253.8  | 3.03            | 100             | -.96 %/mm Hg         | 504                            | ---         | "         |
| IRK     | Irkutsk           | SNM    | 52.42N                              | 104.3  | 3.74            | 64              | -.74 %/mb            | ---                            | ---         | "         |
| LOM     | Lomnický Stit     | NM     | 49.2 N                              | 20.22  | 4.0             | 8               | --                   | ---                            | ---         | "         |
| PRE     | Predigstuhl       | SNM    | 47.7 N                              | 12.88  | 4.30            | --              | -.9 %/mm Hg          | 625                            | 100x        | "         |
| DAL     | Dallas            | NM     | 32.78N                              | 263.20 | 4.35            | --              | --                   | ---                            | 120x        | "         |
| HER     | Hermanus          | SNM    | 34.42S                              | 19.2   | 4.90            | --              | .72 %/mb             | 1015mb                         | 100x        | "         |
| PIC     | Pic-du-Midi       | SNM    | 42.93N                              | 0.2    | 5.36            | --              | .93 %/mm Hg          | ---                            | 100x        | "         |
| USH     | Ushuaia           | NM     | 54.8 S                              | 291.7  | 5.68            | 8               | --                   | 750                            | -3000x      | "         |
| ROM     | Rome              | SNM    | 41.9 N                              | 12.5   | 6.32            | 100             | .92 %/mm Hg          | ---*                           | ---         | "         |
|         | Mexico City       | SNM    | 19.33N                              | 260.8  | 9.53            | --              | -.96 %/mm Hg         | 584                            | 64x         | "         |
| MTN     | Mt. Norikura      | SNM    | 36.12N                              | 137.5  | 11.39           | 64              | -.85 %/mm Hg         | 540                            | ---         | "         |
|         | Tokyo/Itabashi    | SNM    | 35.67N                              | 139.75 | 11.61           | 64              | -.7 %/mb             | 1013.3mb                       | ---         | "         |
| HUA     | Huancayo          | NM     | 12.05S                              | 284.6  | 13.45           | 100             | -.96 %/mm Hg         | 518                            | ---         | "         |

\*Uncorrected for pressure.

Table 2

January 24, 1971

| HOUR           | 13    | 14    | 15    | 16    | 17    | 18    | 19    | 20    | 21    | 22    | 23    | 24    |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| ALERT          | 6737  | 6722  | 6775  | 6745  | 6746  | 6747  | 6762  | 6753  | 6762  | 6752  | 6722  | 7019  |
| TERRE ADELIE   | 1951  | 1953  | 1958  | 1950  | 1955  | 1951  | 1949  | 1964  | 1965  | 1968  | 1969  | 2129  |
| INUVIK         |       |       |       |       | 6493  | 6485  | 6513  | 6528  | 6494  | 6478  | 6480  | 6727  |
| CHURCHILL      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 5977  | 5969  | 6171  |
| SYOWA BASE     |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 2647  |
| GOOSE BAY      | 6555  | 6520  | 6535  | 6542  | 6542  | 6539  | 6544  | 6495  | 6528  | 6520  | 6527  | 6803  |
| TIXIE BAY      | 6637  | 6665  | 6675  | 6655  | 6664  | 6659  | 6645  | 6654  | 6671  | 6654  | 6667  | 6821  |
| KIRUNA         | 6597  | 6608  | 6576  | 6586  | 6567  | 6583  | 6589  | 6579  | 6589  | 6578  | 6598  | 6938  |
| NORILSK        | 5581  | 5569  | 5565  | 5587  | 5592  | 5576  | 5598  | 5593  | 5593  | 5598  | 5591  | 5716  |
| APATITY        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 8661  |
| BELGRANO       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 6932  | 7164  |
| OULU           | 3497  | 3483  | 3481  | 3499  | 3498  | 3490  | 3515  | 3518  | 3510  | 3495  | 3516  | 3648  |
| DEEP RIVER     | 6467  | 6471  | 6460  | 6458  | 6479  | 6475  | 6479  | 6468  | 6461  | 6485  | 6463  | 6722  |
| SANAE          |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 14231 | 14683 |
| OTTAWA         | 2864  | 2837  | 2833  | 2780  | 2829  | 2906  | 2843  | 2833  | 2820  | 2839  | 2831  | 2926  |
| CALGARY        | 10709 | 10707 | 10672 | 10646 | 10648 | 10664 | 10640 | 10642 | 10644 | 10665 | 10629 | 11733 |
| BERGEN         | 530   | 534   | 544   | 527   | 535   | 524   | 537   | 542   | 533   | 531   | 543   | 549   |
| SULPHUR MT     | 7997  | 7959  | 7933  | 7941  | 7954  | 7950  | 7947  | 7959  | 7931  | 7943  | 7973  | 8987  |
| KERGUELEN      | 1847  | 1842  | 1836  | 1833  | 1828  | 1828  | 1824  | 1819  | 1837  | 1848  | 1838  | 1893  |
| MT WASHINGTON  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 2068  | 2085  | 2090  | 2212  |
| DURHAM         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 1398  | 1396  | 1401  | 1453  |
| UPPSALA        | 5612  | 5645  | 5603  | 5635  | 5620  | 5637  | 5599  | 5581  | 5645  | 5602  | 5574  | 5804  |
| MAGADAN        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 7217  | 7431  |
| LEEDS          | 6325  | 6307  | 6310  | 6307  | 6289  | 6313  | 6303  | 6307  | 6316  | 6295  | 6315  | 6437  |
| KIEL           | 5943  | 5927  | 5933  | 5919  | 5909  | 5913  | 5925  | 5909  | 5940  | 5917  | 5923  | 6019  |
| MOSCOW         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 4491  | 4510  | 4488  | 4505  | 4626  |
| UTRECHT        | 6015  | 6003  | 6003  | 5994  | 5974  | 5982  | 5994  | 5994  | 6012  | 6010  | 6012  | 6086  |
| LINDAU         | 3669  | 3665  | 3654  | 3653  | 3646  | 3641  | 3667  | 3645  | 3657  | 3651  | 3651  | 3696  |
| CLIMAX         | 3839  | 3863  | 3878  | 3840  | 3828  | 3833  | 3862  | 3860  | 3881  | 3853  | 3842  | 3914  |
| IRKUTSK        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 10125 | 10256 | 10261 |
| LOMNICKY STIT  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 4981  | 4982  | 4986  |
| PREDIGTSTUHL   | 3599  | 3585  | 3616  | 3625  | 3600  | 3622  | 3597  | 3611  | 3595  | 3552  | 3543  | 3571  |
| DALLAS         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 5937  | 5985  | 6011  |
| HERMANUS       | 973   | 978   | 981   | 977   | 971   | 981   | 982   | 976   | 970   | 979   | 976   | 984   |
| PIC DU MIDI    | 2384  | 2386  | 2386  | 2387  | 2386  | 2392  | 2397  | 2405  | 2404  | 2392  | 2386  | 2397  |
| USHUAIA        | 271   | 290   | 228   | 302   | 274   | 344   | 283   | 268   | 294   | 270   | 244   | 298   |
| ROME           | 2940  | 2967  | 2996  | 2961  | 2960  | 2945  | 2951  | 2964  | 2940  | 2897  | 2875  | 2891  |
| MEXICO CITY    | 843   | 841   | 847   | 840   | 836   | 842   | 841   | 851   | 838   | 849   | 847   | 845   |
| MT NORIKURA    |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 10173 | 10197 |
| TOKYO/ITABASHI |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 3480  | 3451  |
| HUANCAYO       | 1714  | 1723  | 1717  | 1726  | 1708  | 1721  | 1727  | 1712  | 1714  | 1706  | 1720  | 1713  |

Table 2 (continued)

January 25, 1971

| 01    | 02    | 03    | 04    | 05    | 06    | 07    | 08    | 09    | 10    | 11    | 12    | AVERAGE |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| 7359  | 7064  | 6931  | 6863  | 6826  | 6793  | 6770  | 6799  | 6786  | 6771  | 6762  | 6795  | 6823.4  |
| 2223  | 2067  | 2032  | 2014  | 1998  | 1998  | 2000  | 1993  | 1986  | 1970  | 1964  | 1979  | 1995.2  |
| 7063  | 6796  | 6680  | 6622  | 6564  | 6579  | 6552  | 6535  | 6540  | 6544  | 6525  | 6533  | 6586.5  |
| 6515  | 6279  | 6125  | 6060  | 6073  | 6029  | 6045  | 6021  | 6033  | 6028  | 6038  | 6032  | 6093.0  |
| 2778  | 2649  | 2585  | 2594  | 2544  | 2549  |       |       |       |       |       |       | 2620.8  |
| 7201  | 6831  | 6705  | 6643  | 6637  | 6607  | 6583  | 6579  | 6606  | 6601  | 6601  | 6596  | 6618.3  |
| 7187  | 6921  | 6823  | 6811  | 6741  | 6743  | 6717  | 6694  | 6685  | 6675  | 6659  | 6658  | 6724.2  |
| 7389  | 7012  | 6818  | 6769  | 6737  | 6726  | 6686  | 6688  | 6668  | 6661  | 6626  | 6641  | 6700.3  |
| 5990  | 5860  | 5745  | 5713  | 5646  | 5651  | 5613  | 5616  | 5587  | 5596  | 5602  | 5588  | 5640.2  |
| 9155  | 8769  | 8590  | 8603  | 8617  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 8732.5  |
| 7725  | 7358  | 7220  | 7160  | 7196  | 7176  | 7225  | 7215  | 7238  | 7222  | 7252  | 7212  | 7235.3  |
| 3846  | 3683  | 3595  | 3598  | 3576  | 3562  | 3562  | 3562  | 3560  | 3567  | 3556  | 3542  | 3556.6  |
| 7056  | 6767  | 6658  | 6585  | 6575  | 6526  | 6524  | 6521  | 6528  | 6523  | 6531  | 6519  | 6550.0  |
| 15418 | 14843 | 14486 | 14314 | 14363 | 14348 |       |       |       |       |       |       | 14585.7 |
| 3041  | 2955  | 2881  | 2930  | 2916  | 2899  | 2840  | 2865  | 2821  | 2865  | 2858  | 2903  | 2871.4  |
| 12157 | 11306 | 11024 | 10917 | 10823 | 10758 | 10803 | 10773 | 10745 | 10784 | 10793 | 10779 | 10860.8 |
| 592   | 557   | 552   | 540   | 543   | 540   | 546   | 548   | 547*  | 546   | 536   | 544   | 542.5   |
| 9433  | 8605  | 8297  | 8189  | 8134  | 8102  | 8104  | 8061  | 8076  | 8045  | 8069  | 8037  | 8151.0  |
| 1990  | 1926  | 1901  | 1880  | 1875  | 1867  | 1874  | 1867  | 1871  | 1865  | 1866  | 1859  | 1863.0  |
| 2364  | 2203  | 2164  | 2132  | 2130  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 2160.7  |
| 1494  | 1445  | 1422  | 1417  | 1410  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 1426.1  |
| 6068  | 5819  | 5746  | 5719  | 5655  | 5682  | 5693  | 5734  | 5665  | 5689  | 5689  | 5636  | 5681.3  |
| 7508  | 7374  | 7374  | 7273  | 7237  | 7252  | 7230  | 7227  | 7210  | 7215  |       |       | 7295.7  |
| 6483  | 6427  | 6399  | 6367  | 6366  | 6358  | 6380  | 6387  | 6409  | 6422  | 6388  | 6374  | 6357.6  |
| 6084  | 6009  | 5986  | 5965  | 5986  | 5983  | 5985  | 5987  | 6000  | 5989  | 5972  | 5973  | 5962.3  |
| 4541  | 4516  | 4526  | 4546  | 4517  | 4542  |       |       |       |       |       | 4494  | 4525.2  |
| 6096  | 6064  | 6042  | 6026  | 6043  | 6028  | 6038  | 6069  | 6074  | 6072  | 6054  | 6059  | 6030.7  |
| 3698  | 3664  | 3669  | 3677  | 3676  | 3690  | 3686  | 3695  | 3705  | 3704  | 3688  | 3693  | 3672.5  |
| 3940  | 3900  | 3879  | 3852  | 3866  | 3867  | 3869  | 3862  | 3881  | 3875  | 3877  | 3888  | 3868.7  |
| 10183 | 10208 | 10280 | 10322 | 10456 | 10344 | 10227 | 10240 | 10259 | 10227 | 10232 | 10214 | 10255.6 |
| 4952  | 5003  | 4930  | 4945  | 4892  | 4988  | 4953  | 4886  | 4998  | 4955  | 4923  | 4963  | 4955.6  |
| 3582  | 3573  | 3564  | 3579  | 3574  | 3593  | 3598  | 3622  | 3658  | 3657  | 3655  | 3659  | 3601.2  |
| 5987  | 5990  | 5994  | 5971  | 5965  | 5941  | 5948  | 5967  | 5964  | 5974  | 5959  | 5995  | 5972.5  |
| 976   | 983   | 982   | 980   | 982   | 984   | 982   | 985   | 993   | 973   | 979   | 981   | 942.0   |
| 2398  | 2406  | 2405  | 2409  | 2402  | 2427  | 2422  | 2422  | 2430  | 2430  | 2429  | 2426  | 2404.5  |
| 244   | 298   | 285   | 258   | 287   | 347   | 272   | 259   | 290   | 214   | 268   | 294   | 282.6   |
| 2877  | 2851  | 2848  | 2838  | 2852  | 2857  | 2831  | 2830  | 2827  | 2835  | 2837  | 2858  | 2892.8  |
| 850   | 846   | 839   | 842   | 843   | 842   | 843   | 851   | 848   | 841   | 848   | 852   | 844.3   |
| 10303 | 10290 | 10235 | 10227 | 10212 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 10233.8 |
| 3503  | 3505  | 3518  | 3515  | 3488  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 3494.3  |
| 1721  | 1731  | 1717  | 1719  | 1711  | 1733  | 1714  | 1729  | 1716  | 1732  | 1716  | 1716  | 1719.0  |

Table 3

DUMONT DURVILLE      66°4S    140°02E    ANTARCTICA  
 NEUTRON MONITOR 9-NM-64  
 CORRECTED FOR BAROMETRIC PRESSURE  
 COEFFICIENT 9.9 PER CENT PER CM HG  
 MULTIPLY INDICATED NUMBERS BY 200  
 FIFTEEN-MINUTE HOURLY RATES  
 JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
|              | 15                         | 30  | 45  | 60  |
| 1200-1300    | 488                        | 488 | 485 | 488 |
| 1300-1400    | 489                        | 486 | 486 | 489 |
| 1400-1500    | 491                        | 490 | 486 | 491 |
| 1500-1600    | 489                        | 485 | 486 | 490 |
| 1600-1700    | 485                        | 488 | 487 | 492 |
| 1700-1800    | 489                        | 485 | 486 | 491 |
| 1800-1900    | 488                        | 491 | 484 | 489 |
| 1900-2000    | 494                        | 488 | 492 | 488 |
| 2000-2100    | 487                        | 489 | 494 | 493 |
| 2100-2200    | 489                        | 492 | 494 | 491 |
| 2200-2300    | 490                        | 497 | 490 | 492 |
| 2300-2400    | 493                        | 496 | 555 | 585 |
| 0000-0100    | 585                        | 555 | 548 | 536 |
| 0100-0200    | 524                        | 520 | 513 | 511 |
| 0200-0300    | 509                        | 513 | 506 | 506 |
| 0300-0400    | 501                        | 506 | 501 | 503 |
| 0400-0500    | 498                        | 498 | 502 | 500 |
| 0500-0600    | 501                        | 500 | 499 | 501 |
| 0600-0700    | 500                        | 499 | 502 | 502 |
| 0700-0800    | 501                        | 498 | 499 | 498 |
| 0800-0900    | 499                        | 497 | 497 | 496 |
| 0900-1000    | 496                        | 491 | 493 | 493 |
| 1000-1100    | 489                        | 494 | 495 | 492 |
| 1100-1200    | 493                        | 497 | 495 | 499 |

Table 3 (continued)

FORT CHURCHILL 58.75N 265.90E CANADA

NEUTRON MONITOR NM-64

BAROMETER REFERENCE 1010 MILLIBARS

ATTENUATION LENGTH 137.2 MILLIBARS

REAL COUNTS 40 TIMES TABULATED COUNTS

FIVE-MINUTE BAROMETER CORRECTED HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |       |      |       |       |      |      |      |       |       |      |      | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|---------|
|              | 05                         | 10    | 15   | 20    | 25    | 30   | 35   | 40   | 45    | 50    | 55   | 60   |         |
| 1900         | 1508                       | 1498  | 1497 | 1501  | 1484  | 1491 | 1488 | 1489 | 1495  | 1496  | 1488 | 1495 | 1494.1  |
| 2000         | 1481                       | 1499  | 1487 | 1505  | 1486  | 1481 | 1492 | 1492 | 1490  | 1498  | 1479 | 1491 | 1490.3  |
| 2100         | 1470                       | 1478  | 1501 | 1492  | 1495  | 1510 | 1494 | 1494 | 1489  | 1506  | 1483 | 1521 | 1494.3  |
| 2200         | 1490                       | 1495  | 1478 | 1491  | 1488  | 1484 | 1488 | 1484 | 1519  | 1495  | 1497 | 1497 | 1492.2  |
| 2300         | 1496                       | 1495  | 1486 | 1498  | 1501  | 1484 | 1494 | 1546 | 1616  | 1640* | 1672 | 1690 | 1551.7  |
| 2400         | 1672                       | 1669  | 1667 | 1657  | 1657  | 1621 | 1619 | 1596 | 1588  | 1615  | 1594 | 1591 | 1628.8  |
| 0100         | 1604                       | 1583  | 1578 | 1566  | 1583  | 1555 | 1576 | 1547 | 1550* | 1550* | 1566 | 1540 | 1566.5  |
| 0200         | 1527                       | 1547  | 1525 | 1546  | 1535* | 1526 | 1528 | 1507 | 1536  | 1537  | 1531 | 1534 | 1531.6  |
| 0300         | 1516                       | 1496  | 1517 | 1520* | 1520* | 1521 | 1511 | 1519 | 1516  | 1516  | 1519 | 1520 | 1515.8  |
| 0400         | 1537                       | 1516  | 1529 | 1513  | 1521  | 1505 | 1516 | 1508 | 1522  | 1516  | 1523 | 1513 | 1518.2  |
| 0500         | 1510                       | 1518  | 1503 | 1495  | 1517  | 1487 | 1501 | 1507 | 1498  | 1537  | 1493 | 1519 | 1507.1  |
| 0600         | 1516                       | 1497  | 1521 | 1517  | 1515  | 1515 | 1507 | 1497 | 1507  | 1505  | 1516 | 1522 | 1511.3  |
| 0700         | 1505                       | 1486  | 1497 | 1500  | 1529  | 1533 | 1498 | 1517 | 1510* | 1503  | 1486 | 1506 | 1505.7  |
| 0800         | 1495                       | 1518  | 1505 | 1488  | 1507  | 1506 | 1514 | 1529 | 1503  | 1514  | 1506 | 1513 | 1508.3  |
| 0900         | 1492                       | 1504  | 1501 | 1508  | 1532  | 1510 | 1498 | 1492 | 1526  | 1506  | 1500 | 1516 | 1507.1  |
| 1000         | 1500                       | 1510  | 1511 | 1515  | 1504  | 1506 | 1521 | 1496 | 1525  | 1507  | 1505 | 1514 | 1509.6  |
| 1100         | 1511                       | 1509  | 1516 | 1496  | 1512  | 1492 | 1512 | 1511 | 1490  | 1509  | 1511 | 1530 | 1508.0  |
| 1200         | 1503                       | 1508* | 1513 | 1507  | 1511  | 1510 | 1494 | 1502 | 1503  | 1494  | 1508 | 1488 | 1503.4  |
| 1300         | 1522                       | 1524  | 1501 | 1508  | 1519  | 1497 | 1519 | 1514 | 1507  | 1513  | 1514 | 1513 | 1512.7  |

TIXIE BAY 71.58N 128.9E USSR

NEUTRON MONITOR 18-NM-64

PRESSURE CORRECTED TO 758 MM HG

BAROMETRIC COEFFICIENT -0.96 PER CENT PER MM HG

RECALCULATING COEFFICIENT 100

FIVE-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |     |      |      |      |     |     |      |     | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|---------|
|              | 05                         | 10   | 15   | 20   | 25  | 30   | 35   | 40   | 45  | 50  | 55   | 60  |         |
| 2200         | 551                        | 557  | 555  | 550  | 554 | 554  | 552  | 554  | 555 | 555 | 545  | 565 | 555.6   |
| 2300         | 567                        | 558  | 552  | 557  | 549 | 555  | 558  | 559  | 579 | 594 | 605* | 601 | 560.1   |
| 2400         | 592                        | 599  | 600  | 601* | 600 | 603* | 606* | 601  | 602 | 600 | 596  | 601 | 600.1   |
| 0100         | 590*                       | 590* | 587* | 582  | 577 | 582  | 579  | 577  | 578 | 572 | 574  | 569 | 579.8   |
| 0200         | 570                        | 571  | 567  | 575  | 572 | 565  | 565  | 566  | 565 | 570 | 572  | 565 | 568.6   |
| 0300         | 574                        | 574  | 575  | 565  | 573 | 564  | 572  | 570  | 564 | 565 | 561  | 555 | 567.6   |
| 0400         | 557                        | 562  | 563* | 560  | 559 | 558  | 562  | 570* | 562 | 571 | 565  | 558 | 561.8   |
| 0500         | 559                        | 563  | 564  | 563  | 561 | 564* | 554  | 567  | 566 | 569 | 557  | 561 | 562.0   |
| 0600         | 560                        | 562  | 557  | 553  | 555 | 564  | 556  | 566* | 563 | 559 | 564  | 559 | 559.8   |
| 0700         | 553                        | 559  | 558  | 559  | 561 | 559  | 553  | 555  | 565 | 556 | 559  | 555 | 557.8   |
| 0800         | 557                        | 564  | 548  | 563  | 556 | 561  | 557  | 558  | 556 | 561 | 556  | 547 | 557.0   |
| 0900         | 551                        | 561  | 556  | 557  | 556 | 562  | 560  | 553  | 557 | 555 | 550  | 557 | 556.3   |
| 1000         | 559                        | 557  | 552  | 551  | 557 | 551  | 554  | 559  | 553 | 557 | 555  | 554 | 555.0   |
| 1100         | 551                        | 550  | 560  | 559  | 547 | 558  | 553  | 553  | 550 | 558 | 556  | 552 | 554.8   |
| 1200         | 558                        | 561* | 556  | 562  | 558 | 557  | 559  | 555  | 549 | 552 | 552  | 550 | 555.5   |
| 1300         | 559                        | 556  | 566  | 555  | 563 | 553  | 555  | 561  | 561 | 555 | 557  | 552 | 557.8   |

Table 3 (continued)

KIRUNA 67.83N 20.43E SWEDEN

NEUTRON MONITOR 12-NM-64

CORRECTED TO 720 MM HG

COEFFICIENT -0.99 PER CENT PER MM HG

REAL COUNTS 10 TIMES TABULATED COUNTS

FIVE-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
|              | 05                         | 10   | 15   | 20   | 25   | 30   | 35   | 40   | 45   | 50   | 55   | 60   |         |
| 2200         | 5663                       | 5669 | 5664 | 5669 | 5661 | 5639 | 5726 | 5713 | 5643 | 5678 | 5631 | 5656 | 5667.7  |
| 2300         | 5674                       | 5690 | 5700 | 5694 | 5689 | 5637 | 5722 | 5639 | 5696 | 5746 | 5685 | 5648 | 5685.0  |
| 2400         | 5689                       | 5660 | 5665 | 5635 | 5668 | 5667 | 5707 | 5772 | 6246 | 6536 | 6721 | 6761 | 5977.3  |
| 0100         | 6780                       | 6625 | 6487 | 6476 | 6366 | 6296 | 6229 | 6244 | 5290 | 6238 | 6139 | 6237 | 6367.3  |
| 0200         | 6158                       | 6178 | 6094 | 6093 | 6064 | 6040 | 5982 | 5996 | 6033 | 5936 | 5976 | 5957 | 6042.3  |
| 0300         | 5882                       | 5864 | 5952 | 5903 | 5855 | 5851 | 5899 | 5830 | 5906 | 5835 | 5874 | 5852 | 5875.3  |
| 0400         | 5856                       | 5879 | 5848 | 5851 | 5825 | 5879 | 5829 | 5820 | 5742 | 5822 | 5818 | 5820 | 5832.4  |
| 0500         | 5809                       | 5841 | 5754 | 5788 | 5795 | 5855 | 5763 | 5831 | 5845 | 5776 | 5811 | 5797 | 5805.4  |
| 0600         | 5782                       | 5816 | 5786 | 5742 | 5817 | 5835 | 5792 | 5760 | 5820 | 5816 | 5819 | 5762 | 5795.6  |
| 0700         | 5769                       | 5813 | 5742 | 5767 | 5748 | 5744 | 5709 | 5742 | 5749 | 5780 | 5798 | 5789 | 5762.5  |
| 0800         | 5780                       | 5742 | 5753 | 5838 | 5799 | 5767 | 5716 | 5759 | 5762 | 5793 | 5742 | 5795 | 5770.5  |
| 0900         | 5782                       | 5776 | 5766 | 5786 | 5761 | 5722 | 5818 | 5776 | 5776 | 5744 | 5736 | 5776 | 5768.3  |
| 1000         | 5774                       | 5757 | 5763 | 5714 | 5794 | 5796 | 5754 | 5810 | 5804 | 5733 | 5725 | 5753 | 5764.8  |
| 1100         | 5710                       | 5766 | 5743 | 5699 | 5753 | 5732 | 5752 | 5780 | 5691 | 5749 | 5733 | 5754 | 5738.5  |
| 1200         | 5732                       | 5765 | 5718 | 5713 | 5749 | 5741 | 5757 | 5722 | 5782 | 5716 | 5795 | 5747 | 5744.8  |
| 1300         | 5766                       | 5732 | 5739 | 5719 | 5705 | 5737 | 5774 | 5780 | 5841 | 5750 | 5737 | 5761 | 5753.4  |
| 1400         | 5775                       | 5777 | 5728 | 5734 | 5697 | 5720 | 5705 | 5731 | 5726 | 5737 | 5700 | 5746 | 5731.3  |
| 1500         | 5749                       | 5746 | 5769 | 5698 | 5756 | 5741 | 5717 | 5764 | 5782 | 5758 | 5729 | 5775 | 5748.7  |
| 1600         | 5725                       | 5678 | 5738 | 5686 | 5694 | 5728 | 5689 | 5705 | 5720 | 5675 | 5709 | 5683 | 5702.5  |
| 1700         | 5720                       | 5751 | 5676 | 5767 | 5696 | 5702 | 5730 | 5730 | 5760 | 5720 | 5720 | 5669 | 5720.1  |
| 1800         | 5716                       | 5751 | 5686 | 5762 | 5729 | 5693 | 5717 | 5738 | 5702 | 5731 | 5719 | 5751 | 5724.6  |

APATITY 67.5N 33.3E USSR

NEUTRON MONITOR

UNCORRECTED FOR PRESSURE

FIFTEEN-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|
|              | 15                         | 30   | 45   | 60   |
| 2300         |                            | 2063 | 2121 | 2377 |
| 2400         | 2360                       | 2297 | 2260 | 2248 |
| 0100         | 2214                       | 2198 | 2187 | 2170 |
| 0200         | 2151                       | 2138 | 2151 | 2150 |
| 0300         | 2149                       | 2138 | 2164 | 2152 |
| 0400         | 2153                       | 2157 | 2156 | 2151 |



Table 3 (continued)

BELGRANO 77.96S 38.8W ANTARCTIC

NEUTRON MONITOR 6-NM-64

UNCORRECTED FOR PRESSURE

REAL COUNTS 32 TIMES TABULATED COUNTS

FIVE-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
|              | 05                         | 10  | 15  | 20  | 25  | 30  | 35  | 40  | 45  | 50  | 55  | 60  |         |
| 2200-2300    | 580                        | 588 | 585 | 567 | 574 | 585 | 581 | 581 | 582 | 576 | 562 | 581 | 577.7   |
| 2300-2400    | 573                        | 572 | 573 | 579 | 579 | 578 | 574 | 588 | 601 | 629 | 643 | 675 | 597.0   |
| 0000-0100    | 690                        | 684 | 671 | 664 | 657 | 644 | 615 | 622 | 626 | 616 | 612 | 624 | 643.8   |
| 0100-0200    | 608                        | 621 | 619 | 607 | 613 | 618 | 608 | 612 | 618 | 612 | 612 | 610 | 613.2   |
| 0200-0300    | 605                        | 623 | 616 | 603 | 604 | 596 | 585 | 598 | 599 | 595 | 596 | 600 | 601.7   |
| 0300-0400    | 599                        | 583 | 590 | 602 | 599 | 583 | 608 | 597 | 602 | 601 | 592 | 604 | 596.7   |
| 0400-0500    | 598                        | 594 | 596 | 589 | 607 | 618 | 586 | 596 | 608 | 601 | 602 | 601 | 599.7   |
| 0500-0600    | 596                        | 603 | 591 | 598 | 596 | 597 | 595 | 598 | 598 | 595 | 598 | 611 | 598.0   |
| 0600-0700    |                            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 602.1   |
| 0700-0800    |                            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 601.3   |
| 0800-0900    |                            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 603.2   |
| 0900-1000    |                            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 601.9   |
| 1000-1100    |                            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 604.3   |
| 1100-1200    |                            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 601.0   |

OULU 65.0N 25.4E FINLAND

NEUTRON MONITOR 9-NM-64

UNCORRECTED FOR PRESSURE

FIVE-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | PRESSURE |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------|
|              | 05                         | 10  | 15  | 20  | 25  | 30  | 35  | 40  | 45  | 50  | 55  | 60  |          |
| 0000-0100    | 470                        | 476 | 481 | 483 | 468 | 481 | 477 | 476 | 473 | 478 | 475 | 477 | 993.4    |
| 0100-0200    | 479                        | 481 | 480 | 473 | 474 | 472 | 471 | 475 | 482 | 476 | 480 | 476 | 993.4    |
| 0200-0300    | 476                        | 481 | 478 | 487 | 477 | 477 | 474 | 474 | 482 | 478 | 480 | 477 | 993.0    |
| 0300-0400    | 479                        | 478 | 482 | 476 | 488 | 474 | 479 | 476 | 478 | 478 | 483 | 482 | 992.4    |
| 0400-0500    | 477                        | 479 | 486 | 483 | 479 | 482 | 482 | 476 | 484 | 483 | 481 | 484 | 992.2    |
| 0500-0600    | 481                        | 487 | 480 | 480 | 475 | 474 | 482 | 482 | 477 | 482 | 479 | 481 | 992.2    |
| 0600-0700    | 477                        | 475 | 481 | 482 | 480 | 481 | 479 | 486 | 481 | 482 | 482 | 484 | 992.1    |
| 0700-0800    | 484                        | 490 | 476 | 476 | 476 | 478 | 478 | 486 | 477 | 474 | 483 | 477 | 992.1    |
| 0800-0900    | 475                        | 483 | 482 | 483 | 484 | 473 | 474 | 479 | 474 | 480 | 490 | 481 | 992.2    |
| 0900-1000    | 486                        | 478 | 479 | 477 | 478 | 489 | 481 | 484 | 478 | 478 | 478 | 474 | 992.2    |
| 1000-1100    | 480                        | 480 | 482 | 475 | 471 | 479 | 475 | 483 | 478 | 474 | 477 | 480 | 992.2    |
| 1100-1200    | 482                        | 476 | 480 | 480 | 479 | 476 | 483 | 482 | 478 | 471 | 476 | 482 | 992.3    |
| 1200-1300    | 481                        | 490 | 486 | 472 | 482 | 490 | 481 | 490 | 474 | 476 | 480 | 483 | 992.3    |
| 1300-1400    | 474                        | 483 | 477 | 487 | 480 | 478 | 480 | 487 | 478 | 482 | 481 | 479 | 992.0    |
| 1400-1500    | 478                        | 483 | 482 | 480 | 483 | 478 | 479 | 486 | 483 | 488 | 482 | 481 | 991.8    |
| 1500-1600    | 478                        | 477 | 486 | 480 | 491 | 498 | 481 | 488 | 482 | 481 | 481 | 488 | 991.6    |
| 1600-1700    | 480                        | 480 | 491 | 487 | 486 | 480 | 490 | 483 | 487 | 484 | 487 | 493 | 991.0    |
| 1700-1800    | 480                        | 483 | 490 | 487 | 489 | 488 | 492 | 484 | 480 | 490 | 484 | 493 | 990.3    |
| 1800-1900    | 492                        | 495 | 494 | 496 | 491 | 499 | 497 | 493 | 488 | 492 | 505 | 490 | 989.5    |
| 1900-2000    | 499                        | 503 | 498 | 494 | 501 | 497 | 489 | 500 | 498 | 501 | 490 | 502 | 988.7    |
| 2000-2100    | 499                        | 490 | 501 | 496 | 500 | 498 | 506 | 500 | 498 | 502 | 500 | 502 | 987.9    |
| 2100-2200    | 500                        | 505 | 500 | 498 | 501 | 508 | 503 | 502 | 498 | 496 | 491 | 496 | 987.2    |
| 2200-2300    | 508                        | 505 | 502 | 499 | 510 | 505 | 499 | 506 | 505 | 510 | 510 | 503 | 986.6    |
| 2300-2400    | 509                        | 499 | 502 | 503 | 504 | 511 | 506 | 520 | 537 | 559 | 580 | 589 | 985.9    |
| 0000-0100    | 580                        | 577 | 565 | 563 | 559 | 559 | 566 | 552 | 551 | 541 | 552 | 548 | 985.0    |
| 0100-0200    | 546                        | 550 | 543 | 546 | 543 | 540 | 536 | 541 | 539 | 529 | 533 | 528 | 984.0    |
| 0200-0300    | 533                        | 532 | 528 | 530 | 527 | 529 | 520 | 526 | 528 | 531 | 534 | 532 | 983.2    |
| 0300-0400    | 528                        | 523 | 531 | 541 | 531 | 530 | 529 | 535 | 531 | 535 | 537 | 534 | 982.6    |
| 0400-0500    | 535                        | 526 | 526 | 534 | 533 | 528 | 533 | 532 | 534 | 526 | 531 | 532 | 982.1    |

Table 3 (continued)

|           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 0500-0600 | 532 | 533 | 537 | 529 | 530 | 520 | 531 | 523 | 531 | 532 | 533 | 533 | 981.6 |
| 0600-0700 | 533 | 530 | 530 | 529 | 532 | 537 | 538 | 527 | 530 | 542 | 536 | 531 | 981.1 |
| 0700-0800 | 534 | 537 | 538 | 536 | 538 | 537 | 535 | 532 | 541 | 531 | 527 | 535 | 980.5 |
| 0800-0900 | 543 | 536 | 535 | 533 | 538 | 535 | 543 | 541 | 534 | 533 | 538 | 536 | 979.9 |
| 0900-1000 | 538 | 548 | 535 | 545 | 539 | 537 | 544 | 541 | 542 | 544 | 540 | 537 | 979.3 |
| 1000-1100 | 533 | 546 | 535 | 537 | 542 | 543 | 544 | 542 | 537 | 537 | 545 | 542 | 978.9 |
| 1100-1200 | 546 | 533 | 540 | 542 | 538 | 543 | 537 | 540 | 533 | 538 | 540 | 549 | 978.5 |
| 1200-1300 | 540 | 542 | 540 | 547 | 543 | 545 | 544 | 542 | 547 | 546 | 548 | 553 | 978.3 |
| 1300-1400 | 548 | 547 | 546 | 544 | 546 | 542 | 546 | 543 | 543 | 539 | 554 | 539 | 978.2 |
| 1400-1500 | 537 | 541 | 541 | 546 | 548 | 548 | 550 | 546 | 543 | 543 | 550 | 547 | 977.9 |
| 1500-1600 | 537 | 542 | 545 | 549 | 543 | 543 | 547 | 542 | 556 | 542 | 536 | 545 | 977.8 |
| 1600-1700 | 545 | 538 | 545 | 542 | 551 | 548 | 544 | 547 | 546 | 542 | 544 | 548 | 977.7 |
| 1700-1800 | 549 | 541 | 549 | 551 | 539 | 552 | 538 | 547 | 542 | 548 | 554 | 547 | 977.6 |
| 1800-1900 | 546 | 555 | 553 | 543 | 546 | 549 | 539 | 539 | 550 | 550 | 544 | 545 | 977.5 |
| 1900-2000 | 552 | 543 | 546 | 547 | 548 | 543 | 539 | 541 | 550 | 552 | 544 | 547 | 977.6 |
| 2000-2100 | 548 | 542 | 540 | 541 | 541 | 545 | 541 | 540 | 536 | 547 | 545 | 549 | 977.9 |
| 2100-2200 | 538 | 543 | 539 | 545 | 541 | 542 | 544 | 539 | 540 | 550 | 548 | 543 | 978.4 |
| 2200-2300 | 536 | 535 | 538 | 539 | 547 | 532 | 544 | 531 | 536 | 534 | 537 | 532 | 979.1 |
| 2300-2400 | 537 | 541 | 544 | 535 | 541 | 534 | 537 | 538 | 539 | 543 | 539 | 539 | 979.7 |

DEEP RIVER 46.1N 77.3W CANADA

NEUTRON MONITOR 48-NM-64

REAL COUNTS 300 TIMES TABULATED COUNTS

FIVE-MINUTE BAROMETER CORRECTED HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
|              | 05                         | 10   | 15   | 20   | 25   | 30   | 35   | 40   | 45   | 50   | 55   | 60   |         |
| 2200-2300    | 6423                       | 6430 | 6483 | 6471 | 6483 | 6453 | 6453 | 6453 | 6470 | 6482 | 6482 | 6482 | 6464    |
| 2300-2400    | 6446                       | 6505 | 6499 | 6480 | 6474 | 6427 | 6527 | 6775 | 6912 | 7089 | 7243 | 7255 | 6719    |
| 0000-0100    | 7298                       | 7262 | 7120 | 7089 | 7094 | 7064 | 7040 | 7033 | 6991 | 6925 | 6866 | 6890 | 7056    |
| 0100-0200    | 6866                       | 6883 | 6864 | 6834 | 6752 | 6752 | 6757 | 6710 | 6715 | 6685 | 6690 | 6707 | 6768    |
| 0200-0300    | 6689                       | 6665 | 6682 | 6710 | 6662 | 6656 | 6685 | 6644 | 6603 | 6626 | 6666 | 6619 | 6659    |
| 0300-0400    | 6590                       | 6601 | 6583 | 6606 | 6618 | 6590 | 6590 | 6561 | 6538 | 6585 | 6573 | 6596 | 6586    |
| 0400-0500    | 6578                       | 6618 | 6542 | 6577 | 6583 | 6646 | 6593 | 6529 | 6564 | 6580 | 6557 | 6552 | 6577    |
| 0500-0600    | 6511                       | 6557 | 6511 | 6546 | 6534 | 6511 | 6562 | 6585 | 6493 | 6505 | 6510 | 6510 | 6528    |
| 0600-0700    | 6526                       | 6520 | 6526 | 6521 | 6538 | 6526 | 6480 | 6533 | 6526 | 6538 | 6526 | 6538 | 6525    |
| 0700-0800    | 6549                       | 6549 | 6503 | 6533 | 6510 | 6498 | 6526 | 6520 | 6485 | 6531 | 6502 | 6513 | 6518    |
| 0800-0900    | 6536                       | 6536 | 6541 | 6525 | 6525 | 6479 | 6548 | 6548 | 6491 | 6507 | 6530 | 6548 | 6526    |
| 0900-1000    | 6525                       | 6513 | 6513 | 6520 | 6543 | 6582 | 6513 | 6530 | 6491 | 6531 | 6520 | 6520 | 6525    |
| 1000-1100    | 6508                       | 6515 | 6510 | 6516 | 6505 | 6562 | 6562 | 6482 | 6557 | 6529 | 6610 | 6524 | 6532    |
| 1100-1200    | 6547                       | 6507 | 6484 | 6565 | 6496 | 6502 | 6497 | 6538 | 6515 | 6503 | 6510 | 6527 | 6516    |
| 1200-1300    | 6579                       | 6505 | 6528 | 6563 | 6546 | 6518 | 6536 | 6559 | 6577 | 6577 | 6537 | 6543 | 6547    |
| 1300-1400    | 6491                       | 6498 | 6563 | 6504 | 6493 | 6512 | 6523 | 6518 | 6548 | 6566 | 6549 | 6532 | 6525    |

SANA E 70.3S 02.35W ANTARCTICA

NEUTRON MONITOR 3-NM-64

CORRECTED TO 980 MILLIBARS

COEFFICIENT 0.73 PER CENT PER MILLIBAR

REAL COUNTS 10 TIMES TABULATED VALUES

TEN-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |      |      | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
|              | 10                         | 20   | 30   | 40   | 50   | 60   |         |
| 2200-2300    | 2354                       | 2388 | 2388 | 2367 | 2354 | 2380 | 2371.8  |
| 2300-2400    | 2307                       | 2342 | 2377 | 2442 | 2590 | 2625 | 2447.2  |
| 2400-0100    | 2570                       | 2597 | 2601 | 2579 | 2544 | 2527 | 2569.7  |

Table 3 (continued)

|           |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| 0100-0200 | 2489 | 2500 | 2448 | 2509 | 2443 | 2454 | 2473.8 |
| 0200-0300 | 2375 | 2401 | 2419 | 2445 | 2428 | 2418 | 2414.3 |
| 0300-0400 | 2328 | 2442 | 2398 | 2407 | 2350 | 2389 | 2385.7 |
| 0400-0500 | 2352 | 2422 | 2427 | 2387 | 2383 | 2392 | 2393.8 |
| 0500-0600 | 2333 | 2416 | 2430 | 2381 | 2394 | 2394 | 2391.3 |

PORT AUX FRANCAIS 49.35S 70.25E KERGUELEN ISLAND

NEUTRON MONITOR 18-NM-64

CORRECTED FOR BAROMETRIC PRESSURE

COEFFICIENT 10.1 PER CENT PER CM HG

MULTIPLY INDICATED NUMBERS BY 40

FIVE-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|              | 05                         | 10   | 15   | 20   | 25   | 30   | 35   | 40   | 45   | 50   | 55   | 60   |
| 1200-1300    | 1544                       | 1541 | 1548 | 1539 | 1542 | 1536 | 1541 | 1540 | 1521 | 1550 | 1548 | 1536 |
| 1300-1400    | 0000                       | 0000 | 1531 | 1556 | 1542 | 1548 | 1554 | 1522 | 1535 | 1514 | 1526 | 1535 |
| 1400-1500    | 1542                       | 1535 | 1530 | 1541 | 1526 | 1535 | 1539 | 1521 | 1526 | 1532 | 1527 | 1520 |
| 1500-1600    | 1517                       | 1525 | 1522 | 1522 | 1532 | 1530 | 1526 | 1532 | 1523 | 1528 | 1537 | 1535 |
| 1600-1700    | 1538                       | 1516 | 1510 | 1526 | 1521 | 1531 | 1533 | 1535 | 1519 | 1517 | 1508 | 1531 |
| 1700-1800    | 1537                       | 1526 | 1514 | 1544 | 1510 | 1534 | 1533 | 1521 | 1517 | 1505 | 1510 | 1520 |
| 1800-1900    | 1507                       | 1516 | 1519 | 1521 | 1507 | 1526 | 1516 | 1532 | 1531 | 1515 | 1532 | 1518 |
| 1900-2000    | 1523                       | 1509 | 1512 | 1506 | 1519 | 1513 | 1508 | 1531 | 1507 | 1522 | 1510 | 1530 |
| 2000-2100    | 1529                       | 1516 | 1546 | 1534 | 1539 | 1525 | 1517 | 1523 | 1522 | 1527 | 1529 | 1549 |
| 2100-2200    | 1539                       | 1553 | 1536 | 1546 | 1540 | 1539 | 1539 | 1554 | 1537 | 1537 | 1553 | 1537 |
| 2200-2300    | 1530                       | 1537 | 1544 | 1540 | 1550 | 1537 | 1520 | 1531 | 1507 | 1534 | 1516 | 1517 |
| 2300-2400    | 1540                       | 1516 | 1529 | 1553 | 1531 | 1523 | 1538 | 1588 | 1658 | 1651 | 1665 | 1656 |
| 0000-0100    | 1660                       | 1679 | 1682 | 1664 | 1662 | 1665 | 1648 | 1665 | 1616 | 1649 | 1639 | 1635 |
| 0100-0200    | 1624                       | 1626 | 1605 | 1624 | 1615 | 1602 | 1608 | 1593 | 1604 | 1578 | 1591 | 1582 |
| 0200-0300    | 1588                       | 1604 | 1589 | 1566 | 1595 | 1593 | 1584 | 1576 | 1572 | 1578 | 1586 | 1580 |
| 0300-0400    | 1567                       | 1586 | 1576 | 1558 | 1583 | 1576 | 1561 | 1557 | 1564 | 1559 | 1547 | 1568 |
| 0400-0500    | 1567                       | 1570 | 1570 | 1573 | 1555 | 1576 | 1557 | 1568 | 1551 | 1555 | 1555 | 1551 |
| 0500-0600    | 1558                       | 1555 | 1561 | 1548 | 1574 | 1554 | 1551 | 1547 | 1559 | 1568 | 1544 | 1557 |
| 0600-0700    | 1551                       | 1558 | 1549 | 1568 | 1567 | 1550 | 1574 | 1580 | 1565 | 1576 | 1554 | 1562 |
| 0700-0800    | 1557                       | 1549 | 1561 | 1562 | 1558 | 1570 | 1559 | 1544 | 1546 | 1550 | 1571 | 1550 |
| 0800-0900    | 1562                       | 1544 | 1571 | 1570 | 1578 | 1563 | 1546 | 1552 | 1585 | 1556 | 1542 | 1559 |
| 0900-1000    | 1555                       | 1549 | 1555 | 1558 | 1569 | 1553 | 1544 | 1564 | 1543 | 1561 | 1544 | 1553 |
| 1000-1100    | 1561                       | 1570 | 1547 | 1554 | 1562 | 1565 | 1549 | 1546 | 1556 | 1570 | 1553 | 1549 |
| 1100-1200    | 1557                       | 1553 | 1555 | 1556 | 1561 | 1548 | 1560 | 1550 | 1534 | 1546 | 1530 | 1535 |

MT WASHINGTON 44.3N 288.7E NEW HAMPSHIRE

IGY NEUTRON MONITOR

CORRECTED FOR BAROMETRIC PRESSURE

FIVE-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |     |     |     | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
|              | 05                         | 10  | 15  | 20  | 25  | 30   | 35  | 40  | 45  | 50  | 55  | 60  |         |
| 2000-2100    | 172                        | 170 | 174 | 171 | 172 | 171  | 174 | 170 | 173 | 173 | 174 | 172 | 172.3   |
| 2100-2200    | 175                        | 172 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 171  | 176 | 175 | 172 | 174 | 175 | 174 | 173.8   |
| 2200-2300    | 175                        | 175 | 174 | 173 | 174 | 172  | 173 | 175 | 176 | 173 | 171 | 177 | 174.1   |
| 2300-2400    | 174                        | 175 | 173 | 171 | 173 | 174* | 175 | 187 | 196 | 203 | 207 | 203 | 184.3   |
| 2400-0100    | 204                        | 208 | 207 | 204 | 202 | 196  | 195 | 192 | 191 | 187 | 185 | 193 | 196.9   |
| 0100-0200    | 194                        | 189 | 185 | 185 | 183 | 183  | 180 | 183 | 182 | 181 | 179 | 180 | 183.6   |
| 0200-0300    | 181                        | 185 | 177 | 183 | 184 | 181  | 182 | 179 | 174 | 179 | 181 | 177 | 180.3   |
| 0300-0400    | 176                        | 180 | 181 | 177 | 176 | 175  | 179 | 179 | 178 | 178 | 176 | 177 | 177.6   |
| 0400-0500    | 180                        | 175 | 178 | 180 | 174 | 179  | 178 | 174 | 178 | 179 | 179 | 175 | 177.5   |

Table 3 (continued)

DURHAM                      43.1N    289.1E    NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEUTRON MONITOR 12-NM-64

CORRECTED FOR BAROMETRIC PRESSURE

FIVE-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | 05  | 10  | 15  | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     |     |     |     | 50  | 55  | 60 | AVERAGE |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|---------|
|              |     |     |     | 20                         | 25  | 30  | 35  | 40  | 45  |     |     |     |    |         |
| 2000-2100    | 116 | 117 | 116 | 116                        | 116 | 116 | 117 | 118 | 117 | 118 | 116 | 115 |    | 116.6   |
| 2100-2200    | 117 | 117 | 116 | 117                        | 116 | 117 | 115 | 117 | 117 | 115 | 117 | 115 |    | 116.3   |
| 2200-2300    | 118 | 119 | 117 | 115                        | 118 | 117 | 115 | 117 | 117 | 114 | 119 | 117 |    | 116.8   |
| 2300-2400    | 117 | 117 | 118 | 116                        | 116 | 117 | 117 | 122 | 127 | 129 | 129 | 128 |    | 121.1   |
| 2400-0100    | 129 | 128 | 126 | 129                        | 124 | 126 | 123 | 122 | 122 | 122 | 123 | 121 |    | 124.5   |
| 0100-0200    | 122 | 121 | 122 | 120                        | 120 | 122 | 121 | 119 | 120 | 120 | 118 | 120 |    | 120.4   |
| 0200-0300    | 120 | 119 | 119 | 118                        | 118 | 118 | 118 | 120 | 119 | 118 | 119 | 117 |    | 118.5   |
| 0300-0400    | 119 | 117 | 116 | 117                        | 118 | 120 | 118 | 117 | 121 | 117 | 119 | 117 |    | 118.1   |
| 0400-0500    | 117 | 119 | 115 | 118                        | 117 | 119 | 117 | 119 | 118 | 118 | 119 | 115 |    | 117.5   |

UPPSALA                      59.85N    17.58E    SWEDEN

IGY NEUTRON MONITOR

CORRECTED TO 757.75 MM HG

COEFFICIENT -0.986 PER CENT PER MM HG

SCALING FACTOR 2.5

FIVE-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | 05   | 10   | 15   | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |      |      |      | 50   | 55   | 60 | AVERAGE |
|--------------|------|------|------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|---------|
|              |      |      |      | 20                         | 25   | 30   | 35   | 40   | 45   |      |      |      |    |         |
| 2200         | 1800 | 1816 | 1798 | 1803                       | 1868 | 1788 | 1806 | 1804 | 1825 | 1814 | 1798 | 1871 |    | 1815.9  |
| 2300         | 1814 | 1788 | 1840 | 1846                       | 1799 | 1789 | 1791 | 1799 | 1807 | 1830 | 1828 | 1783 |    | 1809.5  |
| 2400         | 1852 | 1870 | 1859 | 1791                       | 1786 | 1797 | 1840 | 1940 | 1966 | 1982 | 1908 | 1987 |    | 1881.5  |
| 0100         | 2007 | 2047 | 1956 | 1940                       | 1967 | 1931 | 1949 | 1937 | 2003 | 1990 | 1940 | 1934 |    | 1966.5  |
| 0200         | 1938 | 1901 | 1899 | 1920                       | 1894 | 1869 | 1878 | 1906 | 1857 | 1868 | 1868 | 1838 |    | 1886.3  |
| 0300         | 1881 | 1862 | 1808 | 1851                       | 1856 | 1886 | 1842 | 1897 | 1865 | 1876 | 1878 | 1846 |    | 1862.3  |
| 0400         | 1824 | 1871 | 1870 | 1816                       | 1897 | 1838 | 1869 | 1848 | 1847 | 1878 | 1885 | 1801 |    | 1853.7  |
| 0500         | 1805 | 1822 | 1829 | 1825                       | 1854 | 1860 | 1907 | 1882 | 1857 | 1857 | 1821 | 1794 |    | 1842.8  |
| 0600         | 1858 | 1809 | 1866 | 1844                       | 1836 | 1852 | 1851 | 1871 | 1781 | 1848 | 1827 | 1855 |    | 1841.5  |
| 0700         | 1811 | 1829 | 1904 | 1865                       | 1802 | 1860 | 1813 | 1890 | 1841 | 1821 | 1869 | 1840 |    | 1845.4  |
| 0800         | 1820 | 1824 | 1868 | 1854                       | 1853 | 1854 | 1820 | 1945 | 1884 | 1884 | 1863 | 1838 |    | 1858.9  |
| 0900         | 1774 | 1835 | 1823 | 1875                       | 1797 | 1889 | 1878 | 1826 | 1813 | 1875 | 1837 | 1810 |    | 1836.0  |
| 1000         | 1852 | 1827 | 1847 | 1832                       | 1821 | 1820 | 1868 | 1852 | 1814 | 1915 | 1818 | 1860 |    | 1843.8  |
| 1100         | 1818 | 1871 | 1836 | 1804                       | 1842 | 1850 | 1862 | 1835 | 1882 | 1908 | 1802 | 1820 |    | 1844.2  |
| 1200         | 1820 | 1834 | 1842 | 1805                       | 1863 | 1853 | 1828 | 1829 | 1807 | 1856 | 1764 | 1819 |    | 1826.7  |
| 1300         | 1870 | 1822 | 1805 | 1809                       | 1867 | 1817 | 1804 | 1848 | 1887 | 1795 | 1801 | 1809 |    | 1827.8  |
| 1400         | 1829 | 1869 | 1840 | 1895                       | 1829 | 1799 | 1880 | 1783 | 1840 | 1803 | 1827 | 1855 |    | 1837.4  |
| 1500         | 1797 | 1842 | 1830 | 1802                       | 1858 | 1821 | 1821 | 1894 | 1843 | 1787 | 1824 | 1897 |    | 1834.7  |
| 1600         | 1826 | 1855 | 1827 | 1798                       | 1802 | 1806 | 1828 | 1797 | 1849 | 1788 | 1867 | 1826 |    | 1822.4  |
| 1700         | 1856 | 1849 | 1800 | 1844                       | 1789 | 1774 | 1847 | 1849 | 1810 | 1820 | 1831 | 1797 |    | 1822.2  |
| 1800         | 1801 | 1848 | 1816 | 1889                       | 1840 | 1850 | 1802 | 1855 | 1783 | 1820 | 1817 | 1880 |    | 1833.4  |

Table 3 (continued)

MAGADAN 60.11N 151.01E USSR

NEUTRON MONITOR 18-NM-64

CORRECTED TO 980 MILLIBARS

BAROMETRIC COEFFICIENT -0.74 PER CENT PER MILLIBAR

RECALCULATING COEFFICIENT 64

FIFTEEN-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|
|              | 15                         | 30   | 45   | 60   |
| 2200         | 1801                       | 1810 | 1802 | 1804 |
| 2300         | 1796                       | 1800 | 1867 | 1968 |
| 2400         | 1910                       | 1892 | 1860 | 1846 |
| 0100         | 1845                       | 1841 | 1845 | 1843 |
| 0200         | 1845                       | 1841 | 1845 | 1843 |
| 0300         | 1829                       | 1812 | 1814 | 1818 |
| 0400         | 1816                       | 1810 | 1800 | 1811 |
| 0500         | 1812                       | 1808 | 1815 | 1817 |
| 0600         | 1814                       | 1811 | 1800 | 1805 |
| 0700         | 1801                       | 1814 | 1814 | 1798 |
| 0800         | 1811                       | 1792 | 1805 | 1802 |
| 0900         | 1796                       | 1818 | 1795 | 1806 |

LEEDS 53.8N 01.5W ENGLAND

IGY NEUTRON MONITOR

REAL COUNTS 100 TIMES TABULATED COUNTS

FIVE-MINUTE BAROMETER CORRECTED RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
|              | 05                         | 10  | 15  | 20  | 25  | 30  | 35  | 40  | 45  | 50  | 55  | 60  |         |
| 0000-0100    | 522                        | 525 | 526 | 526 | 525 | 526 | 527 | 528 | 529 | 525 | 531 | 524 | 526.2   |
| 0100-0200    | 523                        | 528 | 530 | 529 | 523 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 528 | 530 | 529 | 526 | 527.0   |
| 0200-0300    | 525                        | 525 | 530 | 527 | 527 | 527 | 531 | 531 | 526 | 520 | 528 | 532 | 527.3   |
| 0300-0400    | 530                        | 528 | 524 | 533 | 523 | 527 | 527 | 527 | 527 | 521 | 528 | 530 | 527.0   |
| 0400-0500    | 530                        | 531 | 524 | 528 | 526 | 521 | 528 | 524 | 522 | 528 | 523 | 522 | 525.8   |
| 0500-0600    | 525                        | 525 | 530 | 530 | 531 | 527 | 523 | 523 | 532 | 524 | 529 | 525 | 527.1   |
| 0600-0700    | 525                        | 525 | 526 | 526 | 523 | 530 | 530 | 526 | 526 | 527 | 531 | 516 | 526.0   |
| 0700-0800    | 523                        | 528 | 524 | 525 | 523 | 523 | 524 | 527 | 522 | 523 | 527 | 529 | 524.8   |
| 0800-0900    | 527                        | 524 | 529 | 525 | 520 | 528 | 527 | 523 | 526 | 528 | 523 | 530 | 525.9   |
| 0900-1000    | 523                        | 531 | 527 | 527 | 527 | 530 | 529 | 524 | 524 | 523 | 528 | 524 | 526.5   |
| 1000-1100    | 527                        | 526 | 529 | 530 | 522 | 525 | 525 | 534 | 530 | 528 | 530 | 523 | 527.4   |
| 1100-1200    | 523                        | 528 | 535 | 526 | 529 | 527 | 522 | 518 | 530 | 525 | 532 | 528 | 527.0   |
| 1200-1300    | 526                        | 522 | 529 | 527 | 528 | 529 | 525 | 525 | 526 | 529 | 531 | 528 | 527.0   |
| 1300-1400    | 523                        | 525 | 527 | 531 | 520 | 522 | 526 | 524 | 530 | 524 | 530 | 526 | 525.6   |
| 1400-1500    | 526                        | 527 | 527 | 524 | 529 | 531 | 526 | 527 | 523 | 525 | 519 | 527 | 525.8   |
| 1500-1600    | 529                        | 524 | 530 | 522 | 526 | 528 | 528 | 523 | 526 | 525 | 525 | 522 | 525.6   |
| 1600-1700    | 524                        | 529 | 521 | 522 | 526 | 525 | 522 | 525 | 522 | 523 | 522 | 528 | 524.1   |
| 1700-1800    | 529                        | 525 | 523 | 523 | 526 | 526 | 527 | 529 | 528 | 528 | 531 | 519 | 526.1   |
| 1800-1900    | 528                        | 524 | 523 | 524 | 525 | 523 | 526 | 531 | 521 | 528 | 525 | 525 | 525.3   |
| 1900-2000    | 525                        | 518 | 519 | 530 | 531 | 525 | 526 | 526 | 528 | 529 | 526 | 524 | 525.6   |
| 2000-2100    | 526                        | 524 | 528 | 529 | 525 | 525 | 527 | 528 | 526 | 522 | 528 | 528 | 526.3   |
| 2100-2200    | 524                        | 526 | 525 | 521 | 525 | 526 | 521 | 524 | 526 | 527 | 527 | 523 | 524.6   |
| 2200-2300    | 527                        | 524 | 529 | 520 | 527 | 526 | 524 | 530 | 530 | 520 | 528 | 528 | 526.3   |
| 2300-2400    | 531                        | 522 | 523 | 519 | 530 | 527 | 529 | 536 | 556 | 556 | 552 | 556 | 536.4   |
| 0000-0100    | 547                        | 548 | 547 | 540 | 538 | 539 | 537 | 537 | 536 | 538 | 536 | 540 | 540.3   |
| 0100-0200    | 533                        | 538 | 546 | 532 | 536 | 534 | 540 | 533 | 535 | 531 | 535 | 533 | 535.6   |
| 0200-0300    | 536                        | 532 | 539 | 533 | 532 | 531 | 530 | 533 | 534 | 535 | 532 | 532 | 533.3   |
| 0300-0400    | 528                        | 527 | 538 | 532 | 529 | 531 | 531 | 527 | 528 | 538 | 531 | 528 | 530.6   |

Table 3 (continued)

|           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |        |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| 0400-0500 | 530 | 530 | 533 | 534 | 527 | 533 | 531 | 535 | 530 | 526 | 530 | 527 | 530.6  |
| 0500-0600 | 526 | 530 | 528 | 534 | 527 | 532 | 535 | 524 | 530 | 534 | 530 | 527 | 529.8  |
| 0600-0700 | 531 | 526 | 534 | 534 | 533 | 526 | 529 | 531 | 532 | 537 | 531 | 534 | 531.7  |
| 0700-0800 | 529 | 534 | 533 | 531 | 533 | 535 | 535 | 528 | 535 | 534 | 528 | 533 | 532.3  |
| 0800-0900 | 531 | 533 | 532 | 535 | 532 | 531 | 534 | 537 | 540 | 536 | 532 | 536 | 534.1  |
| 0900-1000 | 533 | 535 | 536 | 536 | 539 | 531 | 537 | 535 | 533 | 532 | 533 | 544 | 535.2  |
| 1000-1100 | 533 | 529 | 530 | 534 | 532 | 533 | 533 | 533 | 529 | 532 | 534 | 535 | 532.3  |
| 1100-1200 | 537 | 529 | 526 | 529 | 530 | 530 | 533 | 530 | 536 | 527 | 535 | 534 | 531.2  |
| 1200-1300 | 530 | 528 | 533 | 526 | 538 | 529 | 522 | 526 | 538 | 526 | 531 | 529 | 529.8  |
| 1300-1400 | 532 | 526 | 531 | 531 | 530 | 527 | 528 | 529 | 523 | 529 | 525 | 526 | 528.0  |
| 1400-1500 | 522 | 534 | 0   | 531 | 528 | 530 | 531 | 524 | 521 | 531 | 538 | 525 | *528.7 |
| 1500-1600 | 525 | 531 | 528 | 0   | 0   | 532 | 526 | 527 | 525 | 533 | 527 | 524 | *528.0 |
| 1600-1700 | 532 | 531 | 529 | 0   | 528 | 528 | 526 | 526 | 522 | 528 | 531 | 528 | *528.0 |
| 1700-1800 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 526 | 528 | 526 | 523 | 532 | 532 | 534 | 525 | 525 | 528.5  |
| 1800-1900 | 531 | 530 | 524 | 527 | 536 | 534 | 526 | 529 | 530 | 534 | 529 | 528 | 529.9  |
| 1900-2000 | 531 | 529 | 535 | 534 | 530 | 529 | 528 | 530 | 528 | 530 | 530 | 525 | 529.9  |
| 2000-2100 | 532 | 526 | 525 | 532 | 524 | 529 | 525 | 529 | 525 | 524 | 528 | 528 | 527.2  |
| 2100-2200 | 529 | 532 | 530 | 530 | 525 | 528 | 527 | 528 | 526 | 529 | 526 | 528 | 528.3  |
| 2200-2300 | 530 | 529 | 528 | 530 | 527 | 526 | 531 | 530 | 529 | 533 | 536 | 526 | 529.6  |
| 2300-2400 | 532 | 523 | 532 | 526 | 522 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 528 | 533 | 527 | 530 | 527.4  |

KIEL 54.33N 10.10E GERMANY

NEUTRON MONITOR 18-NM-64

FIVE-MINUTE BAROMETER CORRECTED RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|              | 05                         | 10   | 15   | 20   | 25   | 30   | 35   | 40   | 45   | 50   | 55   | 60   |
| 2200         | 4916                       | 4894 | 4916 | 4859 | 4877 | 4929 | 4953 | 4897 | 4934 | 4919 | 4938 | 4924 |
| 2300         | 4952                       | 4926 | 4882 | 4935 | 4873 | 4870 | 4934 | 5034 | 5127 | 5156 | 5128 | 5145 |
| 2400         | 5094                       | 5107 | 5077 | 5033 | 5045 | 5061 | 4996 | 5052 | 5055 | 4979 | 5019 | 5043 |
| 0100         | 4969                       | 5024 | 4909 | 5020 | 4977 | 5003 | 4949 | 5000 | 4949 | 4973 | 5037 | 5019 |
| 0200         | 5018                       | 4952 | 4958 | 4950 | 4959 | 4907 | 4986 | 4970 | 4969 | 4989 | 4937 | 4960 |
| 0300         | 4942                       | 4973 | 4966 | 4936 | 4991 | 4939 | 4990 | 4916 | 4891 | 4923 | 4960 | 4963 |
| 0400         | 4961                       | 4970 | 4956 | 4999 | 4918 | 5003 | 4927 | 4976 | 4955 | 4954 | 4963 | 4975 |
| 0500         | 4981                       | 4976 | 4943 | 4920 | 4947 | 4992 | 4964 | 4905 | 4986 | 4995 | 4927 | 4958 |
| 0600         | 4936                       | 4990 | 4953 | 4930 | 4955 | 5005 | 4951 | 4954 | 4940 | 5010 | 5006 | 5024 |
| 0700         | 4998                       | 4965 | 4953 | 4972 | 4972 | 4998 | 4964 | 4962 | 4976 | 4940 | 4934 | 4993 |
| 0800         | 4978                       | 4958 | 4987 | 4938 | 4993 | 4984 | 4938 | 4933 | 5002 | 4992 | 4992 | 4972 |
| 0900         | 4979                       | 4953 | 5013 | 4990 | 4976 | 4958 | 5040 | 4955 | 4946 | 4952 | 4943 | 4902 |
| 1000         | 4885                       | 4903 | 4940 | 5018 | 4952 | 4903 | 4923 | 5000 | 4928 | 4931 | 4944 | 4909 |
| 1100         | 4954                       | 4974 | 4946 | 4965 | 4912 | 4936 | 4957 | 4937 | 4943 | 4939 | 4959 | 4941 |
| 1200         | 4985                       | 4972 | 4962 | 4911 | 4920 | 4947 | 4935 | 4899 | 4929 | 4933 | 4939 | 4933 |
| 1300         | 4987                       | 4934 | 4932 | 5024 | 4893 | 4977 | 4960 | 4958 | 4938 | 4944 | 4960 | 4915 |

Table 3 (continued)

MOSCOW 55.47N 37.3E USSR

NEUTRON MONITOR SNM

CORRECTED FOR PRESSURE

BAROMETRIC COEFFICIENT -0.71 PER CENT PER MILLIBAR

RECALCULATING COEFFICIENT 64

FIFTEEN-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|
|              | 15                         | 30   | 45   | 60   |
| 2000         | 1124                       | 1124 | 1112 | 1131 |
| 2100         | 1117                       | 1127 | 1135 | 1131 |
| 2200         | 1130                       | 1122 | 1124 | 1112 |
| 2300         | 1121                       | 1113 | 1122 | 1149 |
| 2400         | 1177                       | 1165 | 1143 | 1141 |
| 0100         | 1134                       | 1135 | 1139 | 1133 |
| 0200         | 1128                       | 1130 | 1126 | 1132 |
| 0300         | 1131                       | 1133 | 1130 | 1132 |
| 0400         | 1128                       | 1134 | 1140 | 1144 |
| 0500         | 1115                       | 1132 | 1140 | 1130 |
| 0600         | 1135                       | 1131 | 1133 | 1143 |

IRKUTSK 52.45N 104.03E USSR

NEUTRON MONITOR 18-NM-64

CORRECTED TO MILLIBARS

BAROMETRIC COEFFICIENT -0.74 PER CENT PER MILLIBAR

RECALCULATING COEFFICIENT 64

FIVE-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
|              | 05                         | 10  | 15  | 20  | 25  | 30  | 35  | 40  | 45  | 50  | 55  | 60  |         |
| 2200         | 835                        | 851 | 843 | 843 | 827 | 856 | 849 | 840 | 850 | 840 | 844 | 847 | 843.8   |
| 2300         | 844                        | 851 | 847 | 842 | 847 | 844 | 855 | 863 | 859 | 871 | 862 | 871 | 854.7   |
| 2400         | 851                        | 854 | 854 | 854 | 856 | 855 | 860 | 859 | 848 | 868 | 849 | 853 | 855.1   |
| 0100         | 851                        | 850 | 848 | 849 | 850 | 862 | 848 | 847 | 846 | 838 | 845 | 849 | 848.6   |
| 0200         | 853                        | 851 | 863 | 848 | 855 | 855 | 853 | 848 | 848 | 849 | 840 | 845 | 850.7   |
| 0300         | 859                        | 866 | 862 | 833 | 848 | 855 | 868 | 855 | 865 | 860 | 855 | 854 | 856.7   |
| 0400         | 850                        | 872 | 863 | 858 | 867 | 861 | 853 | 855 | 865 | 859 | 858 | 861 | 860.2   |
| 0500         | 861                        | 857 | 866 | 860 | 868 | 861 | 967 | 865 | 862 | 867 | 859 | 863 | 871.3   |
| 0600         | 852                        | 863 | 857 | 848 | 872 | 865 | 854 | 879 | 866 | 860 | 855 | 873 | 862.0   |
| 0700         | 860                        | 846 | 850 | 851 | 862 | 856 | 856 | 855 | 346 | 852 | 841 | 852 | 852.3   |
| 0800         | 863                        | 850 | 856 | 861 | 854 | 848 | 849 | 850 | 354 | 850 | 851 | 854 | 853.3   |
| 0900         | 855                        | 849 | 860 | 851 | 853 | 851 | 868 | 850 | 851 | 860 | 860 | 851 | 854.9   |
| 1000         | 853                        | 846 | 850 | 846 | 854 | 861 | 850 | 855 | 861 | 856 | 844 | 851 | 852.3   |
| 1100         | 855                        | 851 | 845 | 853 | 850 | 849 | 864 | 844 | 850 | 858 | 856 | 857 | 852.7   |
| 1200         | 854                        | 855 | 844 | 854 | 849 | 851 | 864 | 845 | 843 | 848 | 852 | 855 | 851.2   |
| 1300         | 848                        | 962 | 849 | 851 | 853 | 859 | 856 | 853 | 855 | 867 | 854 | 857 | 863.7   |

Table 3 (continued)

LOMNICKY STIT      49.2N    20.22E    CZECHOSLOVAKIA

IGY NEUTRON MONITOR

SCALING FACTOR 8

TEN-MINUTE BAROMETER CORRECTED RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     |     |            |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|
|              | 10                         | 20  | 30  | 40  | 50  | 60 AVERAGE |
| 2200         | 816                        | 840 | 832 | 838 | 842 | 832 830.1  |
| 2300         | 814                        | 834 | 814 | 840 | 838 | 842 830.3  |
| 2400         | 827                        | 836 | 819 | 856 | 821 | 827 831.1  |
| 0100         | 825                        | 832 | 829 | 831 | 816 | 818 825.3  |
| 0200         | 827                        | 863 | 823 | 832 | 820 | 836 833.8  |
| 0300         | 823                        | 822 | 844 | 834 | 803 | 803 821.6  |
| 0400         | 835                        | 841 | 814 | 814 | 820 | 821 824.1  |
| 0500         | 805                        | 804 | 827 | 815 | 820 | 819 815.3  |
| 0600         | 843                        | 833 | 814 | 834 | 834 | 830 831.3  |
| 0700         | 822                        | 807 | 821 | 835 | 827 | 841 825.5  |
| 0800         | 844                        | 834 | 827 | 832 | 816 | 832 831.0  |
| 0900         | 828                        | 850 | 834 | 823 | 819 | 844 833.0  |
| 1000         | 821                        | 817 | 837 | 813 | 831 | 836 825.9  |
| 1100         | 823                        | 804 | 824 | 828 | 828 | 817 820.4  |
| 1200         | 841                        | 833 | 810 | 831 | 834 | 814 827.2  |

DALLAS      32.78N    96.80W    TEXAS

NEUTRON MONITOR NM-64

REAL COUNTS 40 TIMES TABULATED COUNTS

FIVE-MINUTE BAROMETER CORRECTED HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|              | 05                         | 10   | 15   | 20   | 25   | 30   | 35   | 40   | 45   | 50   | 55   | 60   |
| 1800         | 1485                       | 1490 | 1504 | 1483 | 1500 | 1493 | 1509 | 1482 | 1503 | 1489 | 1472 | 1472 |
| 1900         | 1484                       | 1493 | 1497 | 1497 | 1507 | 1485 | 1490 | 1469 | 1482 | 1485 | 1487 | 1485 |
| 2000         | 1484                       | 1491 | 1487 | 1498 | 1484 | 1493 | 1493 | 1486 | 1496 | 1491 | 1475 | 1485 |
| 2100         | 1489                       | 1477 | 1487 | 1497 | 1490 | 1500 | 1485 | 1476 | 1478 | 1470 | 1474 | 1488 |
| 2200         | 1494                       | 1487 | 1497 | 1517 | 1500 | 1485 | 1488 | 1511 | 1496 | 1506 | 1476 | 1497 |
| 2300         | 1509                       | 1490 | 1488 | 1503 | 1509 | 1477 | 1503 | 1518 | 1493 | 1519 | 1519 | 1507 |
| 2400         | 1483                       | 1491 | 1492 | 1498 | 1500 | 1493 | 1497 | 1506 | 1503 | 1489 | 1497 | 1511 |
| 0100         | 1523                       | 1512 | 1493 | 1497 | 1504 | 1496 | 1482 | 1496 | 1478 | 1500 | 1492 | 1500 |
| 0200         | 1505                       | 1501 | 1490 | 1499 | 1511 | 1476 | 1510 | 1500 | 1491 | 1499 | 1503 | 1497 |
| 0300         | 1500                       | 1513 | 1496 | 1493 | 1497 | 1496 | 1485 | 1515 | 1495 | 1474 | 1469 | 1481 |
| 0400         | 1498                       | 1500 | 1486 | 1493 | 1488 | 1491 | 1497 | 1491 | 1494 | 1506 | 1473 | 1478 |
| 0500         | 1485                       | 1500 | 1482 | 1487 | 1482 | 1476 | 1494 | 1488 | 1476 | 1486 | 1487 | 1478 |
| 0600         | 1487                       | 1498 | 1487 | 1482 | 1481 | 1479 | 1494 | 1498 | 1464 | 1487 | 1486 | 1499 |
| 0700         | 1487                       | 1488 | 1498 | 1503 | 1492 | 1497 | 1498 | 1484 | 1488 | 1501 | 1483 | 1481 |
| 0800         | 1497                       | 1484 | 1492 | 1496 | 1500 | 1494 | 1495 | 1490 | 1464 | 1482 | 1502 | 1493 |
| 0900         | 1497                       | 1494 | 1486 | 1485 | 1493 | 1485 | 1490 | 1499 | 1519 | 1497 | 1499 | 1477 |
| 1000         | 1506                       | 1496 | 1495 | 1494 | 1483 | 1489 | 1479 | 1471 | 1479 | 1502 | 1492 | 1490 |
| 1100         | 1491                       | 1493 | 1514 | 1497 | 1508 | 1488 | 1498 | 1508 | 1498 | 1485 | 1501 | 1505 |
| 1200         | 1500                       | 1507 | 1499 | 1503 | 1491 | 1507 | 1498 | 1501 | 1490 | 1511 | 1506 | 1487 |
| 1300         | 1477                       | 1499 | 1488 | 1507 | 1495 | 1473 | 1501 | 1481 | 1507 | 1491 | 1497 | 1488 |
| 1400         | 1492                       | 1487 | 1496 | 1495 | 1505 | 1502 | 1505 |      |      |      |      |      |



Table 3 (continued)

ROME 41.9N 12.5E ITALY

NEUTRON MONITOR 9-NM-64

UNCORRECTED FOR PRESSURE

PROVISIONAL BAROMETRIC COEFFICIENT 9.2 PER CENT PER CM HG

SCALING FACTOR 100

FIFTEEN-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     | PRESSURE |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|
|              | 15                         | 30  | 45  | 60  |          |
| 0100         | 714                        | 714 | 719 | 718 | 757.2    |
| 0200         | 715                        | 717 | 728 | 712 | 757.1    |
| 0300         | 708                        | 709 | 710 | 715 | 757.1    |
| 0400         | 723                        | 721 | 712 | 715 | 756.9    |
| 0500         | 710                        | 720 | 718 | 720 | 756.6    |
| 0600         | 729                        | 713 | 714 | 721 | 756.4    |
| 0700         | 718                        | 725 | 728 | 728 | 756.0    |
| 0800         | 727                        | 719 | 727 | 723 | 755.9    |
| 0900         | 725                        | 728 | 729 | 723 | 755.6    |
| 1000         | 725                        | 726 | 732 | 727 | 755.4    |
| 1100         | 725                        | 736 | 741 | 736 | 754.1    |
| 1200         | 737                        | 735 | 732 | 736 | 753.8    |
| 1300         | 738                        | 739 | 742 | 748 | 753.0    |
| 1400         | 745                        | 752 | 758 | 741 | 752.6    |
| 1500         | 743                        | 739 | 741 | 738 | 753.1    |
| 1600         | 741                        | 738 | 739 | 742 | 753.3    |
| 1700         | 739                        | 735 | 737 | 734 | 753.8    |
| 1800         | 748                        | 731 | 735 | 737 | 754.3    |
| 1900         | 743                        | 739 | 742 | 740 | 754.4    |
| 2000         | 735                        | 735 | 736 | 734 | 755.0    |
| 2100         | 721                        | 726 | 727 | 723 | 756.0    |
| 2200         | 721                        | 715 | 718 | 721 | 756.8    |
| 2300         | 726                        | 726 | 716 | 723 | 756.8    |
| 2400         | 725                        | 717 | 715 | 720 | 757.1    |
| 0100         | 711                        | 718 | 710 | 712 | 757.9    |
| 0200         | 713                        | 710 | 713 | 712 | 758.2    |
| 0300         | 715                        | 704 | 713 | 706 | 758.4    |
| 0400         | 710                        | 707 | 718 | 717 | 758.5    |
| 0500         | 716                        | 715 | 709 | 717 | 758.5    |
| 0600         | 705                        | 706 | 713 | 707 | 759.0    |
| 0700         | 700                        | 715 | 703 | 712 | 759.2    |
| 0800         | 705                        | 710 | 706 | 706 | 759.3    |
| 0900         | 698                        | 713 | 710 | 714 | 759.2    |
| 1000         | 707                        | 709 | 711 | 710 | 758.8    |
| 1100         | 710                        | 715 | 716 | 717 | 757.8    |
| 1200         | 714                        | 716 | 719 | 716 | 757.6    |
| 1300         | 713                        | 711 | 717 | 716 | 757.1    |
| 1400         | 722                        | 725 | 720 | 723 | 756.5    |
| 1500         | 724                        | 721 | 723 | 720 | 756.4    |
| 1600         | 718                        | 717 | 720 | 717 | 756.3    |
| 1700         | 727                        | 719 | 734 | 729 | 755.7    |
| 1800         | 733                        | 734 | 736 | 728 | 755.3    |
| 1900         | 741                        | 722 | 735 | 730 | 755.0    |
| 2000         | 740                        | 721 | 734 | 728 | 755.2    |
| 2100         | 727                        | 733 | 735 | 733 | 755.5    |
| 2200         | 728                        | 735 | 732 | 736 | 755.5    |
| 2300         | 733                        | 739 | 724 | 731 | 755.8    |

Table 3 (continued)

MT NORIKURA 36.11N 137.55E JAPAN

NEUTRON MONITOR 4-NM-64

PRESSURE CORRECTED TO 540 MM HG

COEFFICIENT -0.85 PER CENT PER MM HG

SCALE OF 64

FIFTEEN-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |      |      |  | 60   | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|------|------|--|------|---------|
|              | 15                         | 30   | 45   |  |      |         |
| 2200-2300    | 2536                       | 2531 | 2552 |  | 2554 | 2543.2  |
| 2300-2400    | 2539                       | 2557 | 2544 |  | 2557 | 2549.2  |
| 2400-0100    | 2557                       | 2582 | 2582 |  | 2582 | 2575.7  |
| 0100-0200    | 2588                       | 2564 | 2569 |  | 2569 | 2572.5  |
| 0200-0300    | 2577                       | 2557 | 2557 |  | 2544 | 2558.7  |
| 0300-0400    | 2572                       | 2539 | 2562 |  | 2554 | 2556.7  |
| 0400-0500    | 2526                       | 2572 | 2580 |  | 2534 | 2553.0  |

ITABASHI 35.75N 139.71E JAPAN

NEUTRON MONITOR 9-NM-64

PRESSURE CORRECTED TO 1013.3 MILLIBARS

COEFFICIENT -0.70 PER CENT PER MILLIBAR

SCALE OF 64

TEN-MINUTE HOURLY RATES

JANUARY 24-25, 1971

| TIME<br>U.T. | MINUTES AT END OF INTERVAL |     |     |     |     | 60  | AVERAGE |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
|              | 10                         | 20  | 30  | 40  | 50  |     |         |
| 2200-2300    | 584                        | 589 | 579 | 577 | 575 | 576 | 580.0   |
| 2300-2400    | 575                        | 576 | 574 | 575 | 575 | 576 | 575.1   |
| 2400-0100    | 574                        | 579 | 587 | 593 | 585 | 585 | 583.8   |
| 0100-0200    | 585                        | 592 | 583 | 578 | 589 | 578 | 584.1   |
| 0200-0300    | 579                        | 595 | 581 | 587 | 586 | 590 | 586.3   |
| 0300-0400    | 584                        | 589 | 591 | 584 | 586 | 581 | 585.8   |
| 0400-0500    | 583                        | 583 | 580 | 579 | 586 | 577 | 581.3   |




Fig. 1. January 24-25, 1971 Neutron Monitor Data from Dumont d'Urville.  
(Cut-off Rigidity = 0.01).




Fig. 2. January 24-25, 1971 Neutron Monitor Data from Port aux Francais.  
(Cut-off Rigidity = 1.19).




Fig. 3. January 24-25, 1971 Neutron Monitor Data from Durham.  
(Cut-off Rigidity = 1.41).

Cosmic-Ray Trajectory Calculations for Selected High Latitude Stations  
Appropriate for the Solar Cosmic-Ray Events in 1971

by

M. A. Shea and D. F. Smart  
 Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories  
 Bedford, Massachusetts

It has been shown by Gall *et al.* [1968] that the external magnetic sources controlling the fields in the earth's magnetic cavity can have an effect on the amount of geomagnetic bending cosmic-ray particles of rigidities less than a few GeV undergo in their passage through the magnetosphere to arrive at a specific location on the earth. This effect, which is particularly significant for particles arriving at high latitude ( $\Lambda > 55^\circ$ ) stations, is also a function of local time. Consequently, it is important to account for the variation in the amount of geomagnetic bending in trying to ascertain the interplanetary flux and direction from the data recorded by ground-level detectors [Shea and Smart, 1970]. The purpose of this paper is to present the results of a set of cosmic-ray trajectory calculations detailing the approach directions for the high latitude ground-level cosmic-ray stations observing the cosmic-ray events of January 24 and September 1, 1971. These calculations have been made for the local time of each station during each event.

The accuracy of these types of calculations is highly dependent upon the magnetic field model parameters utilized and the relative merits of the various field model configurations are presently a matter of debate in the scientific community [Morfill, 1971]. Since the results of this type of calculation are highly dependent on the model used, it is necessary to describe in some detail the manner in which the magnetic fields are combined and the trajectories calculated in this field. The quiescent magnetospheric model we have used is based on the model derived by Williams and Mead [1965] and contains magnetic fields of both internal and external origin. The cosmic-ray trajectory calculations were restricted to the domain of the earth's magnetic cavity (i.e. the magnetosphere) represented by a boundary generated by a surface of revolution about the earth-sun line composed on the day side by a hemisphere of radius 13.9 earth radii centered at -3.5 earth radii and extended into a truncated cone whose generators form an angle of 15.3 degrees with the earth-sun line. Within this domain the magnetic field at any point in the magnetosphere is considered to be composed of an internal field ( $\vec{B}_{int}$ ) represented by the Gaussian expansion with IGRF coefficients [IAGA Commission 2, Working Group 4, 1969] and an external field ( $\vec{B}_{ext}$ ) as developed by Williams and Mead [1965].

The external field consists of sources due to currents flowing on the magnetopause and in the magnetospheric tail. It is explicit in this model that the solar wind is flowing perpendicular to the dipole axis and that these external fields are a function of position in dipole coordinates and local time. Perturbations of the geomagnetic field or any possible contributions due to ring currents are not included in this model. The expressions for the external sources were limited to two terms based on the work of Gall *et al.* [1969] who have shown by field line tracing that this limitation generates a magnetopause which nearly coincides with the boundary as described previously and as shown in Figure 1.

The magnetic field at any point inside the magnetosphere is given by the equation

$$\vec{B}_{total} = \vec{B}_{int}(R, \Theta, \Phi) + \alpha \vec{B}_{ext}(r, \theta, \gamma)$$

$$\vec{B}_{ext} = \vec{B}_s(r, \theta, \gamma) + \vec{B}_{cs}(r, \theta, \gamma).$$

The internal field is expressed in geocentric coordinates,  $R, \Theta, \Phi$ , while the Williams and Mead external field, symmetric with respect to the geomagnetic equator and the noon-midnight meridian, is computed in geomagnetic coordinates  $(r, \theta, \gamma)$ . At each iteration step the geomagnetic components of the external field are transformed by using the matrix  $\alpha$  into geographic components.  $\gamma$  is the local time measured in degrees which corresponds to the angle in geomagnetic coordinates between the meridian of the anti-solar point and the meridian of the current particle position.




Fig. 1. Illustration of field lines in the model magnetosphere.

A tail configuration of  $R_1 = 10$  earth radii and  $R_2 = 40$  earth radii (the inward and outward termination points of the neutral sheet in the tail, respectively) and  $\bar{B}_{cs}$  (the tail field associated with the current sheet) adjusted to  $40 \gamma$  was utilized.

The cosmic-ray trajectories were calculated in the following manner. For each station the differential equation describing the motion ( $\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = (q/m) \dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \bar{\mathbf{B}}$ ) of a charged particle of mass  $m$  and charge  $q$  in the previously described magnetic field was solved at selected rigidities by using the Runge-Kutta integration method (See McCracken *et al.* [1962] for details of this process). In these calculations the step length employed in the Runge Kutta process was about 1/100 of the distance traversed during a Larmor gyration. The particles were started at an altitude of 30 km in the radial (vertical) direction with the calculations for each station made at the local mean solar time corresponding to the particle increase (0000 UT for the event of January 24-25, 1971, and 2100 UT for the event of September 1, 1971). The asymptotic directions of approach\* were calculated at the position where (1) the orbit penetrated the magnetopause or (2) the orbit extended into the magnetospheric tail to a distance greater than 20 earth radii. Orbits that intersected the solid earth, or failed to reach an allowed solution by 100,000 iterative steps were declared "forbidden".

The asymptotic cones of acceptance for relativistic solar protons (0.09-10.0 GV) for selected high latitude stations appropriate for 0000 UT and 2100 UT are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. Inspection of these figures shows that although these calculations were made for time periods separated by only three hours, noticeable differences in the amount of geomagnetic bending can be seen for some of these stations.




Fig. 2. Illustration of the asymptotic directions of approach for selected high latitude stations at 0000 UT. These directions are appropriate for the high energy particle event of January 24-25, 1971.

\*In this paper the asymptotic direction of approach is given as the direction of a geocentric radial vector parallel to the particle velocity vector at the position where the calculation is made. These are not truly "asymptotic" in the classical sense of the word since further magnetic bending will occur due to the interplanetary magnetic field.




Fig. 3. Illustration of the asymptotic directions of approach for selected high latitude stations at 2100 UT. These directions are appropriate for the high energy particle event of September 1, 1971.

The following tables contain the asymptotic directions of approach for selected high latitude neutron monitors. Negative asymptotic longitudes indicate that the particle trajectory does not cross the Greenwich meridian. Longitude values larger than 360 degrees are indicative of a large longitudinal drift of the particle as it traverses the magnetic cavity. The notation "R" and "F" mean a re-entrant or failed orbit respectively. All calculations were made for the local time of the station corresponding to the particle increase.

#### REFERENCES

- |   |      |  |
|---|------|--|
| GALL, RUTH,<br>JAIME JIMENEZ, and<br>LUCILLA CAMACHO                | 1968 | Arrival of low-energy cosmic rays via the magnetospheric tail, <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> , <b>73</b> , 1593-1605.  |
| GALL, RUTH,<br>SILVIA BRAVO,<br>JAIME JIMENEZ, and<br>ADOLFO OROZCO | 1969 | Modelos del campo-geomagnetico para el estudio de la propagacion de la radiacion cosmica, <i>Anales del Instituto de Geofisica, U.N.A.M.</i> , <b>14</b> , 1-22.   |
| IAGA COMMISSION 2,<br>WORKING GROUP 4                               | 1969 | International geomagnetic reference field 1965.0, <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> , <b>74</b> , 4407.  |
| McCRACKEN, K. G.,<br>U. R. RAO, and<br>M. A. SHEA                   | 1962 | The trajectories of cosmic rays in a high simulation of the geomagnetic field, <i>Mass. Inst. Technology Tech. Rept.</i> , <b>77</b> , August 1962.  |
| MORFILL, G. E.  | 1971 | A comment on trajectory calculations in the Williams and Mead geomagnetic field model, <i>Planet. Space Sci.</i> , <b>19</b> , 1016-1018.  |
| SHEA, M. A., and<br>D. F. SMART                                     | 1970 | The effect of the asymmetric magnetosphere on the response of high-latitude neutron monitors to solar particle events, <i>Acta Physica, Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae</i> , <b>29</b> , Suppl. 2 (Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Cosmic Rays, Budapest 1969), 539-543. |
| WILLIAMS, D. J., and<br>G. D. MEAD                                  | 1965 | Nightside magnetosphere configuration as obtained from trapped electrons at 1100 kilometers, <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> , <b>70</b> , 3017-3029.  |



ALERT, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 82.50 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 62.33 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 1951

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 82         | -50  |
| 9.00             | 82         | -53  |
| 8.00             | 83         | -56  |
| 7.00             | 83         | -55  |
| 6.00             | 83         | -52  |
| 5.00             | 82         | -57  |
| 4.00             | 82         | -67  |
| 3.00             | 81         | -73  |
| 2.00             | 79         | -86  |
| 1.90             | 79         | -87  |
| 1.80             | 78         | -91  |
| 1.70             | 78         | -94  |
| 1.60             | 78         | -94  |
| 1.50             | 77         | -97  |
| 1.40             | 76         | -100 |
| 1.30             | 75         | -101 |
| 1.20             | 74         | -105 |
| 1.10             | 73         | -108 |
| 1.00             | 71         | -110 |
| 0.90             | 69         | -113 |

RESOLUTE, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 74.69 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 94.91 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 1740

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 68         | -79  |
| 9.00             | 68         | -79  |
| 8.00             | 68         | -87  |
| 7.00             | 68         | -77  |
| 6.00             | 68         | -75  |
| 5.00             | 67         | -74  |
| 4.00             | 67         | -72  |
| 3.00             | 66         | -68  |
| 2.00             | 64         | -60  |
| 1.90             | 64         | -58  |
| 1.80             | 64         | -57  |
| 1.70             | 64         | -56  |
| 1.60             | 63         | -54  |
| 1.50             | 63         | -52  |
| 1.40             | 62         | -50  |
| 1.30             | 61         | -48  |
| 1.20             | 60         | -45  |
| 1.10             | 59         | -42  |
| 1.00             | 58         | -38  |
| 0.90             | 56         | -35  |

THULE, GREENLAND

Geographic Latitude = 76.55 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 68.84 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 1925

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 73         | -35  |
| 9.00             | 74         | -35  |
| 8.00             | 74         | -33  |
| 7.00             | 74         | -28  |
| 6.00             | 74         | -23  |
| 5.00             | 73         | -22  |
| 4.00             | 73         | -17  |
| 3.00             | 72         | -10  |
| 2.00             | 70         | 7    |
| 1.90             | 69         | 8    |
| 1.80             | 69         | 9    |
| 1.70             | 68         | 12   |
| 1.60             | 67         | 15   |
| 1.50             | 66         | 16   |
| 1.40             | 65         | 20   |
| 1.30             | 64         | 22   |
| 1.20             | 62         | 26   |
| 1.10             | 60         | 28   |
| 1.00             | 57         | 31   |
| 0.90             | 54         | 34   |

VOSTOK, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 78.47 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 106.87 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0707

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -72        | 35   |
| 9.00             | -73        | 38   |
| 8.00             | -72        | 41   |
| 7.00             | -70        | 41   |
| 6.00             | -67        | 35   |
| 5.00             | -68        | 28   |
| 4.00             | -66        | 31   |
| 3.00             | -64        | 23   |
| 2.00             | -58        | 17   |
| 1.90             | -58        | 15   |
| 1.80             | -58        | 15   |
| 1.70             | -56        | 15   |
| 1.60             | -55        | 12   |
| 1.50             | -55        | 12   |
| 1.40             | -52        | 11   |
| 1.30             | -52        | 9    |
| 1.20             | -49        | 8    |
| 1.10             | -48        | 7    |
| 1.00             | -46        | 5    |
| 0.90             | -44        | 3    |

DUMONT D'URVILLE, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 66.67 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 140.02 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0920

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -62        | 159  |
| 9.00             | -62        | 160  |
| 8.00             | -61        | 160  |
| 7.00             | -60        | 161  |
| 6.00             | -59        | 162  |
| 5.00             | -58        | 162  |
| 4.00             | -56        | 163  |
| 3.00             | -54        | 163  |
| 2.00             | -50        | 164  |
| 1.90             | -49        | 164  |
| 1.80             | -49        | 164  |
| 1.70             | -48        | 164  |
| 1.60             | -47        | 164  |
| 1.50             | -47        | 164  |
| 1.40             | -46        | 164  |
| 1.30             | -45        | 164  |
| 1.20             | -44        | 164  |
| 1.10             | -43        | 165  |
| 1.00             | -42        | 165  |
| 0.90             | -40        | 165  |

McMURDO, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 77.85 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 166.72 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 1107

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -79        | 261  |
| 9.00             | -80        | 258  |
| 8.00             | -80        | 259  |
| 7.00             | -80        | 265  |
| 6.00             | -78        | 270  |
| 5.00             | -76        | 264  |
| 4.00             | -77        | 265  |
| 3.00             | -75        | 263  |
| 2.00             | -73        | 267  |
| 1.90             | -72        | 265  |
| 1.80             | -73        | 265  |
| 1.70             | -73        | 267  |
| 1.60             | -72        | 266  |
| 1.50             | -72        | 266  |
| 1.40             | -72        | 267  |
| 1.30             | -72        | 266  |
| 1.20             | -71        | 267  |
| 1.10             | -71        | 268  |
| 1.00             | -71        | 268  |
| 0.90             | -71        | 268  |

MIRNY, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 66.55 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 93.00 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0612

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -50        | 81   |
| 9.00             | -49        | 83   |
| 8.00             | -47        | 85   |
| 7.00             | -44        | 85   |
| 6.00             | -41        | 82   |
| 5.00             | -41        | 80   |
| 4.00             | -37        | 83   |
| 3.00             | -33        | 80   |
| 2.00             | -24        | 79   |
| 1.90             | -23        | 78   |
| 1.80             | -22        | 78   |
| 1.70             | -20        | 79   |
| 1.60             | -19        | 77   |
| 1.50             | -18        | 78   |
| 1.40             | -15        | 77   |
| 1.30             | -14        | 76   |
| 1.20             | -11        | 76   |
| 1.10             | -8         | 76   |
| 1.00             | -6         | 75   |
| 0.90             | -2         | 74   |

HEISS ISLAND, USSR

Geographic Latitude = 80.33 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 57.80 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0351

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 62         | 97   |
| 9.00             | 62         | 98   |
| 8.00             | 60         | 99   |
| 7.00             | 57         | 100  |
| 6.00             | 54         | 99   |
| 5.00             | 52         | 99   |
| 4.00             | 48         | 101  |
| 3.00             | 43         | 100  |
| 2.00             | 32         | 100  |
| 1.90             | 30         | 100  |
| 1.80             | 29         | 100  |
| 1.70             | 27         | 100  |
| 1.60             | 24         | 99   |
| 1.50             | 23         | 99   |
| 1.40             | 20         | 98   |
| 1.30             | 17         | 97   |
| 1.20             | 14         | 97   |
| 1.10             | 10         | 96   |
| 1.00             | 5          | 93   |
| 0.90             | 0          | 90   |

SOUTH POLE, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 89.98 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 0.00 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0000

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -55        | -15  |
| 9.00             | -56        | -15  |
| 8.00             | -56        | -12  |
| 7.00             | -53        | -9   |
| 6.00             | -48        | -9   |
| 5.00             | -45        | -16  |
| 4.00             | -43        | -14  |
| 3.00             | -41        | -21  |
| 2.00             | -36        | -24  |
| 1.90             | -36        | -27  |
| 1.80             | -36        | -29  |
| 1.70             | -36        | -29  |
| 1.60             | -34        | -30  |
| 1.50             | -35        | -34  |
| 1.40             | -35        | -33  |
| 1.30             | -34        | -38  |
| 1.20             | -34        | -38  |
| 1.10             | -34        | -44  |
| 1.00             | -33        | -47  |
| 0.90             | -33        | -52  |

INUVIK, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 68.35 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 133.73 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 1505

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 44         | -127 |
| 9.00             | 44         | -126 |
| 8.00             | 43         | -125 |
| 7.00             | 41         | -124 |
| 6.00             | 37         | -124 |
| 5.00             | 36         | -126 |
| 4.00             | 34         | -124 |
| 3.00             | 29         | -124 |
| 2.00             | 22         | -121 |
| 1.90             | 21         | -121 |
| 1.80             | 20         | -120 |
| 1.70             | 19         | -119 |
| 1.60             | 17         | -119 |
| 1.50             | 16         | -119 |
| 1.40             | 15         | -117 |
| 1.30             | 13         | -117 |
| 1.20             | 11         | -115 |
| 1.10             | 9          | -114 |
| 1.00             | 6          | -112 |
| 0.90             | 3          | -110 |

CHURCHILL, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 58.75 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 94.09 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 1744

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 36         | -74  |
| 9.00             | 35         | -74  |
| 8.00             | 35         | -73  |
| 7.00             | 33         | -71  |
| 6.00             | 30         | -70  |
| 5.00             | 28         | -71  |
| 4.00             | 26         | -70  |
| 3.00             | 22         | -69  |
| 2.00             | 17         | -66  |
| 1.90             | 16         | -66  |
| 1.80             | 16         | -65  |
| 1.70             | 15         | -64  |
| 1.60             | 14         | -64  |
| 1.50             | 13         | -64  |
| 1.40             | 12         | -63  |
| 1.30             | 11         | -63  |
| 1.20             | 10         | -62  |
| 1.10             | 9          | -62  |
| 1.00             | 7          | -62  |
| 0.90             | 6          | -61  |

MAWSON, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 67.60 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 62.88 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0412

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -36        | 48   |
| 9.00             | -37        | 50   |
| 8.00             | -36        | 52   |
| 7.00             | -32        | 55   |
| 6.00             | -26        | 55   |
| 5.00             | -22        | 50   |
| 4.00             | -22        | 52   |
| 3.00             | -12        | 49   |
| 2.00             | -3         | 50   |
| 1.90             | 0          | 50   |
| 1.80             | 2          | 48   |
| 1.70             | 2          | 47   |
| 1.60             | 4          | 48   |
| 1.50             | 7          | 47   |
| 1.40             | 8          | 45   |
| 1.30             | 11         | 47   |
| 1.20             | 12         | 44   |
| 1.10             | 16         | 44   |
| 1.00             | 19         | 44   |
| 0.90             | 22         | 42   |

## SYOWA, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 69.03 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 39.60 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0238

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -26        | 30   |
| 9.00             | -27        | 30   |
| 8.00             | -27        | 32   |
| 7.00             | -26        | 36   |
| 6.00             | -19        | 38   |
| 5.00             | -11        | 34   |
| 4.00             | -11        | 30   |
| 3.00             | 1          | 30   |
| 2.00             | 4          | 24   |
| 1.90             | 7          | 25   |
| 1.80             | 13         | 26   |
| 1.70             | 14         | 22   |
| 1.60             | 8          | 18   |
| 1.50             | 14         | 20   |
| 1.40             | 15         | 22   |
| 1.30             | 12         | 16   |
| 1.20             | 17         | 26   |
| 1.10             | 15         | 22   |
| 1.00             | 17         | 29   |
| 0.90             | 20         | 36   |

## GOOSE BAY, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 53.33 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 60.42 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 1958

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 22         | -23  |
| 9.00             | 22         | -23  |
| 8.00             | 23         | -22  |
| 7.00             | 22         | -20  |
| 6.00             | 17         | -17  |
| 5.00             | 11         | -18  |
| 4.00             | 10         | -18  |
| 3.00             | 2          | -19  |
| 2.00             | -5         | -19  |
| 1.90             | -7         | -20  |
| 1.80             | -9         | -21  |
| 1.70             | -9         | -22  |
| 1.60             | -10        | -22  |
| 1.50             | -13        | -23  |
| 1.40             | -13        | -25  |
| 1.30             | -15        | -26  |
| 1.20             | -16        | -27  |
| 1.10             | -19        | -26  |
| 1.00             | -21        | -25  |
| 0.90             | -25        | -23  |

## TIXIE BAY, USSR

Geographic Latitude = 71.55 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 128.90 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0836

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 38         | 159  |
| 9.00             | 37         | 159  |
| 8.00             | 36         | 160  |
| 7.00             | 34         | 162  |
| 6.00             | 29         | 163  |
| 5.00             | 25         | 163  |
| 4.00             | 21         | 165  |
| 3.00             | 13         | 167  |
| 2.00             | 0          | 175  |
| 1.90             | -2         | 176  |
| 1.80             | -4         | 177  |
| 1.70             | -6         | 179  |
| 1.60             | -9         | 182  |
| 1.50             | -12        | 184  |
| 1.40             | -14        | 187  |
| 1.30             | -18        | 191  |
| 1.20             | -21        | 196  |
| 1.10             | -25        | 203  |
| 1.00             | -30        | 216  |
| 0.90             | -33        | 237  |

## KIRUNA, SWEDEN

Geographic Latitude = 67.83 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 20.43 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0122

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 35         | 57   |
| 9.00             | 35         | 58   |
| 8.00             | 33         | 60   |
| 7.00             | 30         | 62   |
| 6.00             | 24         | 63   |
| 5.00             | 19         | 61   |
| 4.00             | 16         | 62   |
| 3.00             | 5          | 60   |
| 2.00             | -10        | 57   |
| 1.90             | -13        | 56   |
| 1.80             | -16        | 54   |
| 1.70             | -17        | 53   |
| 1.60             | -20        | 52   |
| 1.50             | -24        | 49   |
| 1.40             | -26        | 47   |
| 1.30             | -31        | 44   |
| 1.20             | -34        | 42   |
| 1.10             | -39        | 46   |
| 1.00             | -42        | 47   |
| 0.90             | -45        | 53   |

APATITY, USSR

Geographic Latitude = 67.55 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 33.33 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0213

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 34         | 68   |
| 9.00             | 33         | 69   |
| 8.00             | 31         | 71   |
| 7.00             | 28         | 74   |
| 6.00             | 22         | 74   |
| 5.00             | 16         | 72   |
| 4.00             | 12         | 73   |
| 3.00             | 2          | 72   |
| 2.00             | -12        | 69   |
| 1.90             | -14        | 70   |
| 1.80             | -16        | 72   |
| 1.70             | -17        | 71   |
| 1.60             | -16        | 75   |
| 1.50             | -13        | 80   |
| 1.40             | -12        | 82   |
| 1.30             | -3         | 91   |
| 1.20             | -2         | 95   |
| 1.10             | -3         | 106  |
| 1.00             | -3         | 115  |
| 0.95             | -2         | 121  |
| 0.90             | -1         | 128  |

GENERAL BELGRANO, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 77.97 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 38.80 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 2125

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -28        | -18  |
| 9.00             | -28        | -20  |
| 8.00             | -30        | -20  |
| 7.00             | -30        | -17  |
| 6.00             | -25        | -13  |
| 5.00             | -16        | -15  |
| 4.00             | -13        | -20  |
| 3.00             | -3         | -16  |
| 2.00             | 6          | -14  |
| 1.90             | 5          | -13  |
| 1.80             | 7          | -11  |
| 1.70             | 10         | -8   |
| 1.60             | 10         | -7   |
| 1.50             | 9          | -5   |
| 1.40             | 9          | 3    |
| 1.30             | 7          | 4    |
| 1.20             | 4          | 13   |
| 1.15             | 0          | 16   |
| 1.10             | -2         | 15   |
| 1.05             | -7         | 21   |
| 1.00             | -14        | 25   |
| 0.95             | -19        | 24   |
| 0.90             | -32        | 29   |

OULU, FINLAND

Geographic Latitude = 65.00 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 25.40 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0142

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 28         | 61   |
| 9.00             | 27         | 62   |
| 8.00             | 26         | 64   |
| 7.00             | 22         | 67   |
| 6.00             | 16         | 68   |
| 5.00             | 9          | 66   |
| 4.00             | 5          | 67   |
| 3.00             | -7         | 66   |
| 2.00             | -17        | 74   |
| 1.90             | -15        | 79   |
| 1.80             | -11        | 85   |
| 1.70             | -7         | 87   |
| 1.60             | -1         | 92   |
| 1.50             | -3         | 101  |
| 1.40             | -2         | 107  |
| 1.30             | -3         | 116  |
| 1.20             | 0          | 127  |
| 1.15             | 0          | 132  |
| 1.10             | 3          | 144  |
| 1.05             | 6          | 153  |
| 1.00             | 9          | 164  |
| 0.99             | 10         | 169  |
| 0.98             | 11         | 174  |
| 0.97             | 13         | 180  |
| 0.96             | 14         | 186  |
| 0.95             | 16         | 192  |
| 0.94             | 16         | 198  |
| 0.93             | 17         | 203  |
| 0.92             | 17         | 208  |
| 0.91             | 17         | 215  |
| 0.90             | 17         | 225  |

SANAE, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 70.30 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 2.35 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 2350

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic<br>LAT LONG |     |
|------------------|------------------------|-----|
| 10.00            | -18                    | 4   |
| 9.00             | -18                    | 2   |
| 8.00             | -20                    | 2   |
| 7.00             | -20                    | 4   |
| 6.00             | -17                    | 9   |
| 5.00             | -8                     | 10  |
| 4.00             | -3                     | 5   |
| 3.00             | 3                      | 11  |
| 2.00             | 11                     | 29  |
| 1.90             | 10                     | 31  |
| 1.80             | 8                      | 31  |
| 1.70             | 9                      | 36  |
| 1.60             | 13                     | 50  |
| 1.50             | 12                     | 58  |
| 1.40             | 12                     | 63  |
| 1.30             | 10                     | 88  |
| 1.20             | 4                      | 100 |
| 1.19             | 4                      | 101 |
| 1.18             | 3                      | 104 |
| 1.17             | 2                      | 107 |
| 1.16             | 1                      | 111 |
| 1.15             | -1                     | 116 |
| 1.14             | -3                     | 122 |
| 1.13             | -6                     | 131 |
| 1.12             | -10                    | 142 |
| 1.11             | -14                    | 163 |
| 1.10             | -37                    | 246 |
| 1.09             | -34                    | 256 |
| 1.08             | -26                    | 268 |
| 1.07             | -12                    | 283 |
| 1.06             | 5                      | 316 |
| 1.05             | -25                    | 321 |
| 1.04             | -1                     | 322 |
| 1.03             | 5                      | 330 |
| 1.02             | -13                    | 328 |
| 1.01             | -18                    | 348 |
| 1.00             | -28                    | 345 |
| 0.99             | -50                    | 0   |
| 0.98             | R                      | R   |
| 0.97             | 6                      | 63  |
| 0.96             | 0                      | 152 |
| 0.95             | 1                      | 110 |
| 0.94             | R                      | R   |
| 0.93             | -35                    | 13  |
| 0.92             | -25                    | 8   |
| 0.91             | -10                    | 315 |
| 0.90             | -5                     | 24  |

DEEP RIVER, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 46.10 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 77.50 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 1850

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic<br>LAT LONG |     |
|------------------|------------------------|-----|
| 10.00            | 8                      | -44 |
| 9.00             | 8                      | -44 |
| 8.00             | 7                      | -43 |
| 7.00             | 6                      | -40 |
| 6.00             | 0                      | -38 |
| 5.00             | -7                     | -38 |
| 4.00             | -9                     | -37 |
| 3.00             | -18                    | -31 |
| 2.00             | -25                    | -13 |
| 1.90             | -26                    | -8  |
| 1.80             | -28                    | -1  |
| 1.70             | -27                    | 3   |
| 1.60             | -26                    | 8   |
| 1.50             | -24                    | 20  |
| 1.40             | -20                    | 29  |
| 1.30             | -11                    | 40  |
| 1.29             | -7                     | 42  |
| 1.28             | -2                     | 42  |
| 1.27             | 5                      | 43  |
| 1.26             | 8                      | 49  |
| 1.25             | 10                     | 57  |
| 1.24             | 14                     | 67  |
| 1.23             | 19                     | 79  |
| 1.22             | 21                     | 90  |
| 1.21             | 21                     | 100 |
| 1.20             | 20                     | 111 |
| 1.19             | 17                     | 122 |
| 1.18             | 12                     | 136 |
| 1.17             | 1                      | 157 |
| 1.16             | -22                    | 205 |
| 1.15             | 10                     | 121 |
| 1.14             | -13                    | 336 |
| 1.13             | -15                    | 330 |
| 1.12             | -20                    | 343 |
| 1.11             | R                      | R   |
| 1.10             | R                      | R   |
| 1.09             | 20                     | 82  |
| 1.08             | -12                    | 361 |
| 1.07             | -17                    | 352 |
| 1.06             | -26                    | 28  |
| 1.05             | 3                      | 87  |
| 1.04             | 4                      | 232 |
| 1.03             | 6                      | 102 |
| 1.02             | 14                     | 150 |
| 1.01             | R                      | R   |
| 1.00             | R                      | R   |
| 0.99             | 18                     | 196 |
| 0.98             | 4                      | 67  |
| 0.97             | R                      | R   |
| 0.96             | 5                      | 218 |
| 0.95             | 4                      | 54  |
| 0.94             | -10                    | 224 |
| 0.93             | R                      | R   |
| 0.92             | R                      | R   |
| 0.91             | -7                     | 91  |
| 0.90             | R                      | R   |

SULPHUR MOUNTAIN, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 51.20 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 115.61 W  
 UT = 0000 LT = 1618

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 7          | -95  |
| 9.00             | 7          | -94  |
| 8.00             | 6          | -92  |
| 7.00             | 2          | -89  |
| 6.00             | -5         | -86  |
| 5.00             | -12        | -85  |
| 4.00             | -15        | -81  |
| 3.00             | -28        | -69  |
| 2.00             | -31        | -43  |
| 1.90             | -29        | -37  |
| 1.80             | -25        | -32  |
| 1.70             | -21        | -29  |
| 1.60             | -17        | -22  |
| 1.50             | -9         | -11  |
| 1.40             | -3         | 1    |
| 1.39             | -3         | 3    |
| 1.38             | -2         | 5    |
| 1.37             | -2         | 7    |
| 1.36             | -1         | 10   |
| 1.35             | 0          | 13   |
| 1.34             | 1          | 18   |
| 1.33             | 0          | 26   |
| 1.32             | -3         | 36   |
| 1.31             | -6         | 48   |
| 1.30             | 0          | 74   |
| 1.29             | -5         | 116  |
| 1.28             | -12        | 278  |
| 1.27             | -18        | 352  |
| 1.26             | -21        | 40   |
| 1.25             | -16        | 295  |
| 1.24             | 16         | 154  |
| 1.23             | 3          | 216  |
| 1.22             | R          | R    |
| 1.21             | R          | R    |
| 1.20             | R          | R    |
| 1.19             | 8          | 158  |
| 1.18             | 16         | 117  |
| 1.17             | -2         | 202  |
| 1.16             | -30        | 362  |
| 1.15             | 12         | 143  |
| 1.14             | R          | R    |
| 1.13             | -1         | 144  |
| 1.12             | R          | R    |
| 1.11             | -7         | 91   |
| 1.10             | 3          | 174  |
| 1.09             | 19         | 126  |
| 1.08             | -18        | 340  |
| 1.07             | 10         | 133  |
| 1.06             | R          | R    |
| 1.05             | -21        | 36   |
| 1.04             | R          | R    |
| 1.03             | R          | R    |
| 1.02             | R          | R    |
| 1.01             | R          | R    |
| 1.00             | R          | R    |
| 0.99-0.90        | R          | R    |

KERGUELEN ISLAND

Geographic Latitude = 49.35 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 70.22 E  
 UT = 0000 LT = 0441

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -4         | 82   |
| 9.00             | -3         | 83   |
| 8.00             | -3         | 85   |
| 7.00             | 1          | 89   |
| 6.00             | 8          | 94   |
| 5.00             | 16         | 96   |
| 4.00             | 18         | 99   |
| 3.00             | 29         | 116  |
| 2.00             | 28         | 151  |
| 1.90             | 26         | 156  |
| 1.80             | 23         | 168  |
| 1.70             | 14         | 181  |
| 1.60             | 7          | 190  |
| 1.50             | -4         | 207  |
| 1.49             | -7         | 210  |
| 1.48             | -10        | 214  |
| 1.47             | -13        | 219  |
| 1.46             | -17        | 225  |
| 1.45             | -21        | 233  |
| 1.44             | -25        | 244  |
| 1.43             | -28        | 259  |
| 1.42             | -28        | 281  |
| 1.41             | -22        | 308  |
| 1.40             | -11        | 323  |
| 1.39             | 8          | 318  |
| 1.38             | -8         | 364  |
| 1.37             | -5         | 225  |
| 1.36             | -24        | 345  |
| 1.35             | 11         | 169  |
| 1.34             | -4         | 319  |
| 1.33             | -10        | 349  |
| 1.32             | 12         | 94   |
| 1.31             | 4          | 204  |
| 1.30             | 27         | 110  |
| 1.29             | -26        | 31   |
| 1.28             | 0          | 336  |
| 1.27             | R          | R    |
| 1.26             | -35        | 17   |
| 1.25             | 14         | 106  |
| 1.24             | -9         | 351  |
| 1.23             | -31        | 14   |
| 1.22             | -13        | 38   |
| 1.21             | -1         | 122  |
| 1.20             | -16        | 47   |
| 1.19             | -38        | 29   |
| 1.18             | -32        | 40   |
| 1.17             | R          | R    |
| 1.16             | R          | R    |
| 1.15             | -7         | 222  |
| 1.14             | -3         | 197  |
| 1.13             | R          | R    |
| 1.12             | 15         | 129  |
| 1.11             | R          | R    |
| 1.10             | R          | R    |
| 1.09             | R          | R    |
| 1.08             | F          | F    |
| 1.07             | -22        | 47   |
| 1.06             | 22         | 95   |
| 1.05-0.95        | R          | R    |
| 0.94             | 14         | 152  |
| 0.93-0.90        | R          | R    |

ALERT, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 82.50 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 62.33 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 1651

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 81         | -40  |
| 9.00             | 81         | -41  |
| 8.00             | 81         | -42  |
| 7.00             | 81         | -38  |
| 6.00             | 81         | -35  |
| 5.00             | 79         | -38  |
| 4.00             | 79         | -41  |
| 3.00             | 78         | -44  |
| 2.00             | 76         | -46  |
| 1.90             | 75         | -47  |
| 1.80             | 74         | -49  |
| 1.70             | 74         | -50  |
| 1.60             | 74         | -50  |
| 1.50             | 73         | -52  |
| 1.40             | 73         | -52  |
| 1.30             | 72         | -54  |
| 1.20             | 71         | -55  |
| 1.10             | 70         | -57  |
| 1.00             | 68         | -59  |
| 0.90             | 67         | -61  |

RESOLUTE, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 74.69 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 94.91 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 1440

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 69         | -84  |
| 9.00             | 69         | -84  |
| 8.00             | 69         | -84  |
| 7.00             | 69         | -84  |
| 6.00             | 69         | -83  |
| 5.00             | 69         | -83  |
| 4.00             | 69         | -83  |
| 3.00             | 70         | -82  |
| 2.00             | 71         | -78  |
| 1.90             | 71         | -78  |
| 1.80             | 71         | -77  |
| 1.70             | 72         | -76  |
| 1.60             | 72         | -75  |
| 1.50             | 72         | -74  |
| 1.40             | 72         | -72  |
| 1.30             | 73         | -70  |
| 1.20             | 73         | -67  |
| 1.10             | 74         | -64  |
| 1.00             | 74         | -60  |
| 0.90             | 75         | -55  |

THULE, GREENLAND

Geographic Latitude = 76.55 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 68.84 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 1625

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 74         | -42  |
| 9.00             | 75         | -43  |
| 8.00             | 76         | -42  |
| 7.00             | 76         | -39  |
| 6.00             | 76         | -35  |
| 5.00             | 75         | -36  |
| 4.00             | 77         | -33  |
| 3.00             | 78         | -29  |
| 2.00             | 80         | -10  |
| 1.90             | 80         | -8   |
| 1.80             | 80         | -7   |
| 1.70             | 81         | 0    |
| 1.60             | 81         | 5    |
| 1.50             | 81         | 9    |
| 1.40             | 81         | 19   |
| 1.30             | 81         | 24   |
| 1.20             | 80         | 35   |
| 1.10             | 80         | 44   |
| 1.00             | 78         | 52   |
| 0.90             | 77         | 61   |

VOSTOK, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 78.47 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 106.87 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 0407

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -71        | 40   |
| 9.00             | -71        | 43   |
| 8.00             | -70        | 46   |
| 7.00             | -67        | 46   |
| 6.00             | -65        | 40   |
| 5.00             | -65        | 35   |
| 4.00             | -63        | 39   |
| 3.00             | -60        | 34   |
| 2.00             | -52        | 29   |
| 1.90             | -51        | 27   |
| 1.80             | -51        | 28   |
| 1.70             | -49        | 28   |
| 1.60             | -47        | 26   |
| 1.50             | -46        | 26   |
| 1.40             | -44        | 25   |
| 1.30             | -42        | 24   |
| 1.20             | -39        | 22   |
| 1.10             | -37        | 22   |
| 1.00             | -33        | 20   |
| 0.90             | -29        | 18   |



DUMONT D'URVILLE, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 66.67 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 140.02 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 0620

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -61        | 162  |
| 9.00             | -60        | 163  |
| 8.00             | -60        | 163  |
| 7.00             | -59        | 164  |
| 6.00             | -57        | 165  |
| 5.00             | -56        | 166  |
| 4.00             | -53        | 167  |
| 3.00             | -50        | 168  |
| 2.00             | -44        | 170  |
| 1.90             | -43        | 170  |
| 1.80             | -42        | 170  |
| 1.70             | -41        | 170  |
| 1.60             | -40        | 170  |
| 1.50             | -39        | 170  |
| 1.40             | -37        | 171  |
| 1.30             | -36        | 171  |
| 1.20             | -34        | 171  |
| 1.10             | -32        | 171  |
| 1.00             | -30        | 171  |
| 0.90             | -27        | 171  |

McMURDO, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 77.85 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 166.72 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 0807

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -78        | 261  |
| 9.00             | -78        | 259  |
| 8.00             | -78        | 259  |
| 7.00             | -78        | 264  |
| 6.00             | -76        | 268  |
| 5.00             | -73        | 264  |
| 4.00             | -73        | 264  |
| 3.00             | -70        | 262  |
| 2.00             | -66        | 265  |
| 1.90             | -66        | 263  |
| 1.80             | -66        | 263  |
| 1.70             | -65        | 264  |
| 1.60             | -64        | 264  |
| 1.50             | -64        | 263  |
| 1.40             | -63        | 264  |
| 1.30             | -62        | 263  |
| 1.20             | -61        | 264  |
| 1.10             | -60        | 264  |
| 1.00             | -59        | 263  |
| 0.90             | -58        | 263  |

MIRNY, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 66.55 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 93.00 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 0312

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -49        | 84   |
| 9.00             | -48        | 86   |
| 8.00             | -46        | 88   |
| 7.00             | -43        | 88   |
| 6.00             | -39        | 86   |
| 5.00             | -39        | 85   |
| 4.00             | -34        | 88   |
| 3.00             | -29        | 86   |
| 2.00             | -15        | 84   |
| 1.90             | -14        | 83   |
| 1.80             | -13        | 84   |
| 1.70             | -11        | 83   |
| 1.60             | -14        | 80   |
| 1.50             | -12        | 80   |
| 1.40             | -13        | 78   |
| 1.30             | -13        | 77   |
| 1.20             | -13        | 74   |
| 1.10             | -12        | 72   |
| 1.00             | -13        | 69   |
| 0.90             | -14        | 65   |

HEISS ISLAND, USSR

Geographic Latitude = 80.33 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 57.80 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 0051

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 63         | 102  |
| 9.00             | 62         | 104  |
| 8.00             | 60         | 106  |
| 7.00             | 57         | 107  |
| 6.00             | 54         | 106  |
| 5.00             | 52         | 106  |
| 4.00             | 48         | 108  |
| 3.00             | 42         | 107  |
| 2.00             | 32         | 104  |
| 1.90             | 31         | 103  |
| 1.80             | 30         | 103  |
| 1.70             | 28         | 102  |
| 1.60             | 26         | 101  |
| 1.50             | 25         | 100  |
| 1.40             | 22         | 99   |
| 1.30             | 21         | 97   |
| 1.20             | 18         | 95   |
| 1.10             | 16         | 93   |
| 1.00             | 14         | 89   |
| 0.90             | 12         | 86   |

SOUTH POLE, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 89.98 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 0.00 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 2100

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic<br>LAT LONG |     |
|------------------|------------------------|-----|
| 10.00            | -59                    | 15  |
| 9.00             | -60                    | 13  |
| 8.00             | -60                    | -10 |
| 7.00             | -57                    | -6  |
| 6.00             | -53                    | -9  |
| 5.00             | -51                    | -17 |
| 4.00             | -51                    | -15 |
| 3.00             | -47                    | -23 |
| 2.00             | -41                    | -26 |
| 1.90             | -39                    | -29 |
| 1.80             | -38                    | -31 |
| 1.70             | -39                    | -31 |
| 1.60             | -36                    | -32 |
| 1.50             | -35                    | -35 |
| 1.40             | -35                    | -35 |
| 1.30             | -32                    | -38 |
| 1.20             | -31                    | -38 |
| 1.10             | -28                    | -42 |
| 1.00             | -25                    | -45 |
| 0.90             | -22                    | -48 |

INUVIK, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 68.35 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 133.73 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 1205

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic<br>LAT LONG |      |
|------------------|------------------------|------|
| 10.00            | 43                     | -128 |
| 9.00             | 43                     | -127 |
| 8.00             | 42                     | -126 |
| 7.00             | 40                     | -125 |
| 6.00             | 36                     | -126 |
| 5.00             | 34                     | -127 |
| 4.00             | 32                     | -125 |
| 3.00             | 27                     | -126 |
| 2.00             | 18                     | -123 |
| 1.90             | 17                     | -123 |
| 1.80             | 17                     | -123 |
| 1.70             | 16                     | -122 |
| 1.60             | 13                     | -122 |
| 1.50             | 12                     | -121 |
| 1.40             | 10                     | -120 |
| 1.30             | 8                      | -120 |
| 1.20             | 6                      | -118 |
| 1.10             | 4                      | -117 |
| 1.00             | 0                      | -116 |
| 0.90             | -3                     | -113 |

CHURCHILL, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 58.75 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 94.09 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 1444

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic<br>LAT LONG |     |
|------------------|------------------------|-----|
| 10.00            | 35                     | -75 |
| 9.00             | 34                     | -75 |
| 8.00             | 34                     | -74 |
| 7.00             | 32                     | -73 |
| 6.00             | 29                     | -72 |
| 5.00             | 26                     | -72 |
| 4.00             | 24                     | -71 |
| 3.00             | 20                     | -70 |
| 2.00             | 12                     | -65 |
| 1.90             | 11                     | -64 |
| 1.80             | 10                     | -64 |
| 1.70             | 10                     | -63 |
| 1.60             | 8                      | -62 |
| 1.50             | 7                      | -61 |
| 1.40             | 5                      | -59 |
| 1.30             | 3                      | -58 |
| 1.20             | 2                      | -56 |
| 1.10             | 0                      | -54 |
| 1.00             | -3                     | -51 |
| 0.90             | -6                     | -48 |

MAWSON, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 67.60 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 62.88 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 0112

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic<br>LAT LONG |    |
|------------------|------------------------|----|
| 10.00            | -38                    | 48 |
| 9.00             | -39                    | 50 |
| 8.00             | -38                    | 53 |
| 7.00             | -34                    | 56 |
| 6.00             | -30                    | 54 |
| 5.00             | -28                    | 47 |
| 4.00             | -28                    | 49 |
| 3.00             | -23                    | 42 |
| 2.00             | -20                    | 40 |
| 1.90             | -18                    | 39 |
| 1.80             | -17                    | 36 |
| 1.70             | -18                    | 35 |
| 1.60             | -18                    | 36 |
| 1.50             | -15                    | 33 |
| 1.40             | -17                    | 31 |
| 1.30             | -15                    | 31 |
| 1.20             | -15                    | 27 |
| 1.10             | -13                    | 26 |
| 1.00             | -13                    | 24 |
| 0.90             | -12                    | 20 |

SYOWA, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 69.03 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 39.60 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 2338

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -30        | 28   |
| 9.00             | -32        | 27   |
| 8.00             | -32        | 29   |
| 7.00             | -31        | 33   |
| 6.00             | -26        | 35   |
| 5.00             | -19        | 30   |
| 4.00             | -20        | 26   |
| 3.00             | -11        | 25   |
| 2.00             | -6         | 20   |
| 1.90             | -7         | 21   |
| 1.80             | -5         | 22   |
| 1.70             | -2         | 21   |
| 1.60             | -2         | 19   |
| 1.50             | -2         | 20   |
| 1.40             | 2          | 20   |
| 1.30             | 0          | 18   |
| 1.20             | 4          | 20   |
| 1.10             | 3          | 18   |
| 1.00             | 7          | 18   |
| 0.90             | 9          | 19   |

GOOSE BAY, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 53.33 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 60.42 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 1658

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 22         | -23  |
| 9.00             | 23         | -23  |
| 8.00             | 23         | -22  |
| 7.00             | 22         | -20  |
| 6.00             | 18         | -16  |
| 5.00             | 12         | -15  |
| 4.00             | 11         | -14  |
| 3.00             | 3          | -10  |
| 2.00             | -5         | -4   |
| 1.90             | -7         | -4   |
| 1.80             | -11        | -3   |
| 1.70             | -12        | -3   |
| 1.60             | -14        | -4   |
| 1.50             | -16        | -3   |
| 1.40             | -16        | -2   |
| 1.30             | -15        | 1    |
| 1.20             | -16        | 5    |
| 1.10             | -15        | 10   |
| 1.00             | -13        | 14   |
| 0.90             | -10        | 21   |

TIXIE BAY, USSR

Geographic Latitude = 71.55 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 128.90 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 0536

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 37         | 159  |
| 9.00             | 36         | 159  |
| 8.00             | 35         | 160  |
| 7.00             | 32         | 161  |
| 6.00             | 28         | 162  |
| 5.00             | 23         | 162  |
| 4.00             | 20         | 164  |
| 3.00             | 11         | 166  |
| 2.00             | -1         | 173  |
| 1.90             | -4         | 174  |
| 1.80             | -5         | 175  |
| 1.70             | -6         | 176  |
| 1.60             | -10        | 179  |
| 1.50             | -12        | 181  |
| 1.40             | -14        | 184  |
| 1.30             | -17        | 187  |
| 1.20             | -20        | 192  |
| 1.10             | -23        | 197  |
| 1.00             | -27        | 206  |
| 0.90             | -30        | 219  |

KIRUNA, SWEDEN

Geographic Latitude = 67.83 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 20.43 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 2222

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 39         | 57   |
| 9.00             | 39         | 58   |
| 8.00             | 38         | 60   |
| 7.00             | 35         | 63   |
| 6.00             | 30         | 63   |
| 5.00             | 26         | 61   |
| 4.00             | 24         | 62   |
| 3.00             | 16         | 60   |
| 2.00             | 7          | 58   |
| 1.90             | 5          | 58   |
| 1.80             | 3          | 56   |
| 1.70             | 3          | 55   |
| 1.60             | 1          | 55   |
| 1.50             | -2         | 53   |
| 1.40             | -2         | 51   |
| 1.30             | -4         | 49   |
| 1.20             | -5         | 47   |
| 1.10             | -8         | 43   |
| 1.00             | -9         | 41   |
| 0.90             | -12        | 40   |

APATITY, USSR

Geographic Latitude = 67.55 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 33.33 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 2313

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 37         | 68   |
| 9.00             | 36         | 69   |
| 8.00             | 35         | 71   |
| 7.00             | 32         | 73   |
| 6.00             | 26         | 74   |
| 5.00             | 21         | 71   |
| 4.00             | 19         | 73   |
| 3.00             | 9          | 70   |
| 2.00             | -2         | 68   |
| 1.90             | -5         | 67   |
| 1.80             | -7         | 65   |
| 1.70             | -8         | 64   |
| 1.60             | -10        | 64   |
| 1.50             | -13        | 61   |
| 1.40             | -14        | 60   |
| 1.30             | -18        | 58   |
| 1.20             | -20        | 58   |
| 1.10             | -27        | 59   |
| 1.00             | -31        | 60   |
| 0.95             | -34        | 62   |
| 0.90             | -37        | 64   |

GENERAL BELGRANO, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 77.97 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 38.80 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 1825

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -29        | -15  |
| 9.00             | -31        | -15  |
| 8.00             | -32        | -14  |
| 7.00             | -31        | -11  |
| 6.00             | -25        | -9   |
| 5.00             | -14        | -12  |
| 4.00             | -12        | -15  |
| 3.00             | 1          | -14  |
| 2.00             | 15         | -13  |
| 1.90             | 15         | -12  |
| 1.80             | 18         | -9   |
| 1.70             | 23         | -2   |
| 1.60             | 24         | 0    |
| 1.50             | 25         | 2    |
| 1.40             | 28         | 14   |
| 1.30             | 26         | 18   |
| 1.20             | 24         | 29   |
| 1.15             | 20         | 34   |
| 1.10             | 17         | 34   |
| 1.05             | 11         | 40   |
| 1.00             | 1          | 41   |
| 0.95             | -5         | 41   |
| 0.90             | -24        | 37   |

OULU, FINLAND

Geographic Latitude = 65.00 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 25.40 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 2242

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 31         | 61   |
| 9.00             | 30         | 62   |
| 8.00             | 30         | 64   |
| 7.00             | 26         | 66   |
| 6.00             | 21         | 68   |
| 5.00             | 15         | 66   |
| 4.00             | 12         | 66   |
| 3.00             | 1          | 65   |
| 2.00             | -10        | 65   |
| 1.90             | -13        | 66   |
| 1.80             | -17        | 67   |
| 1.70             | -18        | 68   |
| 1.60             | -20        | 68   |
| 1.50             | -25        | 73   |
| 1.40             | -27        | 75   |
| 1.30             | -31        | 80   |
| 1.20             | -33        | 90   |
| 1.15             | -34        | 92   |
| 1.10             | -33        | 104  |
| 1.05             | -26        | 112  |
| 1.00             | -16        | 119  |
| 0.99             | -9         | 122  |
| 0.98             | 2          | 124  |
| 0.97             | 15         | 125  |
| 0.96             | 30         | 126  |
| 0.95             | 32         | 137  |
| 0.94             | 31         | 145  |
| 0.93             | 30         | 150  |
| 0.92             | 29         | 154  |
| 0.91             | 28         | 160  |
| 0.90             | 28         | 167  |

SANAE, ANTARCTICA

Geographic Latitude = 70.30 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 2.35 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 2050

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -15        | 4    |
| 9.00             | -16        | 2    |
| 8.00             | -17        | 2    |
| 7.00             | -18        | 4    |
| 6.00             | -14        | 8    |
| 5.00             | -3         | 9    |
| 4.00             | 4          | 5    |
| 3.00             | 11         | 12   |
| 2.00             | 21         | 32   |
| 1.90             | 20         | 34   |
| 1.80             | 18         | 34   |
| 1.70             | 16         | 39   |
| 1.60             | 13         | 50   |
| 1.50             | 7          | 54   |
| 1.40             | 2          | 56   |
| 1.30             | -13        | 66   |
| 1.20             | -25        | 66   |
| 1.19             | -26        | 66   |
| 1.18             | -27        | 66   |
| 1.17             | -29        | 67   |
| 1.16             | -30        | 68   |
| 1.15             | -32        | 68   |
| 1.14             | -26        | 75   |
| 1.13             | -6         | 94   |
| 1.12             | -7         | 109  |
| 1.11             | -9         | 122  |
| 1.10             | -10        | 135  |
| 1.09             | -10        | 147  |
| 1.08             | -9         | 160  |
| 1.07             | -6         | 173  |
| 1.06             | -3         | 188  |
| 1.05             | -2         | 49   |
| 1.04             | -16        | 370  |
| 1.03             | -7         | 360  |
| 1.02             | -41        | 32   |
| 1.01             | -12        | 22   |
| 1.00             | -30        | 347  |
| 0.99             | 2          | 138  |
| 0.98             | R          | R    |
| 0.97             | 1          | 126  |
| 0.96             | -36        | 30   |
| 0.95             | -9         | 34   |
| 0.94             | 9          | 165  |
| 0.93             | -27        | 70   |
| 0.92             | R          | R    |
| 0.91             | 15         | 120  |
| 0.90             | -9         | 354  |

DEEP RIVER, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 46.10 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 77.50 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 1550

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 6          | -42  |
| 9.00             | 6          | -42  |
| 8.00             | 6          | -40  |
| 7.00             | 4          | -37  |
| 6.00             | -1         | -32  |
| 5.00             | -9         | -29  |
| 4.00             | -11        | -25  |
| 3.00             | -21        | -12  |
| 2.00             | -23        | 11   |
| 1.90             | -20        | 15   |
| 1.80             | -17        | 20   |
| 1.70             | -12        | 23   |
| 1.60             | -8         | 26   |
| 1.50             | -2         | 35   |
| 1.40             | 6          | 44   |
| 1.30             | 10         | 64   |
| 1.29             | 11         | 67   |
| 1.28             | 9          | 74   |
| 1.27             | 5          | 82   |
| 1.26             | 0          | 91   |
| 1.25             | -6         | 101  |
| 1.24             | -3         | 124  |
| 1.23             | -10        | 158  |
| 1.22             | -19        | 230  |
| 1.21             | -39        | 75   |
| 1.20             | -17        | 94   |
| 1.19             | -6         | 225  |
| 1.18             | 8          | 186  |
| 1.17             | -25        | 97   |
| 1.16             | 10         | 382  |
| 1.15             | R          | R    |
| 1.14             | 0          | 256  |
| 1.13             | -25        | 213  |
| 1.12             | -1         | 219  |
| 1.11             | 23         | 180  |
| 1.10             | 19         | 122  |
| 1.09             | -27        | 68   |
| 1.08             | -1         | 182  |
| 1.07             | R          | R    |
| 1.06             | R          | R    |
| 1.05             | 12         | 126  |
| 1.04             | R          | R    |
| 1.03             | R          | R    |
| 1.02             | 12         | 184  |
| 1.01             | 6          | 127  |
| 1.00             | R          | R    |
| 0.99             | -12        | 207  |
| 0.98             | 2          | 154  |
| 0.97             | -24        | 68   |
| 0.96             | -13        | 219  |
| 0.95             | -34        | 73   |
| 0.94             | 8          | 147  |
| 0.93             | R          | R    |
| 0.92             | R          | R    |
| 0.91             | R          | R    |
| 0.90             | R          | R    |

SULPHUR MOUNTAIN, CANADA

Geographic Latitude = 51.20 N  
 Geographic Longitude = 115.61 W  
 UT = 2100 LT = 1318

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | 7          | -95  |
| 9.00             | 6          | -94  |
| 8.00             | 5          | -92  |
| 7.00             | 1          | -88  |
| 6.00             | -6         | -85  |
| 5.00             | -13        | -84  |
| 4.00             | -16        | -78  |
| 3.00             | -28        | -64  |
| 2.00             | -32        | -23  |
| 1.90             | -30        | -13  |
| 1.80             | -26        | -4   |
| 1.70             | -21        | 3    |
| 1.60             | -11        | 4    |
| 1.50             | 10         | 7    |
| 1.40             | 20         | 27   |
| 1.39             | 19         | 32   |
| 1.38             | 18         | 38   |
| 1.37             | 15         | 45   |
| 1.36             | 9          | 53   |
| 1.35             | 0          | 61   |
| 1.34             | -14        | 70   |
| 1.33             | -32        | 80   |
| 1.32             | -1         | 60   |
| 1.31             | 18         | 138  |
| 1.30             | 18         | 121  |
| 1.29             | -21        | 52   |
| 1.28             | -16        | 348  |
| 1.27             | R          | R    |
| 1.26             | 11         | 202  |
| 1.25             | -28        | 53   |
| 1.24             | 13         | 171  |
| 1.23             | -25        | 62   |
| 1.22             | -2         | 46   |
| 1.21             | -6         | 74   |
| 1.20             | -16        | 213  |
| 1.19             | -8         | 79   |
| 1.18             | R          | R    |
| 1.17             | -32        | 77   |
| 1.16             | -32        | 59   |
| 1.15             | -6         | 34   |
| 1.14             | R          | R    |
| 1.13             | 13         | 166  |
| 1.12             | 1          | 230  |
| 1.11             | R          | R    |
| 1.10             | R          | R    |
| 1.09             | 4          | 129  |
| 1.08             | R          | R    |
| 1.07             | R          | R    |
| 1.06             | R          | R    |
| 1.05             | R          | R    |
| 1.04             | R          | R    |
| 1.03             | R          | R    |
| 1.02             | R          | R    |
| 1.01             | R          | R    |
| 1.00             | R          | R    |

KERGUELEN ISLAND

Geographic Latitude = 49.35 S  
 Geographic Longitude = 70.22 E  
 UT = 2100 LT = 0141

| Rigidity<br>(GV) | Asymptotic |      |
|------------------|------------|------|
|                  | LAT        | LONG |
| 10.00            | -6         | 79   |
| 9.00             | -6         | 80   |
| 8.00             | -5         | 82   |
| 7.00             | -2         | 85   |
| 6.00             | -6         | 88   |
| 5.00             | 13         | 86   |
| 4.00             | 14         | 86   |
| 3.00             | 26         | 105  |
| 2.00             | 26         | 138  |
| 1.90             | 25         | 144  |
| 1.80             | 22         | 155  |
| 1.70             | 15         | 168  |
| 1.60             | 9          | 175  |
| 1.50             | 2          | 188  |
| 1.49             | 0          | 190  |
| 1.48             | -2         | 193  |
| 1.47             | -4         | 196  |
| 1.46             | -6         | 199  |
| 1.45             | -9         | 203  |
| 1.44             | -12        | 207  |
| 1.43             | -15        | 212  |
| 1.42             | -18        | 217  |
| 1.41             | -21        | 222  |
| 1.40             | -24        | 228  |
| 1.39             | -26        | 235  |
| 1.38             | -27        | 243  |
| 1.37             | -28        | 251  |
| 1.36             | -28        | 260  |
| 1.35             | -27        | 270  |
| 1.34             | -25        | 280  |
| 1.33             | -20        | 294  |
| 1.32             | -12        | 314  |
| 1.31             | 5          | 350  |
| 1.30             | 15         | 376  |
| 1.29             | -1         | 32   |
| 1.28             | 22         | 92   |
| 1.27             | -12        | 58   |
| 1.26             | -5         | 36   |
| 1.25             | 9          | 13   |
| 1.24             | 21         | 174  |
| 1.23             | -2         | 55   |
| 1.22             | 19         | 128  |
| 1.21             | R          | R    |
| 1.20             | 2          | 168  |
| 1.19             | 15         | 128  |
| 1.18             | R          | R    |
| 1.17             | -15        | 81   |
| 1.16             | 3          | 216  |
| 1.15             | -15        | 81   |
| 1.14             | R          | R    |
| 1.13             | -30        | 76   |
| 1.12             | R          | R    |
| 1.11             | R          | R    |
| 1.10             | 2          | 22   |
| 1.09             | R          | R    |
| 1.08             | R          | R    |
| 1.07             | -5         | 213  |
| 1.06             | -24        | 77   |
| 1.05             | 9          | 189  |
| 1.04-0.98        | R          | R    |
| 0.97             | F          | F    |
| 0.96-0.90        | R          | R    |

# Relativistic Solar Cosmic Rays on January 24-25, 1971

by

M. A. Pomerantz and S. P. Duggal  
Bartol Research Foundation  
of  
The Franklin Institute


## 1. Introduction

The most prominent feature of the January 24, 1971, ground-level event (GLE) is that it displayed a heretofore unobserved sectorial pattern of anisotropy that was limited to a narrow and stable region. Furthermore, it is also the first for which it is possible to distinguish between two rival candidates for the parent flare, in the same location within  $3^\circ$ , with reported onset times differing by only one minute, and each visible in  $H\alpha$  for longer than one hour. The analysis whereby the relevant injection and propagation characteristics have been determined is described in detail elsewhere [Duggal and Pomerantz, 1972].

## 2. Observations

Two neighboring but apparently distinct solar flares, of importance 3B (N16, W49) and 1B (N19, W50), were eligible for identification as the source of the relativistic particles that reached the earth on January 24-25, 1971. Although the closest reported onset times [Solar-Geophysical Data, 1971] differed by only one minute (2308 UT and 2309 UT, respectively), maximum  $H\alpha$  intensity was attained by the 3B flare about 14 minutes after the optical maximum of the less energetic flare. The total duration of both flares was  $\geq 1.25$  hours. The angle between the base of the nominal garden hose field line passing through the earth and the flare locations is estimated to be  $\approx 28^\circ$ .

The neutron monitor observations at the three stations (Thule, McMurdo and South Pole) comprising the Bartol polar network are plotted in Figure 1. Although the interval between the onset and the time of maximum was quite short (about 8 minutes at Thule), the availability of data from these high counting rate neutron monitors in two-minute intervals (with the exception of Swarthmore, the minimum readout period at other stations is 5 minutes) has made it possible to analyze certain basic features of this GLE that could otherwise not have been studied.



The January 24-25, 1971, GLE occurred during a period in which the galactic cosmic ray flux was still recovering from a major cosmic ray storm that commenced on January 12. It was followed, four days after, by an unusual Forbush decrease that displayed an abnormal north-south anisotropy [Pomerantz and Duggal, 1972]. A measurable neutron flux enhancement was observed at all stations having a geomagnetic cutoff below  $\approx 5$  GV.

The data shown in Figure 1 represent the nucleonic intensity arising from solar cosmic rays after correction for atmospheric pressure changes by the application of two pressure coefficients,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , appropriate for solar and galactic cosmic rays [Baird *et al.*, 1967; Wilson *et al.*, 1967].

### 3. Results of Analysis

#### A. Spectrum

Figure 2 is a plot of the dependence upon threshold rigidity  $P_c$  of the relative enhanced nucleonic intensity in the hour 0100-0200 UT, an epoch during which the solar particle flux was essentially isotropic. The data indicate that the effective atmospheric cutoff was about 1.2 GV. The scatter among the points representing stations with lower geomagnetic threshold rigidities appears to be ascribable to possible anisotropies in the recovery phase of the on-going cosmic ray storm, this affects the base period. Also involved are imperfect corrections for atmospheric effects, since only our own observations were subjected to the two pressure coefficient procedures.




Fig. 2. Data from 21 neutron monitors, normalized to a standard pressure, plotted as a function of threshold rigidity. The slope of the inclined dashed line corresponds to a primary spectral index  $\gamma = 5.0 \pm 0.3$ .






Fig. 3. Nucleonic intensity at 9 selected stations, normalized to a standard pressure, during two 5-minute intervals when solar cosmic rays first reached the earth on January 24, 1971, plotted as a function of  $\cos \theta$ , where the pitch angle  $\theta$  is the angle between the effective direction of viewing and the assumed axis of symmetry.




Fig. 4. Polar plot showing sectorial structure of the particle flux with respect to the axis of symmetry during the same intervals as in Figure 3.

On the basis of these data and the coupling coefficients given by Lockwood and Webber [1967], the value of the spectral index in a power law representation ( $dI/dP = kP^{-\gamma}$ ) of the differential primary solar particle spectrum is  $\gamma = 5.0 \pm 0.3$ .

## B. Spatial Distribution

An examination of the data recorded at nine stations with appropriately narrow asymptotic cones reveals that the flux was anisotropic during the period 2330-0100 UT. However, the anisotropy was limited to a narrow region between two essentially isotropic sectors. Since large departures from the magnitude of the intensity increases recorded at other stations were observed at only two sites, McMurdo and Dumont in Antarctica, estimation of the precise direction of the axis of symmetry is precluded. Hence a nominal value of the location of the axis at geographical latitude  $50^\circ$  south and longitude  $135^\circ$  east was assumed in the analysis.

The theoretical prediction that, with the usual magnetic field power spectral densities in the interplanetary space, the solar particle flux depends on the cosine of the pitch angle has also been verified experimentally [Duggal *et al.*, 1971; Maurer *et al.*, 1972]. Consequently, in Figure 3, the normalized intensity enhancements recorded at the nine stations in two intervals close to the onset of the relativistic solar particle precipitation are plotted as a function of  $\cos \theta$ , where the pitch angle  $\theta$  is the angle between the effective direction of viewing and the axis of symmetry.

The sectorial structure in the distribution of the particle flux with respect to the axis of symmetry immediately following the onset (i.e., the two 5-minute intervals indicated in Figure 3), as depicted schematically in Figure 4, is striking. There is a larger uncertainty in the sector close to the axis, since it was necessary to estimate the intensity at Dumont in shorter intervals from 15-minute readouts.

The history, in successive quarter hour intervals, of the development of the anisotropy, culminating in the final isotropic state, is shown in Figure 5. The dashed lines bridge the transition from large flux to a lower level, since the shapes of the curves in this anisotropic region are indeterminate owing to the lack of suitably-located stations. Thus, the domain of the anisotropy, centered near  $60^\circ$  from the axis of symmetry, extended over only about  $10^\circ$  for the entire  $1\frac{1}{2}$  hour interval following onset before complete isotropy set in.

## C. Parent Flare Identification

As noted earlier, two concurrent flares were eligible for identification as the source of the solar cosmic rays. A detailed theoretical analysis of the propagation characteristics revealed that the actual time of emission of relativistic particles from the sun was  $2320 \pm 0001$  UT. This estimated time of maximum is almost coincident (within two minutes) with the observed maximum phase of  $H\alpha$  brightness of the 3B flare which is identified by the analysis as the source of the relativistic particles.

## 4. Conclusion

The results summarized above have clearly established that, at least in this event, solar protons with energies of about 0.5 GeV were not released simultaneously with the onset of the chromospheric eruption on the sun. Instead, the ejection time was close to the flash phase of the 3B flare.

On account of the short duration of the January 24-25, 1971, event which was marked by a large anisotropy, a detailed comparison between the predictions of different diffusion models that have been proposed thus far is not feasible. Furthermore, although the pitch angle distribution of the solar particles was unusual, the diffusion coefficient and the outer boundary of the scattering region were not different from those determined for other events, i.e.,  $(11 \pm 4) \times 10^{21}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/sec and  $1.8 \pm 0.3$  AU, respectively.

In summary, this abnormal GLE is the first in which the bulk of the anisotropy was limited to a very narrow region. For the entire  $1\frac{1}{2}$  hour interval before isotropy set in, the anisotropy, which was as great as 60%, was limited to a  $10^\circ$  cone centered about  $60^\circ$  from the spiral magnetic field line. Outside this anisotropic sector, the scattering was independent of pitch angle.




Fig. 5. History of the development of the anisotropy from onset to the isotropic stage of the January 24-25, 1971, GLE. The domain of anisotropy indicated by the dashed lines, centered near  $60^\circ$  from the axis of symmetry, extended over only about  $10^\circ$ .

# REFERENCES

- |   |      |   |
|---|------|---|
| BAIRD, G. A.,<br>G. G. BELL,<br>S. P. DUGGAL and<br>M. A. POMERANTZ | 1967 | <u>Solar Phys.</u> , <u>2</u> , 491.  |
| DUGGAL, S. P and<br>M. A. POMERANTZ                                 | 1971 | <u>Proc. Int. Conf. Cosmic Rays, 12th</u> , <u>2</u> , 533.   |
| DUGGAL, S. P. and<br>M. A. POMERANTZ                                | 1972 | <u>Solar Phys.</u> , to be published.   |
| LOCKWOOD, J. A. and<br>W. R. WEBBER                                 | 1967 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>72</u> , 3395.   |
| MAURER, R. H.,<br>S. P. DUGGAL and<br>M. A. POMERANTZ               | 1972 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , to be published.  |
| POMERANTZ, M. A. and<br>S. P. DUGGAL                                | 1972 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>77</u> , 263.  |
| WILSON, B. G.,<br>T. MATHEWS and<br>R. H. JOHNSON                   | 1967 | <u>Phys. Rev. Letters</u> , <u>18</u> , 675.  |
|   | 1971 | <u>Solar-Geophysical Data, 323 Part II</u> , U.S. Department of<br>Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302). |

# The Ground Level Increase and Variations of Cosmic Rays on January 24-30, 1971

by

N. P. Chirkov, V. I. Ipatjev, G. V. Skripin,  
G. G. Todikov, and A. T. Filippov

Institute of Cosmophysical Research and Aeronomy, Yakutsk Branch  
Siberian Department of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Yakutsk, U.S.S.R.

On January 24, 1971 at the very end of the day the increase of solar cosmic rays was registered by the Siberian net of stations. Coordinates of stations, their threshold rigidity [Shea et al., 1968] and the intensity amplitudes are listed in the Table. The stations are equipped with neutron super-monitors.

| Station        | Rigidity<br>(GV) | Geographical<br>Latitude Longitude |         | Amplitude (%) |        |
|----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------|
|                |                  |                                    |         | Jan 24        | Jan 25 |
| 1. Tixie Bay   | 0.52             | 71.5°N                             | 128.9°E | 8.1           | 8.0    |
| 2. Norilsk     | 0.60             | 69.3                               | 88.1    | -             | 5.5    |
| 3. Yakutsk     | 1.85             | 62.0                               | 129.7   | 9.8           | 5.4    |
| 4. Magadan     | 2.15             | 60.1                               | 151.0   | 7.8           | 3.9    |
| 5. Novosibirsk | 2.85             | 54.8                               | 83.0    | -             | 2.2    |
| 6. Irkutsk     | 3.75             | 52.4                               | 104.0   | 2.8           | 1.5    |
| 7. Khabarovsk  | 5.55             | 48.5                               | 135.2   | -             | 0.2    |

Five and fifteen-minute intensity values during the flare are given in Figure 1, and hour values during the flare accompanied by two Forbush decreases are presented in Figure 2. Data are corrected for barometric pressure. In Figure 1 the statistical errors are indicated. Five-minute data from station Deep River are presented for a comparison.

It is evident from Figure 1 that the cosmic ray increase started at 2340-2345 UT and reached its maximum at 2350-2400 UT. The amplitude increase at high latitude stations was 9-13 percent.

A small intensity increase (about 2 percent) at the end of 2200 UT in Tixie Bay and (2-3 percent) at the end of 2100 UT and at the beginning of 2200 UT in Yakutsk was observed.

In Figure 3 the dependence of the amplitude increase on threshold rigidity is given. Crosses are amplitudes defined for the period of time 2345-2400 UT, January 24, and they are given in the next to last column of the Table. Points are amplitudes determined based on Figure 2 for 0100 UT, January 25, and they are listed in the last column. For a zero level a mean intensity value for 2200 UT and 2300 UT, January 24 was taken in the latter case. From Figure 3 it is seen that the dependence of the amplitude increase on rigidity has the form  $A(P) \sim P^{-\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma = 1.85 \pm 0.05$ . From Figure 1 and 3 it is also seen that in Tixie Bay at 2340-2400 UT, January 24, i.e. at the increase maximum and at the beginning of the first hour, January 25, intensity was lower than could be expected from the latitudinal effect. This fact is explained below.

Let us assume according to Krymsky [1969] that the time dependence of intensity during the flare has the form

$$n(R_0, t) = B \tau^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}$$

where B is constant, and

$$\tau = \frac{2-\alpha}{\left(\frac{R_0}{R_0}\right)^{2-\alpha} + 1} \cdot \frac{Dt}{R_0^2}$$

Here  $R_0$  is a distance from the Sun to the Earth,  $R_0$  is the Sun's radius, D is the diffusion coefficient, t is the time from the increase commencement. Parameter  $\alpha$  is a characteristic of a dependence of diffusion coefficient on distance:  $D(r) \sim r^\alpha$ . If  $\alpha = 0$  the equation for n changes into the usual diffusion equation. If assume  $\alpha = 0.25-0.50$  and take 2335-2340 UT, January 24, for increase commencement, we make the estimated and experimental curves for Tixie Bay and Deep River agree. Then we obtain a diffusion coefficient of  $(2-3) \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/sec.




Fig. 1. Cosmic ray intensity variations during the flare on January 24, 1971 in Tixie Bay, Magadan, Yakutsk, Irkutsk, and Deep River.




Fig. 2. Cosmic ray intensity variations during the period January 24-30, 1971 in Tixie Bay, Norilsk, Novosibirsk, Magadan, Irkutsk, and Khabarovsk.




Fig. 3. Dependence of the increase amplitude on threshold rigidity.



Variations of cosmic ray intensity of the order of an hour or less are of great interest. In order to study them we have smoothed out five-minute data from several stations using the method of sliding means in 3 points, at first, then in 12 points. After that we have subtracted from the first line of smoothed values the second one. The results are presented in Figure 4 from which it is clear that the variations of cosmic ray intensity occur at all the noted stations with an amplitude reaching 1 percent and with periods of 20-60 minutes. Under our method of treatment the periods of more than 60 minutes, or less than 20 minutes, are almost expected. Variations during the increase are also distorted. At the same time from Figure 4 it is evident that in Tixie Bay before the increase and at the increase commencement the 50-55-minute variations with amplitudes of 1.0-1.5 percent occurred. A lower value of intensity was in Tixie Bay at the flare maximum and it can be explained that a minimum value of the 55-minute wave accounts for that period.

Cosmic ray variations with periods of 20-60 minutes have been found by us earlier in Chirkov and Ipatjev [1969], where the nature of their origin was discussed. It was shown that their amplitude-frequency spectrum coincided with that of inhomogeneities of the interplanetary magnetic field.




Fig. 4. Cosmic ray intensity variations during the period 2300 UT January 24 - 1300 UT January 25, 1971 in Alert, Churchill, Inuvik, Goose Bay, Deep River, Tixie Bay, Dallas, and Irkutsk.

#### REFERENCES

- |  |      |   |
|--|------|---|
| CHIRKOV, N. P., and<br>V. I. IPATJEV             | 1969 | Microvariations of Cosmic Ray Intensity, <i>Acta Physica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae</i> 29, Suppl. 2, 257-260.                                  |
| KRYMSKY, G. F.                                   | 1969 | Modulyatsia kosmicheskikh luchej v mezplanetnom prostranstve, <i>Izd. "Nauka"</i> , Moscow.   |
| SHEA, M. A.,<br>D. F. SMART, and<br>J. R. MCCALL | 1968 | A Five Degree by Fifteen Degree World Grid of Trajectory Determined Vertical Cutoff Rigidities, <i>Canadian J. of Physics</i> , 46, 10, 4, 1098-1103. |

## Upper Cutoff in the Proton Spectrum of January 24 and September 1, 1971 Events

by

Dj. Heristchi, J. Pérez Peraza<sup>+</sup> and G. Trottet  
Laboratoire de Physique Cosmique  
Verrières le Buisson [France]

In an earlier publication, [Heristchi and Trottet, 1971] arguments have been advanced in favor of the existence of an upper cutoff in the spectrum of solar protons in the case of the events of January 28, 1967 and March 30, 1969. This quantity, even when it is determined in the Earth's environment, is directly related to the Source Spectrum, for, contrary to other parameters of the Source Spectrum, it is almost unaffected by the propagation of particles in the interplanetary medium. When the magnitude of this upper cutoff is of a few GV it can be evaluated from Neutron Monitor (NM) data. As the "Specific Yield Function" for protons (SYF) increases with the rigidity, the neutron monitor is a particularly good means of this measurement. The purpose of this paper is to determine the upper cutoff during the January 24-25, 1971 and September 1-2, 1971 events.

A first method consists in using the world-wide network of NM as a rigidity spectrometer while adding the presence of a maximum rigidity in the proton spectrum [Heristchi and Trottet, 1971]. Mountain stations are ignored and a double correction of the barometric effect is applied. The percentage increase (F) for one NM may be formulated as follows [Palmeira et al., 1970]:

$$F = \frac{A_1}{N_g} \int_{P_c}^{P_m} P^{-\mu} S(P) dP \quad (1)$$

where P is the magnetic rigidity of the protons,  $A_1$  a constant,  $N_g$  the counting rate due to galactic cosmic rays with a standard NM located in a place of magnetic rigidity  $P_c$ ,  $P_m$  the upper cutoff,  $P^{-\mu}$  the differential spectrum of the primary solar protons and  $S(P)$  the SYF. Here we use for  $N_g$  the values obtained by Carmichael et al. [1966], for  $P_c$  the values calculated by Shea et al. [1965], and the Lockwood and Webber's [1967] SYF which is represented by power laws in different rigidity bands.

By using for each time interval the percentage increase at several NM stations located in different geomagnetic latitudes and by applying the least square method, it is possible to determine  $A_1$ ,  $\mu$  and  $P_m$ . By writing equation (1) as a function of energy, we obtain:

$$F = \frac{A_2}{N_g} \int_{E_c}^{E_m} E^{-\gamma} S(E) dE \quad (2)$$

where  $E_c$  corresponds to  $P_c$  for protons.

This method is only applicable for an isotropic event. In the case of a noticeable anisotropy or of a lack of data we can proceed as follows [Heristchi et al., 1972]. The ratio  $R = F_1/F_2$  of the percentage increases at two stations viewing in similar mean asymptotic directions and located in different  $P_c$  is calculated. From equation (1) this ratio is computed as a function of  $P_m$  for different values of  $\mu$ . Two examples of the curves  $R = f(P_m, \mu)$  are shown on Figure 1.

By choosing three or two pairs of stations in different cutoffs, it is possible to determine  $P_m$  and  $\mu$ . We consider three stations with  $P_{c1}$ ,  $P_{c2}$  and  $P_{c3}$  ( $P_{c1} < P_{c2} < P_{c3}$ ) in order to have  $P_m$  near  $P_{c3}$  and substantially larger than  $P_{c1}$  and  $P_{c2}$ .  $P_m$  and  $\mu$  are determined, from  $R_1 = F_1/F_2$  and  $R_2 = F_1/F_3$ , by means of an iterative method. Starting from one  $P_m$  larger than  $P_{c3}$ ,  $\mu$  is determined by using  $R_1$ . The knowledge of  $\mu$  allows one then to find  $P_m$  from  $R_2$ . This new  $P_m$  is used to obtain a new  $\mu$  and so on. This method is rapidly convergent. Evidently if  $\mu$  is deduced from other measurements,  $R_2$  is sufficient to evaluate  $P_m$  and vice versa.

In order to estimate the magnitude of  $P_m$ , it would be possible to search from which cutoff the event is not registered. The preciseness of this procedure is not sufficient to determine  $P_m$ , but it can be used to corroborate the results deduced from the preceding methods.

<sup>+</sup> On leave from the E.S.F.M. of the Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México.




Fig. 1. Expected ratios of relative enhancements of two pairs of stations versus  $P_m$  for different values of  $\mu$ .

#### January 24-25, 1971 event

The time variations recorded at different NM stations during this event are shown in Figure 2. As this event is not very anisotropic, the first method has been applied to the hourly counting rates of several NM. Since records of low latitude stations show that the galactic background varies during the event, this method has been applied in two different ways:

- The background variations have been neglected (background = mean level before the event).
- A variable background for all the stations has been deduced proportionally to Rome's smoothed variations.

The results are similar in both cases and for different hours.

We obtain:

$$P_m = (3.5 - 4.0) \pm 0.6 \text{ GV} ; \mu = (3.7 - 3.9) \pm 0.4$$

$$E_m = (2.7 - 3.0) \pm 0.5 \text{ GeV} ; \gamma = (2.7 - 2.8) \pm 0.4$$

In Figure 3 the percentage increases between 0000 UT and 0100 UT on January 25 is plotted against  $P_c$ , and the Figure shows the predicted curves of various forms of the differential spectrum. These curves indicate that the best agreement with the experimental points is obtained with an upper cutoff in the differential spectrum.




Fig. 2. Time variations of three typical stations on January 24-25, 1971.




Fig. 3. Percentage increase in the sea level NM at 0100 UT on January 25, 1971, compared with different theoretical curves. The percentage increases of polar stations (1 GV) have been averaged.

Considering that this event is slightly anisotropic the second method has been applied to two groups of three stations. The results are:

- from Kerguelen (1.2 GV), Leeds (2.2 GV), Lindau (3.0 GV).

$$P_m = 4.0 \pm 0.6 \text{ GV} ; \mu = 4.0 \pm 0.4$$

- from Oulu (1.0 GV), Kiel (2.3 GV), Lindau (3.0 GV).

$$P_m = 4.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ GV} ; \mu = 4.6 \pm 0.4$$

Within the errors all these results are in agreement.

However, from balloon measurements in the 100-500 Mev energy band, Charakhchyan [1972] has found  $\gamma = 3.8$  to  $4.2$  corresponding to  $\mu = 4.8$  to  $5.2$ , values larger than ours. This difference is partly due to the correction which has been applied for the nuclear interaction of 100-500 Mev protons in the atmosphere, and partly to a possible decrease of the  $P_c$  of the stations, for the Kp index reaches a value of 4 during the event.

#### September 1-2, 1971 event

In Figure 4 the counting rates of several NM during the event are plotted against time. Both methods have been applied to the hourly percentage increase and to their sum through 2000 to 2400 UT. Using  $P_m$ , so deduced,  $\mu$  can be evaluated from  $R_1 = \text{Deep River (1.0 GV) / Swarthmore (1.9 GV)}$ . All the results are shown in Table 1. It follows from this Table:

- The values of  $P_m$  and  $\mu$ , deduced from the different methods, are all consistent:
- $E_m$  and  $P_m$  remain substantially constant in time.
- $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  increase with time. This can be explained by the propagation of particles in interplanetary space.

Figure 5 is equivalent to Figure 3 for this event. Here again, it is clear that the best agreement with the experimental points is obtained with an upper cutoff in the differential spectrum.

A small increase is visible on Pic-du-Midi's hourly and fifteen minutes records between 2100 and 2200 UT. However, only one of the three sections of this NM shows this increase, so it cannot be due to the event. Moreover, as it can be seen from Figure 4, there is no increase in Dallas's records. It is to be noted that during this event there is an enhanced diurnal variation and that the magnetic activity is very low.

Table 1

| Universal time | First method |          |       |       | Second method |         |            |
|----------------|--------------|----------|-------|-------|---------------|---------|------------|
|                | $E_m$        | $\gamma$ | $P_m$ | $\mu$ | $P_m^+$       | $\mu^+$ | $\mu^{++}$ |
| 2000-2100      | 2.5          | 1.3      | 3.3   | 1.6   |               |         |            |
| 2100-2200      | 2.4          | 2.6      | 3.2   | 3.5   | 3.2           | 3.2     | 2.6        |
| 2200-2300      | 2.1          | 2.8      | 2.9   | 3.8   | 2.9           | 4.2     | 3.6        |
| 2300-2400      | 2.1          | 3.2      | 2.9   | 4.4   |               |         | 4.0        |
| Sum            | 2.3          | 2.7      | 3.1   | 3.6   | 3.0           | 3.6     | 3.6        |

+ From Kiruna, Leeds and Utrecht.

++ From Deep River and Swarthmore by using  $P_m$  from fourth column.

The two events discussed here show upper cutoffs of the same order of magnitude as in the case of the events of 28 January 1967 and 30 March 1969. Preliminary results obtained on other events, recorded by Neutron Monitors, indicate that the upper cutoffs are of a few GV except for the February 23, 1956 event, the  $P_m$  of which is larger [Heristchi *et al.*, 1972].




Fig. 4. Time variations of four typical stations on September 1-2, 1971.




Fig. 5. The same as Figure 3 at 2300 UT on September 1, 1971.

## Acknowledgement

We are indebted to the laboratories which supplied us with the data used in this paper, and to Dr. A. N. Charakhchyan for providing us with information. One of us [J.P.P.] wishes to thank the Conacyt-Cofaa of México and the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs for financial support through a scholarship.

## REFERENCES

- |   |      |  |
|---|------|--|
| CARMICHAEL, H.,<br>M BERCOVITCH,<br>J. F. STELJES and<br>M. MAGIDIN | 1966 | Latitude Survey in North America, <u>Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Cosmic Rays</u> , <u>1</u> , 553.          |
| CHARAKHCHYAN, A. N.   | 1972 | To be published in <u>IZV. Acad. Nauk. SSSR, ser. fiz. and Private Communication</u> .   |
| HERISTCHI, Dj.,<br>J. PEREZ PERAZA and<br>G. TROTET                 | 1972 | To be published.   |
| HERISTCHI, Dj. and<br>G. TROTET                                     | 1971 | Upper Cutoff in the Spectrum of Solar Particles. <u>Physical Review Letters</u> , <u>26</u> , 197.                                   |
| LOCKWOOD, J. A. and<br>W. R. WEBBER                                 | 1967 | Differential Response and Specific Yield Functions of Cosmic-Ray Neutron Monitors. <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> <u>72</u> , 3395.         |
| PALMEIRA, P.A.R.,<br>R. P. BUKATA and<br>P. T. GRONSTAL             | 1970 | Determination of the Solar-flare Cosmic-ray Rigidity Spectrum using Neutron Monitor Network, <u>Can. J. Phys.</u> , <u>48</u> , 419. |
| SHEA, M. A.,<br>D. F. SMART,<br>K. G. MCCRACKEN and<br>U. R. RAO    | 1965 | Cosmic Ray Tables, <u>IQSY Instruction Manual No. 10</u> .   |

The Ground Level Cosmic Ray Increase of January 24, 1971  
Recorded by the Neutron Monitor in Bergen, Norway

by

R. Amundsen and H. Trefall  
Department of Physics  
University of Bergen, Norway

The Bergen neutron monitor data for the January 24, 1971 event is presented in Figure 1. The graph presents hourly values and is plotted as a percentage of the pre-event average count-rate. The data are pressure corrected to 990 mb (coefficient 0.74%/mb) and represent the mean of two sections. The 100% level is at 8560 counts per hour for the January event. The standard deviation is shown on the graph.

The neutron monitor station in Bergen is at sea level, and the geographical position is N60°24' latitude and E5°24' longitude. The cut-off rigidity is 1.2 GV.

For the January event, the maximum count-rate is recorded in the time interval 0000-0100 UT January 25, and the recorded increase amounts to 10.6%.




Fig. 1. Bergen neutron monitor data for the January 24, 1971 event.



Rocket Measurements of Energy Spectra of Protons and  
Alpha Particles during the January 24, 1971 Solar Event

by

H. Hempe and M. Witte  
Institut für Reine und Angewandte Kernphysik  
Universität Kiel, 23 Kiel, G.F.R.

During the ESRO-PCA campaign 1971 two Centaur rockets were launched from Kiruna 13.5 and 35.7 hours after the proton flare on January 24, 2308 UT. Preliminary energy spectra of protons between 22 and 133 Mev and alpha particles between 22 and 110 Mev/N are presented.

The experiment consisted of a dE/dx-E- scintillator telescope with an energy dependent geometry factor between 3.6 and 1.7 cm<sup>2</sup>sr for 22 and 133 Mev protons, respectively. Coincident pulses in the dE/dx- and the E-scintillator were analysed by two 256-channel pulse height analysers. The channel numbers together with the response of the anticoincidence detector were transmitted in realtime as a 21-bit word through the IRIG 19 telemetry channel. To be able to correct for deadtime effects in this channel the counting rates of two- and threefold coincidences were transmitted through a separate telemetry channel.

For 280 seconds flight time above approximately 75 km two-dimensional pulse height distributions were constructed for unique identification of protons and alpha particles.

Figure 1 shows the energy spectra of protons and alpha particles during the first flight (F1) on January 25, 1238 UT and the second flight (F2) on January 26, 1050 UT.

The intensities have been corrected for deadtime effects of 95.5% during F1 and 46.5% during F2. The error bars include statistical and estimated systematical errors. Due to pile up and saturation effects caused by the high particle fluxes during the first flight, the uncertainties in the energy spectra of F1 might still be larger than indicated.

The data points at lower energies are obtained by the Utrecht Group [van Beek, 1972] in a rocket flight from Kiruna on January 26, 1322 UT, 2.5 hours after F2. During this period the proton flux, as measured by ATS-1, decreased by only 20%.

The energy spectra are gradually steepening as a function of energy. Conversion to a rigidity spectrum (Figure 2) shows that the alpha particles are well fitted by an exponential law

$$dN/dP = \text{const} \exp (-P/P_0) \text{ with } \begin{array}{l} P_0 = 80 \pm 20 \text{ MV for F1} \\ P_0 = 86 \pm 18 \text{ MV for F2} \end{array}$$

The proton spectra are flattening towards lower energies and are bending over at approximately 200 MV which is probably due to geomagnetic screening. According to recent calculations of Smart et al. [1969] the daytime vertical cutoff of Kiruna is approximately 500 MV.




Fig. 1. Energy/nucleon spectra of protons and alpha particles obtained with an E-dE/dx telescope 13.5 and 35.7 hours after the January 24, 1971 solar event.

Intensity points at lower energies are measured by the Utrecht Group 2.5 hours after F2.




Fig. 2. Rigidity spectra of protons and alpha particles obtained with an E-dE/dx telescope 13.5 and 35.7 hours after the January 24, 1971 solar event.

#### Acknowledgement:

We wish to thank Dr. de Feiter and Dr. van Beek, Utrecht, for making their data available to us.

#### REFERENCES

VAN BEEK 1972

SMART, D. F.,  
M. A. SHEA and  
R. GALL 1969

Annual ESRO-Report.

Iso-rigidity contours in the polar regions interpolated from trajectory-derived vertical cutoff rigidities, Proc. 11th Int. Conf. on Cosmic Rays, Budapest, 1969, Paper MO-136.

## Cosmic Ray Solar Flare Event of January 24, 1971

by

M. Arens, H. F. Jongen, J. Skolnik  
Natuurkundig Laboratorium, Universiteit van Amsterdam  
Amsterdam

and

L. D. de Feiter  
Laboratorium voor Ruimte-Onderzoek  
Utrecht

### ABSTRACT

On January 24, 1971 at 2335 UT an increase in counting rate was measured by high-latitude Neutron Monitors, probably caused by solar particles accelerated in the flare of importance 2B at N18 W44 at 2300 UT January 24, 1971. The differential rigidity spectrum was found to be a power law spectrum with exponent  $\gamma = 5.5$ .

The intensity-time profile is consistent with the ADB-model of Burlaga with an absorbing boundary at about 2 A. U.

### Introduction

On January 24, 1971, at 2300 UT a solar flare of importance 2B was observed at N18 W44. Between 2335-2340 UT an increase in the counting rate of high-latitude Neutron Monitors was recorded, most probably caused by energetic particles of this flare. From the data of the Calgary and Sulphur Mountain Neutron Monitors the absorption length for the solar particles in the atmosphere is calculated. The fractional increase in intensity is then computed with the method of the 2-attenuation lengths [McCracken, 1962]. Using the model for the propagation of solar particles as proposed by Burlaga [1967] the time profile for the data of the Deep River Monitor is investigated.

### Calculation of the Atmospheric Absorption Length for the Solar Particles

As is well known [e.g. McCracken, 1962], the absorption length,  $\lambda_f$ , of energetic solar particles is different from the absorption length  $\lambda_g$ , of galactic cosmic rays due to the relatively low energy of the flare particles.  $\lambda_f$  is calculated from the data of two neighboring Neutron Monitor stations at different atmospheric depths: Calgary and Sulphur Mountain [Wilson et al., 1967]. It is found that  $\lambda_f = 102 \pm 3$  gr/cm<sup>2</sup>, in agreement with values of  $\lambda_f$  given earlier [McCracken, 1962; Wilson et al., 1967].

| Station     | Altitude | $R_c$   | $\lambda_{\text{geomagn.}}$ | $\Phi_{\text{geomagn.}}$ |
|-------------|----------|---------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sulphur Mt. | 2283 m   | 1.14 GV | 58.16°                      | 300.33°                  |
| Calgary     | 1128 m   | 1.09 GV | 58.30°                      | 302.13°                  |

The fractional increases reduced to 1030 gr/cm<sup>2</sup> are calculated with the 2-attenuation lengths method for periods of 15 minutes. The results are summarized in Table 1.

### Spectrum of the Solar Flare Particles

For the construction of the spectrum of the solar flare particles we used the galactic proton spectrum of May 1965 [Gloeckler and Jokipii, 1967] and the specific yield functions as given by Lockwood and Webber [1967]. From the Deep River data we deduced a 10% reduction, due to the 11-year modulation, at the moment of the flare as compared with May 1965. This modulation was taken into account for the calculation of the galactic component.

With the fractional increases in intensity for the stations with  $R_c$  between 1-5 GV and the specific yield functions the differential rigidity spectrum was found to be a power law spectrum with spectral index  $\gamma = 5.5 \pm 0.5$ . Because of the errors involved in this deduction it is difficult to get an impression of changes of the spectrum during the event.

Table 1

Percent increase in Neutron Monitor counting rate corrected  
with the 2 - attenuation length method

|                  | $R_c$ | UT<br>2330-2345 | UT<br>2345-2400 | UT<br>0000-0015 | UT<br>0015-0030 | UT<br>0030-0045 |
|------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Dumont d'Urville | 0.05  | 12.2            | 18.0            | 18.0            | 12.2            | 10.8            |
| Ft. Churchill    | 0.21  | 4.0             | --              | 11.9            | 10.2            | 7.3             |
| Kiruna           | 0.54  | 3.5             | 14.8            | 14.1            | 10.4            | 8.5             |
| Oulu             | 0.81  | 2.6             | 12.7            | 12.1            | 9.4             | 8.6             |
| Deep River       | 1.02  | 4.0             | 10.8            | 11.3            | 9.2             | 8.3             |
| Kerguelen Is.    | 1.19  | 3.8             | 7.7             | 8.7             | 8.1             | 6.8             |
| Uppsala          | 1.43  | 5.4             | 7.6             | 9.8             | 7.0             | 7.8             |
| Kiel             | 2.29  | 1.7             | 3.7             | 2.8             | 2.0             | 1.7             |
| Utrecht          | 2.76  | 2.2             | 2.7             | 2.1             | 1.1             | 1.4             |

#### ADB Model

The model for the propagation of solar particles as proposed by Burlaga [1967] was applied to the Deep River data for this event. For the increasing phase the model predicts a dependence of the intensity as a function of time as:

$$F(t) = K_1 \exp(-S_r/t) t^{-5/2} \quad \text{with}$$

$F$  intensity increase,  $K_1$  a constant,  $S_r = 2.5 t_m$ ,  $t_m$  time of maximum intensity;  $t$  is counted from the injection time  $t_0$ . The best fit for a straight line through the data of the plot

$$\ln \{F(t) t^{5/2}\} \quad \text{versus} \quad t^{-1} \quad \text{with } t_0 \text{ as parameter was obtained for}$$

$$t_0 = 2320 \text{ UT} \quad (\text{Fig. 1})$$

From the slope of this line  $S_r$  is found to be:  $S_r = 118 \text{ min.} \pm 17 \text{ min.}$  so  $t_m = 47 \text{ min.}$  The measured value of  $t_m$  with  $t_0 = 2320 \text{ UT}$  is:  $t_m = 40 - 45 \text{ min.}$  The agreement between these values may be considered reasonable.

As has been shown by Snyder [Snyder *et al.*, 1963] there exists a linear relationship between the solar wind velocity and  $\Sigma K_p$ :

$$v = (8.44 \pm 0.74) \Sigma K_p + (330 \pm 17) \text{ km/sec.}$$

The solar wind velocity is related to the angle  $\theta_1$  between the earth-sun line and the interplanetary magnetic field line connecting with the earth as:

$$\theta_1 = 32900/v \text{ }^\circ\text{West [Burlaga, 1967].}$$

For January 24, 1971  $\Sigma K_p = 17+$ ; this yields  $v = 476 \pm 30 \text{ km/sec.}$  and  $\theta_1 = 69 \pm 4 \text{ }^\circ\text{West.}$

Following Burlaga [1967] and Lockwood [1968]  $t_m$  being a linear function of  $\theta_0^2$ ,  $\theta_0$  being the angle between the flare position on the sun and the origin of the interplanetary magnetic field line connecting with the earth,  $\theta_0$  can be calculated from:

$$\theta_0^2 = \frac{10(t_m - a)}{g} \quad \text{with constant } a = 40 \text{ min. as derived}$$

from Burlaga's Figure 6. For  $g$  Burlaga gives the value:  $g = 6.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ hours.}$  Using this value and  $\theta_1$  as derived earlier, the following estimate for the flare position is obtained:  $\theta_f = 145 \pm 5 \text{ }^\circ\text{W.}$  The visual flare was observed at W44.




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.

For the decreasing phase of the flare event the Burlaga model predicts an intensity versus time dependence as:

$$F(t) = K_2 \exp(-t/t_D) \text{ with}$$

$F$  intensity decrease,  $K_2$  a constant and  $t_D$  the decay time-constant. The slope of the line  $\ln F(t)$  versus  $t$  for the early part of the decreasing phase, Figure 2, yields:  $t_D = 132 \text{ min.} \pm 16 \text{ min.}$  With this value of  $t_D$  the position of the absorbing boundary:

$$\frac{r_1}{r} = \left\{ \frac{\pi^2 t_D}{10 \times t_m - g\theta_0^2} \right\}^{1/2} \text{ is found to be:}$$

$$r_1 = 1.8 \text{ A. U.}$$

## Acknowledgments

We thank the following investigators for promptly sending their data: Professor A. Fréon (Dumont d'Urville, Kerguelen Is., Pic du Midi), Dr. R. Palmeira (Churchill, Dallas), Dr. H. Hauska (Kiruna, Uppsala), Professor P. J. Tanskanen (Oulu), Dr. J. F. Steljes (Deep River), Dr. T. Mathews (Calgary, Sulphur Mountain), Dr. O. Binder (Kiel), Professor H. Debrunner (Jungfrauoch). The Utrecht Cosmic Ray Monitor station is operated with financial support of the Netherlands Organization for Pure Scientific Research (Z.W.O.).

## REFERENCES

- |   |      |  |
|---|------|--|
| BURLAGA, L. F.                                    | 1967 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>72</u> , 4449 |
| GLOECKLER, G. and<br>J. R. JOKIPII                | 1967 | <u>Ap. J.</u> , <u>148</u> , L 41          |
| LOCKWOOD, J. A. and<br>W. R. WEBBER               | 1967 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>72</u> , 3395 |
| LOCKWOOD, J. A.                                   | 1968 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>73</u> , 4247 |
| MCCRACKEN, K. G.                                  | 1962 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>67</u> , 423  |
| SNYDER, C. W.,<br>M. NEUGEBAUER and<br>U. R. RAO  | 1963 | <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>68</u> , 6361 |
| WILSON, B. C.,<br>T. MATHEWS and<br>R. H. JOHNSON | 1967 | <u>Phys. Rev. L.</u> , <u>18</u> , 675     |



Scintillation Monitor, Bologna, Italy. 15-Minute Observations

by

M. Galli, L. Fiandri  
Istituto di Fisica "A. Righi"  
Università degli Studi di Bologna

M.R. Attolini  
Laboratori T.E.S.R.E.  
Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Bologna

Figure 1 presents for January 21-27, 1971 the total ionization (T), the atmospheric pressure at ground level (P), and the hard components: 45° inclined towards West (W), 45° inclined towards East (E) and vertical cubical coincidences (V).

Table 1 presents the data from the scintillation monitor at Bologna. The tabulated data should be read with a decimal point after the second figure of each number. The numbers are deviations in % units from a fixed value of pressure corrected data at the end of each 15-minute interval.




Fig. 1. Total ionization (T), the atmospheric pressure at ground level (P), and the hard components: 45° inclined towards West (W), 45° inclined towards East (E) and vertical cubical coincidences (V) for January 21-27, 1971.

Table I

## 15-MINUTE COSMIC RAY DATA AT BOLOGNA DURING JANUARY 24, 25 1971

| Scintillation Monitor for Cosmic Rays |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------|----------|-------------|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location                              | Bologna  | 44.5° N | 11.35° E | 50 m a.s.l. |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Tabulated                             | Deviations in percent units from a fixed value of pressure corrected totals, at the end of each interval |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| * Total Ionizing Component counts     |  |         |          |             | * Coincidence counts 45 degrees |      |      |      |      | * Vertical Cubical coincidence |      |      |      |      | * Coincidence counts 45 degrees |  |  |  |  |
| under 5 gr/sqcm *                     |  |         |          |             | inclined towards West filtered  |      |      |      |      | counts filtered by 10 cm of    |      |      |      |      | inclined towards East           |  |  |  |  |
| S.D. 0.084%                           |  |         |          |             | by 10 cm of lead *              |      |      |      |      | lead *                         |      |      |      |      | filtered by 10 cm of lead *     |  |  |  |  |
| S.D. 0.20 percent/mb                  |  |         |          |             | S.D. 0.54%                      |      |      |      |      | S.D. 0.30%                     |      |      |      |      | S.D. 0.54%                      |  |  |  |  |
| Bar. coeff.                           |  |         |          |             | 0.20 percent/mb                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| January 24, 25 1971                   |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             | Minutes at End of Interval      |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| U.T. hours                            | 15   | 30      | 45       | 60          | Mean                            | 15   | 30   | 45   | 60   | Mean                           | 15   | 30   | 45   | 60   | Mean                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1200-1300                             | 4.27   | 4.30    | 4.28     | 4.07        | 4.23                            | 4.63 | 4.60 | 4.79 | 4.76 | 4.69                           | 5.31 | 5.46 | 5.39 | 5.22 | 5.35                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1300-1400                             | 4.29   | 4.30    | 4.14     | 4.24        | 4.24                            | 5.07 | 3.96 | 4.23 | 5.23 | 4.62                           | 5.68 | 6.03 | 5.14 | 5.30 | 5.54                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1400-1500                             | 4.12   | 4.03    | 4.26     | 4.33        | 4.20                            | 4.25 | 3.45 | 3.53 | 5.15 | 4.10                           | 5.43 | 4.75 | 5.59 | 5.18 | 5.24                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1500-1600                             | 4.42   | 4.16    | 4.25     | 4.08        | 4.23                            | 5.48 | 3.75 | 4.06 | 4.66 | 4.49                           | 5.80 | 5.73 | 5.72 | 5.30 | 5.64                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1700                             | 4.13   | 4.14    | 4.13     | 4.22        | 4.17                            | 2.89 | 3.64 | 4.25 | 4.36 | 3.79                           | 5.13 | 5.14 | 5.08 | 5.65 | 5.25                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1700-1800                             | 4.25   | 4.25    | 4.28     | 4.07        | 4.21                            | 4.60 | 3.69 | 3.67 | 3.49 | 3.86                           | 4.99 | 5.31 | 5.70 | 5.44 | 5.36                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1800-1900                             | 4.02   | 4.22    | 4.35     | 4.67        | 4.31                            | 3.66 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.06 | 3.96                           | 5.10 | 5.57 | 5.34 | 6.03 | 5.51                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1900-2000                             | 4.42   | 4.44    | 4.41     | 4.31        | 4.40                            | 3.69 | 4.13 | 4.26 | 3.77 | 3.96                           | 5.55 | 5.74 | 5.34 | 5.62 | 5.56                            |  |  |  |  |
| 2000-2100                             | 4.30   | 4.37    | 4.33     | 4.40        | 4.35                            | 5.05 | 4.48 | 4.87 | 4.66 | 4.76                           | 5.22 | 4.85 | 6.23 | 5.62 | 5.48                            |  |  |  |  |
| 2100-2200                             | 4.29   | 4.37    | 4.17     | 4.14        | 4.24                            | 4.56 | 4.30 | 4.01 | 4.19 | 4.26                           | 5.42 | 5.38 | 5.30 | 4.84 | 5.24                            |  |  |  |  |
| 2200-2300                             | 4.22   | 4.24    | 4.28     | 4.28        | 4.25                            | 4.35 | 4.46 | 4.30 | 5.03 | 4.53                           | 5.31 | 5.49 | 5.32 | 5.66 | 5.44                            |  |  |  |  |
| 2300-2400                             | 4.19   | 4.05    | 4.30     | 4.21        | 4.19                            | 3.70 | 3.56 | 4.76 | 4.78 | 4.20                           | 5.26 | 5.03 | 5.43 | 5.15 | 5.22                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0000-0100                             | 4.25   | 4.49    | 4.38     | 4.38        | 4.38                            | 5.54 | 3.92 | 3.98 | 4.51 | 4.49                           | 5.03 | 5.95 | 5.42 | 5.34 | 5.44                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0100-0200                             | 4.33   | 4.32    | 4.29     | 4.19        | 4.28                            | 5.06 | 4.22 | 4.15 | 4.36 | 4.45                           | 5.30 | 5.46 | 5.79 | 5.40 | 5.49                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0200-0300                             | 4.21   | 4.42    | 4.49     | 4.35        | 4.37                            | 4.08 | 3.74 | 4.39 | 3.56 | 3.94                           | 4.79 | 5.44 | 5.53 | 5.54 | 5.32                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0300-0400                             | 4.44   | 4.48    | 4.33     | 4.29        | 4.38                            | 4.11 | 5.25 | 3.90 | 4.17 | 4.36                           | 5.71 | 5.49 | 5.41 | 5.55 | 5.54                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0400-0500                             | 4.49   | 4.37    | 4.39     | 4.41        | 4.42                            | 5.44 | 5.09 | 5.40 | 4.05 | 5.00                           | 5.67 | 5.01 | 5.36 | 5.12 | 5.29                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0500-0600                             | 4.48   | 4.67    | 4.79     | 4.70        | 4.66                            | 4.18 | 4.62 | 3.87 | 4.29 | 4.24                           | 5.86 | 5.68 | 5.94 | 6.34 | 5.95                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0600-0700                             | 4.89   | 4.79    | 4.77     | 4.86        | 4.83                            | 5.66 | 5.15 | 3.95 | 4.72 | 4.87                           | 6.13 | 6.00 | 5.80 | 6.06 | 6.00                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0700-0800                             | 4.84   | 4.92    | 4.87     | 5.01        | 4.91                            | 3.95 | 4.48 | 3.96 | 4.67 | 4.27                           | 5.73 | 6.44 | 5.78 | 5.63 | 5.90                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0800-0900                             | 5.04   | 4.82    | 4.75     | 4.92        | 4.88                            | 5.66 | 4.08 | 4.86 | 5.05 | 4.91                           | 6.38 | 5.63 | 5.72 | 6.21 | 5.99                            |  |  |  |  |
| 0900-1000                             | 4.96   | 4.86    | 4.85     | 4.93        | 4.90                            | 3.49 | 4.31 | 5.47 | 4.13 | 4.35                           | 6.02 | 5.93 | 6.08 | 6.01 | 6.01                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1000-1100                             | 4.96   | 4.79    | 4.88     | 4.83        | 4.87                            | 3.85 | 3.92 | 4.24 | 4.22 | 4.06                           | 5.88 | 5.92 | 5.56 | 6.06 | 5.86                            |  |  |  |  |
| 1100-1200                             | 4.78   | 4.71    | 4.80     | 4.79        | 4.77                            | 4.25 | 4.06 | 4.23 | 4.32 | 4.21                           | 5.91 | 5.88 | 5.89 | 5.94 | 5.91                            |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                       |  |         |          |             |                                 |      |      |      |      |                                |      |      |      |      |                                 |  |  |  |  |

## 6. IONOSPHERE

### HF Doppler Observation Associated with Cosmic Ray Increase of January 24, 1971

by

Minoru Tsutsui and Toru Ogawa  
Ionosphere Research Laboratory  
Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto, Japan

From the time dependence of the ground-level cosmic ray and the lack of outstanding geomagnetic activity on January 24-25, 1971, this cosmic ray event is thought to be an F-type increase. An impulsive flare, therefore, is thought to precede the sudden increase of this type cosmic ray event. In general, the most remarkable characteristic of a flare which radiates solar cosmic rays is the fact that it is followed by the IV $\mu$  type solar radio burst. It is well known that the IV $\mu$  type solar radio burst has a good correlation with sudden ionospheric disturbances (SID's) according to results of various ionospheric observations. HF Doppler observations detect it as a sudden frequency deviation (SFD). So the time relation of these phenomena, i.e., solar flare, solar radio emission, cosmic rays and SFD, is expressed in Figure 1. In this figure, the time of start and maximum phase of the solar flare, the solar radio emission and the neutrons of cosmic rays are the results from the observations of Manila, Toyokawa and Deep River, respectively. The HF Doppler was observed at Uji (Kyoto).

The SFD recorder trace from the spectrogram is shown in Figure 2. This record consists of two frequency signals; one is JJY 5 MHz which is one of the standard radio frequencies in Japan and the other belongs to BPV 10 MHz whose station is at Shanghai. Distances from Uji to JJY and BPV are about 360 and 1400 km, respectively.

The frequency shifts  $\Delta f$  of the received signals are proportional to the time ratio of the increment of electron density  $\Delta N$ , ( $\Delta f \propto [dN/dt]$ ), to the change in a non-deviative layer. In the present case, there is a good correlation between  $\Delta f$  versus time for the 10 MHz signal which is reflected from the F layer and the time ratio of increasing flux of solar radio emission at 9000 MHz observed at Toyokawa. In the trace of the 5 MHz signal, a similar shape is seen. It is well known that Doppler frequency shifts  $\Delta f$  are inversely proportional to the frequency used  $f$ ,  $\Delta f \propto f^{-1}$ , when the refractive index changes in a non-deviative region. Judging from this fact and these two traces, it seems that the present burst affects the region lower than the E layer, which is not the reflecting point but rather the non-deviative region for these two waves. The 5 MHz signal, however, vanished at 2318 UT because of the wave absorption accompanied with the increment of electron density in the D or lower E layer. This lasts until 0045 UT (0945 JST) on January 25. In the trace of 10 MHz signal, the effect of multipass propagation can be seen about 0000 UT (0900 JST) on January 25. It might be thought that this effect is due to the arrival of cosmic rays in the upper ionosphere, but this is unclear.




Fig. 1. The time relation of start and maximum phase of solar flare, SFD, solar radio emission and cosmic rays.




Fig. 2. HF Doppler record associated with cosmic ray increase of January 24-25, 1971.

# EFFECTS OF THE JANUARY 1971 SOLAR PARTICLE EVENT ON POLAR VLF PROPAGATION

JOHN P. TURTLE  
POLAR ATMOSPHERIC PROCESSES BRANCH  
AERONOMY LABORATORY  
AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES  
BEDFORD, MASS. 01730

Measurements of VLF propagation in polar cap regions are made at the AFCRL Geopole Observatory at Thule AB in Greenland as a monitor of D-region particle precipitation disturbances. During the solar particle event on 24 January 1971 two VLF transmitters were being monitored; one was GBR (16.0 kHz) in England and the other was NPG (18.6 kHz) in Washington. These paths are shown in Figure 1.

The effects of the 24 January 1971 particle precipitation event on the amplitude of the signals from the two transmitters are shown in Figure 2. No phase data is presented as the crystal standard used at that time was not stable. No SPA effects were detected on either path as the sun was below the horizon over all of the GBR path and most of the NPG path. Particle precipitation effects first occurred at 2335 UT on 24 January. Amplitude attenuation on the NPG-Thule path reached a maximum of about 14 dB at 1300 UT on 25 January. The attenuation produced by the polar cap disturbance on the GBR-Thule path was much larger than on the NPG path due to the effect of the 900 km of the Greenland ice cap which the signal crosses. Because of the attenuation the GBR signal was lost until 29 January. The maximum attenuation on this path must have been more than 30 dB. The signals did not return to normal levels until 2 February 1971. The discontinuities in the data on 30 January and 5 February indicate that there were additional disturbances on these days.




Fig. 1 Polar VLF Propagation Paths to the Geopole Observatory





Fig. 2 VLF Amplitude Data for the January 1971 Solar Particle Event  
(The dotted line is an arbitrary reference level)

30 MHZ RIOMETER DATA FOR JANUARY 1971 SOLAR PARTICLE EVENT

RAYMOND J. CORMIER  
IONOSPHERIC RADIO PHYSICS BRANCH  
IONOSPHERIC PHYSICS LABORATORY  
AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES  
BEDFORD, MASS. 01730



AFCRL Geopole Observatory 30 MHz Riometer Data  
for January 1971 Solar Particle Event

Cormier, R. J. 1971

Geophysics & Space Data Bulletin  
Vol. VIII; No. 1  
AFCRL Bedford, Mass. 01730

# The January 1971 Solar Cosmic Ray Event

by

A. J. Masley  
Space Sciences Department  
McDonnell Douglas Astronautics Company  
Huntington Beach, California

## Introduction

The McDonnell Douglas Polar Observatories are located at McMurdo Sound, Antarctica ( $77^{\circ}51'S$ ,  $166^{\circ}43'E$ ) and at magnetically conjugate Shepherd Bay, N. W. T., Canada ( $68^{\circ}49'N$ ,  $93^{\circ}26'W$ ). These stations, at a geomagnetic latitude of  $80^{\circ}$ , are located inside the polar cap regions, removed poleward from the auroral zones to minimize auroral interference.

Radio techniques are used which allow effects taking place at altitudes from 30 to 90 kilometers to be observed with ground-based equipment. Riometers are operated which measure the signal strength of galactic radio noise at 30 and 50 MHz. The ionization produced by the interaction of the charged particles with the atmosphere increases the electron density so that radio waves passing through the ionosphere are significantly absorbed. The absorption of the radio waves at a given frequency is proportional to the square root of the intensity of charged particles. This technique is sensitive to protons from about 5 to 100 Mev. Other equipment operating at the station includes magnetometers and photometers at 3914 Å and 5577 Å.

## January 24, 1971 Event

McMurdo 30 MHz riometer absorption began to increase at 2352 UT January 24 after about 30 minutes of radio noise at 30 and 50 MHz. The entire event was observed in continuous daylight at McMurdo (See Figure 1). The absorption increased to 8.5 dB at 0600 UT January 25. The absorption varied near this level for 24 hours reaching a maximum of 11.8 dB at 1800 UT January 25. The ionosphere at Shepherd Bay was illuminated down to 30 km for 8 hours each day. The maximum absorption observed during sunlight periods was 6 dB at 2000 UT January 25. The event gradually decreased to background on January 30.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Polar Programs Office of the National Science Foundation under Contract NSF-C393 and the McDonnell Douglas Independent Research and Development Program.

### 24 JANUARY 1971 SOLAR COSMIC RAY EVENT




Fig. 1. 30 MHz riometer absorption data for McMurdo Sound, January 24-30, 1971.



# Riometer Observation of the Solar Cosmic Ray Event of January 25, 1971

by

William M. Retallack, Warner L. Ecklund and Herbert H. Sauer  
NOAA-Environmental Research Laboratories

This laboratory has for several years operated riometer observing sites on the Antarctic Continent with the support of the National Science Foundation. The data reported herein were obtained at frequencies of 29.85 MHz and 50.0 MHz, from sites at South Pole, Byrd Station, and Vostok. The data from Vostok is obtained through a cooperative program administered by the Arctic and Antarctic Institute, Leningrad. The geographic coordinates and approximate L-values of these observing sites are tabulated below.

TABLE I

| Station Name | Geographic Coordinates |                | L     |
|--------------|------------------------|----------------|-------|
|              | Latitude               | Longitude East |       |
| Byrd         | -80.02                 | 240.47         | 7.23  |
| South Pole   | -90.00                 | -              | 14.02 |
| Vostok       | -78.27                 | -106.5         | >45.  |

The riometer observations for this period are shown in Figure 1. During this period solar protons were observed by several satellites (as reported in "Solar-Geophysical Data") to arrive in the vicinity of the earth and were detected by several ground-based sensors. Details of these observations will undoubtedly be represented elsewhere in this publication.

As will be seen from the figures, the event as observed by riometer represents a fairly "classical" case of PCA, although the first day shows considerable structure. During this period, the observing sites were continuously illuminated and the profiles do not, therefore, exhibit the characteristic day-night effect of PCA.

The data from Vostok indicates that the first measurable PCA occurred late on 24 January consistent with the direct particle observation by ATS-1, although Byrd and South Pole did not respond until several hours later.

## REFERENCES

Solar-Geophysical Data, Number 318, Part I,  
"Prompt Reports", February 1971,  
U. S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colo.,  
U.S.A. 80302)




Fig. 1. Riometer observations during January 24-28, 1971.

Polar Cap Absorption of January 24, 1971 by  
Riometer Data in the Arctic and Antarctic

by

V. M. Driatsky and V. A. Ulyev  
The Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute  
Leningrad, USSR

The present study is concerned with one of two strongest Polar Cap Absorption events recorded in 1971. PCA data were obtained by riometers installed at the Soviet Arctic and Antarctic stations. Table 1 presents the station list, their geographical positions, invariant latitudes and the Sun's altitudes.

Table 1  
STATION LIST

|    | Station name   | Geographic positions |           | $\Phi'$ | Sun's altitude  |          | Notes                                  |
|----|----------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|----------|--|
|    |                | latitude             | longitude |         | 26 January 1971 |          |  |
|    |                |                      |           |         | noon            | midnight |  |
| 1. | North Pole 19  | 80°38'N              | 143°22'E  | 73.4°N  | - 9°26'         | -28°10'  | Positions for<br>Jan. 26, 1971         |
| 2. | Heiss Island   | 80 37                | 58 03     | 73.8    | - 9 25          | -28 11   |  |
| 3. | Cape Zhelaniya | 76 57                | 68 35     | 70.3    | - 5 47          | -31 49   |  |
| 4. | Dixon Island   | 73 30                | 80 14     | 67.2    | - 2 18          | -35 18   |  |
| 5. | Amderma        | 69 46                | 61 41     | 63.9    | + 1 26          | -39 02   |  |
| 6. | Salekhard      | 66 32                | 66 32     | 61.0    | + 4 36          | -42 12   | Data received<br>by radio<br>"- " "- " |
| 7. | Vostok Station | 78°27'S              | 106 52    | 84.3°S  | +30 20          | + 7 16   |  |
| 8. | Mirny          | 66 33                | 92 01     | 76.8    | +42 15          | - 4 39   |  |
| 9. | Molodezhnaya   | 67 10                | 45 51     | 67.6    | +41 38          | - 4 02   |  |

NOTES: Riometer frequencies: Vostok St. - 30 and 50 MHz, Mirny - 31.8 and 40 MHz, other stations had riometers at 32 MHz.  
Antennas at Vostok station are cophasal directed to zenith; at other stations antennas are of Yagi directed to North Pole.

Polar Cap Absorption, 24-29 January 1971

This event seems to be associated with a solar flare of importance 2B, recorded on 24 January at 2314-2332 UT on the western side of the solar disk (N19 W49) [Solar-Geophysical Data No. 318].

Explorer-41 observed the enhancement of a proton flux which started at 0000 UT, 24 January. The proton flux with energies  $E_p > 10$  Mev reached maximum  $F = 1171 \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}\text{sterad}^{-1}$  at 1300 UT, 25 January [Solar-Geophysical Data No. 328]. Figure 1 shows PCA intensity variations by the data of 9 riometers. All these data are divided into three groups.

The first group covers the data of North Pole-19 Station (NP-19) and Heiss Island Station. Both these stations are situated in the area of the polar night. The ionized region in the lower ionosphere responsible for the PCA was not lit by the solar rays at these stations. The absorption started to increase at about 0000 UT, 25 January, reaching its maximum value (approximately 2 dB) by the end of the day. On 29 January the absorption actually died out.

The second group covers a number of stations. They are Cape Zhelaniya, Dixon Island, Amderma, Mirny, Molodezhnaya. These stations experienced varying degrees of day and night. This is most pronounced at Mirny. At Cape Zhelaniya and Dixon Island where in January there is still a polar night and where for a half of the day the sun's altitudes are negative (approximately - 5° and -2°, respectively), the increase of the absorption was registered at local noon.




Fig. 1. Variations of PCA intensity and Kp geomagnetic index over the period 24-29 January, 1971.

The variations of Mirny and Molodezhnaya PCA intensity during daytime seem to be attributed to the midday recovery effect.

And finally the third group covers Vostok Station which is in the zone of polar day. The onset of the absorption was at 2322-2356 UT on January 24. It was difficult to establish more exact time of PCA onset owing to the Sun's strong radio emission, recorded by the station riometer at this time. The sun's altitude at midnight was  $+7^{\circ}16'$ , that is conditions were approaching equilibrium [Leinbach et al., 1965]. That is why PCA intensity variations followed the variations of the proton flux density. No day-night effect was observed at this station. The absorption maximum falls on 1230 UT, therefore it coincides with the maximum of the proton flux.

Comparing the PCA data of the first and the third groups assuming the proton fluxes over the Northern Polar Cap and the Southern Polar Cap to be equal [Chivers and Hargreaves, 1965] we can see that the ratio of the daytime absorption to that of the nighttime ranges from 4 to 8.

The geomagnetic disturbance of the sc type was manifested as a short-time (15 minutes) absorption enhancement. The magnetic storm which follows the sc resulted in the decrease of the cutoff rigidity at the edge of the Northern Polar Cap, manifested by the PCA increase at Salekhard, Amderma and Dixon Island.

#### REFERENCES

- |  |      |  |
|--|------|--|
| CHIVERS, H. and<br>I. K. HARGREAVES                    | 1965 | <u>Planetary and Space Science</u> , <u>13</u> , 583.  |
| LEINBACH, H.,<br>D. VENKATESAN and<br>R. PARTHASARATHY | 1965 | <u>Planetary and Space Science</u> , <u>13</u> 1075.   |
|  | 1971 | <u>Solar-Geophysical Data</u> , 318 Part I; 328 Part II, U.S.<br>Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A.<br>80302). |

Ground Based Ionospheric Observations from the Danish Geophysical Observatories  
in Greenland during the January 24 Event 1971

by

J. Taagholt and V. Neble Jensen  
Ionosphere Laboratory  
Technical University of Denmark  
DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

The ionosphere data published below are reduced data based on routine measurements made at the field sites:

Narssarssuaq (geomagnetic coordinates: Lat. N 71.4° Long. E 37.1°)  
Godhavn (geomagnetic coordinates: Lat. N 80.0° Long. E 33.1°)  
Thule (geomagnetic coordinates: Lat. N 89.1° Long. E 357.5°)

All three observatories, situated on the west coast of Greenland, are run by the Ionosphere Laboratory, a division of the Danish Meteorological Institute. The vertical sounder used at Narssarssuaq is a modified C-3/4, at Godhavn a J-5, and at Thule a C-4.

Additionally, Cosmic Noise Absorption (CNA) data obtained by means of IONLAB-Riometer at 30 MHz are shown from the same observatories as well as from Station Nord (geomagnetic coordinates: Lat. N80.7° Long. E134.5°), Sdr. Strømfjord (geomagnetic coordinates: Lat. N77.6° Long. E34.8°), and Godthaab (geomagnetic coordinates: Lat. N75.0° Long. E29.7°).

The three latter stations are operated for the Ionosphere Laboratory by the Greenland Technical Organization, Telesection.

Due to technical problems, the vertical soundings at Thule are missing for the January event.

The riometer recordings at Station Nord were established in May, 1971, and, therefore, no CNA data exist from Nord for the January event.

January 24 Event

The CNA data (see Figure 1) show a short absorption event starting about 1200 UT on January 24, more pronounced at Narssarssuaq and Godthaab with a maximum of about 2 dB and a duration of about 2-3 hours. At Sdr. Strømfjord and Godhavn the same event is seen, but with less than 1 dB absorption. At Thule no absorption at all is observed. The maximum absorption occurred at 1300 UT, corresponding to 1000 LMT on the f-plot (see Figure 2), where at Narssarssuaq, a pronounced increase in f-min is seen.

Beginning at 0000 UT on January 25 the absorption increased during the next 12 hours very smoothly at the trans-auroral station and very irregularly at Narssarssuaq, situated in the auroral zone. The maximum absorption arrives first at the southern station and about 6 hours later at Thule. The maximum absorption is most pronounced at the southern station, about 9 dB at Narssarssuaq, and about 5 dB at Godhavn, but only 2 dB at Thule. The day-time absorption shows the typical solar radiation effect, especially for January 25, where the absorption during sunlight periods is superimposed on absorption caused by the normal radiation corresponding to the solar angle. The uniformity, especially at the four southern stations, shows that the absorption event covers a great area, but with reference to the Thule data, does not seem to cover the total polar cap as the September event did (see p. 410 of this report).

On January 25 the f-plot shows a "black out" from 0400 UT, although the CNA data do not show higher absorption than during the 1300 UT event the day before. The same feature is seen on January 26, where hours without "black out" correspond to the hours with maximum absorption. This fact can perhaps be explained in that the short event during January 24 caused a very thin absorption layer which did not effect the 5-7 MHz signal from the vertical sounder but caused absorption at the 30 MHz riometer frequency.

January 26 data show again the common effect with stronger absorption during sun-light hours and lower during night hours, but not as pronounced as on January 25. During January 27 the general feature is that the absorption decreases, but auroral absorption seems to have large variations, most pronounced at Narssarssuaq, with the maximum between 0800 and 0900 UT corresponding to the "black out" observed at Narssarssuaq during the same hours (0500 and 0600 LMT).

As seen from the Narssarssuaq data, f-min is as high as 5 to 7 MHz between 1200 to 2100 UT on January 26, corresponding to 1.5 - 3 dB absorption on the 30 MHz riometer data.

On the f-plot January 26 from Narssarssuaq the solid curve represents the monthly median [Olesen and Taagholt, 1968] for foF2 from the same station's 1960 data. (This year is chosen because









Fig. 1. 30 MHz Riometer data from January event.




Godhavn


January 24




January 25



January 26




January 27, 1971




Narssarssuaq


January 24



January 25



January 26



January 27, 1971

Fig. 2. f-plots from Godhavn and Narssarssuaq for January event. Smooth curve on f-plot January 26 from Narssarssuaq is monthly median foF2 from 1960 data.



it is three years after sunspot maximum, as is 1971).

The data indicate that although the absorption is higher during the day, the critical frequency is observed because the  $f_oF2 > f_{min}$  only during the day hours.

#### REFERENCES

OLESEN, J. K. and  
J. TAAGHOLT

1968

Ionosphere Data 1951-1966 Narssarssuaq and Godhavn,  
Greenland, IONLAB Report R14, 1-64.



# Ionospheric Observations in Kiruna of the PCA Event of 24 January 1971

by

C. Jurén and J. Svennesson  
Kiruna Geophysical Observatory  
S-981 01 Kiruna 1, Sweden

## Introduction

Ionospheric observations from Kiruna which may be related to the outstanding PCA event of January 24, 1971, and the associated geomagnetic event are collected and presented in synoptic Figures. Reproductions of magnetometer and riometer records are given in separate Figures. Ionosonde records from observing stations other than Kiruna have been included in the synoptic Figures to show the latitudinal variations.

The coordinates of the stations are given in the table below:

|          | Geographic |           | Corrected geomagnetic <sup>+) </sup> |           |
|----------|------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
|          | Latitude   | Longitude | Latitude                             | Longitude |
| Kiruna   | 67.8°N     | 20.4°E    | 64.8°N                               | 104.2°E   |
| Lycksele | 64.6       | 18.7      | 61.7                                 | 100.6     |
| Uppsala  | 59.8       | 17.6      | 56.9                                 | 97.1      |

The riometer records from Kiruna are replaced by records from Down Range Station, ESRANGE, when, for longer periods, the riometer records in Kiruna are highly affected by radio interference. These records are marked with the letters DRS.

The ionosonde data used are from the bulletins published by the Research Institute of National Defense, Stockholm, Sweden.

The geographic coordinates of the transmitters of the VLF signals shown in this data report are as follows: NAA (17.8 kHz) 44.6°N, 67.3°W; NLK (18.6 kHz) 48.2°N, 121.9°W; and HAIKU (13.6 kHz) 21.4°N, 157.8°W.

## The PCA Event of 24 January 1971

The particle emitting flare was of importance 1B and occurred in the position N19 W50 with the onset time 2309 UT. The optical flare was associated with observations of an X-ray flare and type IV radio emission. A ground level increase of about 12% at Deep River started about half an hour later ( $\approx$  2340 UT). (The above data are taken from "Solar-Geophysical Data").

The PCA onset was observed in Kiruna at 2347 UT on the VLF NAA (17.8 kHz) - Kiruna signal. The proton event had a maximum on 25 January and declined slowly until normal conditions were reached around 2-3 February 1971. The ionospheric effects observed both by VLF and radio wave absorption were of medium intensity.

A moderately severe magnetic storm started with a sudden commencement on 27 January, 0430 UT and lasted until 29 January. The ionosphere remained moderately disturbed through February 1, 1971.

A general view of the event as observed in Kiruna is shown in Figure 1.

## VLF Propagation

The PCA event was observed as a phase advance and an amplitude decrease starting at 2347 UT on 24 January 1971 on the signal of frequency 18.6 kHz from NLK. The onset occurred during a geomagnetically moderately disturbed period when the phase was anomalously low.

A maximum phase advance of  $\approx$  35  $\mu$ s and a maximum amplitude decrease of  $\approx$  15 dB compared to the normal levels were observed before noon on 25 January. No signal was received between 1400 UT and 1800 UT on 25, 26 and 29 January owing to transmitter maintenance. The VLF signal recovery started about 1800 UT on 25 January and the normal phase and amplitude levels were reached around 2 February.

No effect was observed on VLF of the magnetic storm on 27-28 January.

<sup>+)</sup> G. Gustafsson, Arkiv för geofysik, 5, 595, 1970.




Fig. 1 Survey of ionospheric effects as recorded in Kiruna and nearby observatories. The foF2 plots are presented in the order of decreasing latitude.

The riometer absorption curve shows the maximum absorption during each hour. One-hour values of the critical frequency foF2 have been plotted. The hatched areas indicate periods when the critical frequency was not measured due to black-out, and empty areas correspond to periods when foF2 could not be measured for other reasons. The onset of the PCA is observed on the phase and amplitude records of the VLF signal.

## Radio Wave Absorption

The records of cosmic radio noise at 27.6 MHz show some auroral type absorption around midnight on 24-25 January. The common effect of a PCA, i.e. strong absorption during day and weak absorption during night, started around 0600 UT on 25 January and showed a maximum value of absorption of  $\approx 6$  dB around 1130 UT. However, very little absorption was recorded on January 26.

High values of absorption with large variations (auroral type) were observed from 27 January to 1 February. A smaller superimposed effect of PCA absorption is also observed during the main phase of the geomagnetic storm on 27 and 28 January. A maximum value of  $\approx 8$  dB was recorded during the magnetic bay  $\approx 2230$  UT on 28 January. The original riometer records are reproduced in Figure 2.

The absorption is manifested in the plots of the critical frequency of the F2-layer as black-out. A period of continuous black-out was observed in Kiruna between 25 January 0600 UT and 26 January 0300 UT, while only short periods of black-out occurred in Lycksele. The particle precipitation evidently reached further to the south after the sudden commencement on 27 January, and black-out then occurred more frequently in Lycksele. Black-out was recorded also in Uppsala on 28 January 2200 UT during the peak of geomagnetic activity.


The absorption observations indicate that the PCA effects moved to the north of Kiruna on 26 January after the time of the PCA maximum. The cut-off latitude was lowered after the sc of the magnetic storm and PCA effects were again seen in Kiruna and Lycksele on 27 and 28 January.

## Geomagnetic Activity

The PCA onset occurred during a moderately geomagnetically disturbed period around midnight on 24-25 January. After the geomagnetically extremely quiet day of January 26 (Kp sum 2+) a moderate geomagnetic storm started with sc on 27 January 0430 UT. The sudden commencement was followed by pulsations for several hours. A positive magnetic bay in the X-component started around 1230 UT and reached a maximum deflection of  $+340 \gamma$  from the Sq curve around 1345 UT. The positive bay changed into negative deflection around 1800 UT. At 2258 UT the X-component was deflected  $-600 \gamma$  and the Z-component  $+320 \gamma$  from the Sq curve. This indicates that the main activity occurred to the south of Kiruna.

The strongest geomagnetic disturbance was observed in Kiruna between 2200 and 2300 UT on 28 January. The magnetogram showed maximum deflections from the Sq curve of  $-830 \gamma$  for the X-component and  $+400 \gamma$  for the Z-component. The Y-component varied between large positive and negative deflections. It is concluded also in this case that the main activity occurred to the south of Kiruna which is also confirmed by ionosonde observations. During the following nights the magnetic activity in Kiruna was moderate (see Figure 1). Reproductions of the original Kiruna magnetograms are shown in Figure 3.

KIRUNA, VERTICAL RIOMETER 27.6 MHz  
1970



2a


KIRUNA. VERTICAL RIOMETER 27.6 MHz  
1970




2b

Fig. 2 Riometer records from Kiruna 27.6 MHz receiver. In case of severe interference in Kiruna, the less disturbed records of the Down Range Station (DRS) at ESRANGE, (27.6 MHz), have replaced the Kiruna records. The reproductions are extracted from "Kiruna Geophysical Data".

# KIRUNA, NORMAL MAGNETOGRAM




220




28-29 JANUARY 1971

## LOW SENSITIVITY RECORDING



3a





3b

Fig. 3 Kiruna normal magnetograms. For the most disturbed period, 28-29 January, the record of a low sensitive magnetometer is added to the normal magnetograms.

Report on Ionospheric and Whistler Activity at the Panská Ves and Průhonice  
Observatories on January 24, 1971

by

P. Triska, F. Jiricek and J. Lastovicka  
Geophysical Institute  
Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences  
Prague, Czechoslovakia

The ionospheric measurements made at the Panská Ves (50°32'N, 14°34'E) and Průhonice (49°59'N, 14°33'E) Observatories during the proton flare event of January 24, 1971 were not very fruitful. The ionosonde at Průhonice was not functioning. The main part of this event accompanied by an X-ray burst occurred at night. In the morning on January 25 the SID-monitoring records no longer exhibited any flare influence nor any irregular or unusual phenomena. Usable and reliable data were obtained only from absorption (A3; LF and HF) and whistler measurements.

The HF-absorption measurements show that the day-time absorption on 25, 26 and 27 January was very close to the monthly median values of absorption, i.e., these days were free of the excessive winter absorption. In such a case the LF night-time absorption data can give us direct information about the proton flare influence on the lower ionosphere. The general night-time absorption characteristics for both measuring paths (272 kHz - reflection point 49°34'N, 16°03'E; 185 kHz - reflection point 51°09'N, 14°06'E) are given in the following Table:

| Night   | 24/25                        | 25/26                                  | 26/27  | 27/28    |
|---------|------------------------------|--|--------|----------|
| 272 kHz | small rise<br>after midnight | B <sub>0</sub> 1825-2050 UT;<br>normal | normal | normal   |
| 185 kHz | normal                       | normal                                 | normal | increase |

A small absorption rise at 272 kHz after midnight 24/25 January is not confirmed by absorption measurements at 185 kHz. The bay-like absorption disturbance (B<sub>0</sub>) observed at 272 kHz on 25/26 seems unlikely to be connected with the proton flare event, because it is confirmed neither by our 185 kHz measurements nor by multi-frequency measurements made at Kuhlungsborn [HHI, 1971]. Both these effects are perhaps connected with the period of geomagnetic storminess (SSC - 1930 UT, 24 January according to NOAA [1971] or they are more or less of random origin. An enhancement of absorption on the night of 27/28 January and during the following nights is due to the magnetic storm which started at 0430 UT on January 27. Thus our LF-absorption data lead to the conclusion that the proton flare event of 24 January exhibited no detectable effect in the night-time lower ionosphere above Central Europe.

The VLF observations are made at the Panská Ves Observatory in the frequency range of 0.9 - 12 kHz between 50 - 52 minutes of each hour. According to these observations the VLF activity in the interval of 24 - 27 January was lower than the average activity. Thus it is not possible to follow the variation of the electron-whistlers dispersion during the three days following the proton flare event. The dispersion of about 60 s<sup>2</sup> as observed on January 25 in the afternoon can be considered to be normal according to the statistical results from long term observations at Panská Ves [Jiricek, 1970; Jiricek, 1971]. Only the VLF emission occurring on 25 January 0650 - 0652 UT could be considered as a manifestation of disturbed conditions. It was a relatively weak chorus in the frequency range of 1.5 - 3.5 kHz.

REFERENCES

- |                         |      |  |
|-------------------------|------|--|
| HEINRICH-HERTZ INSTITUT | 1971 | HHI Geophys. Data, 22, January 1971, Berlin.   |
| JIRICEK, F.             | 1970 | On the Determination of Propagation Paths of Mid-Latitude Whistlers for Purposes of Estimating the Magnetospheric Electron Density, <u>Studia geoph. geod.</u> , 14, 402 - 413.                |
| JIRICEK, F.             | 1971 | Whistler Activity in Central Europe during the Period of Increasing Solar Activity from 1964 to 1968, <u>Trav. Inst. Geophys. Acad. Tcheosl. Sci.</u> 1969, No. 313, 281-289, Academia, Praha. |
| NOAA                    | 1971 | Solar-Geophysical Data, 319 Part I, U.S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302).  |

The Ionospheric Disturbances over Japan Associated with Solar Flare  
on January 24 and Geomagnetic Storm from January 27 to February 1, 1971

by

Yugoro Takenoshita  
Akita Radio Wave Observatories, Radio Research Laboratories  
Akita-Shi, Akita-Ken, Japan

This short note consists of two parts. The first part contains some development of HF wave absorption and of height variation of the D-region in the ionosphere associated with a solar flare on January 24, 1971, and the second part contains traveling ionospheric disturbances from January 27 to February 1, 1971 during geomagnetic disturbed interval.

Field intensities of JJY (2.5 MHz and 5 MHz) have been measured at Akita Radio Wave Observatories. The field intensities of JJY on both frequencies at Akita suddenly decreased lower than threshold at 0809 UT on January 25, 1971 (135° EMT) when a solar flare occurred. The most severe absorption might be more than 30 dB on both frequencies. They returned to measurable level after two hours on 5 MHz and after one and a half hours on 2.5 MHz, and recovered to normal level about seven hours after the event occurred.

TABLE 1

Particulars of the Transmitter and Receiver, JJY (5 MHz and 2.5 MHz)

Transmitters

|          |                                       |
|----------|---------------------------------------|
| Location | Koganei, Lat. 35°42'N, Long. 139°31'E |
| Power    | 2 kW                                  |
| Antenna  | Vertical, half-wave length            |
| Distance | 450 km                                |

Receivers

|             |                                      |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| Location    | Akita, Lat. 39°44'N, Long. 140°08'E  |
| Antenna     | Vertical, a quarter of a wave length |
| Bandwidth   | 750 Hz at 2.5 MHz, 6 kHz at 5 MHz    |
| Calibration | Once a week                          |

Furthermore, the field intensity and phase of a low frequency wave at 40 kHz (JG2AS) transmitted at Kemigawa station were measured at Akita. The phase height of the D-region where an LF wave is reflected can be estimated from the measured phase and field intensity. When the solar flare occurred, the measured phase and field intensity at 40 kHz suddenly changed their values. It was estimated that the phase height of the D-region dropped down more than 20 kilometers. This phase height did not recover to normal height until the intensities of JJY returned to their normal level seven hours after the flare occurred. Figure 1 shows the progress of the JJY intensities and the phase height at 40 kHz.

TABLE 2

Particulars of the Transmitter and Receiver, JG2AS (40 kHz)

Transmitter

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| Location | Kemigawa, Lat. 35°38'N, Long. 140°04'E |
| Power    | 1 kW                                   |
| Antenna  | Vertical, head loading                 |
| Distance | 450 km                                 |

Receiver

|                |                                     |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Location       | Akita, Lat. 39°43'N, Long. 140°08'E |
| Antenna        | Loop                                |
| Bandwidth      | 500 Hz                              |
| Calibration    | Once a week                         |
| Phase          |                                     |
| Correspondence | 4/1000 cycle                        |

After about fifty-three hours from the event, a geomagnetic storm, accompanied with sudden commencement, began at 0430 UT on January 27. This storm did not develop as severely. Besides, the northern hemisphere was in winter at this period, and the ionospheric electron density did not


generally decrease very much. Short-term variations of the electron density in the F-region, however, were observed during this geomagnetic storm. The periods of electron density variation varied from two to four hours. The diurnal variation of foF2 on January 26 was very typical of the very quiet geomagnetic field. After January 26, however, short-term variations were frequently observed in the same manner at all four ionospheric observatories in Japan. If one compares the time of maximum foF2 among the different observatories, it was found that the ionospheric disturbance traveled over Japan. It was, however, sometimes difficult to find correspondence among each time of maximum foF2 at the different observatories, because it seemed that several disturbances were superposed one on the other. Some combinations of times of maximum foF2 at three selected observatories were used to estimate the traveling speed of the disturbances, when the ionospheric disturbances seemed to be isolated from one another. Each estimated speed is shown in Figure 2 at time (UT) corresponding to observation at Akita. Figure 2 shows also geomagnetic activity by means of Kp (geomagnetic planetary index). It is necessary to explain two points. The first point is that each observation was made every quarter of an hour. This sampling speed appears rather slow considering the observatory network. The second point is that the disturbances with speeds of about 350 meters sec<sup>-1</sup> and 10 meters sec<sup>-1</sup> are in the same category as T.I.D.s.

Nevertheless, Figure 2 indicates that speeds of T.I.D. followed geomagnetic activity, reaching high speeds when the geomagnetic activity was at a high level.

TABLE 3

Radio Wave Observatories of Ionospheric Observation in Japan

| Observatories | Latitude  | Longitude  | Observatories | Latitude  | Longitude  |
|---------------|-----------|------------|---------------|-----------|------------|
| Wakkanai      | 45°23.6'N | 141°41.1'E | Kokubunji     | 35°42.2'N | 139°29.3'E |
| Akita         | 39°43.5'N | 140°08.2'E | Yamagawa      | 31°12.1'N | 130°37.1'E |






Figure 1. Some of the SIDs associated with a solar flare beginning at 0809 on January 25, 1971 in 135° EMT.

.....: 24, —: 25, - - -: 26 in January, 1971

## Lower Ionosphere Affected by Proton Event

by

K. Bibl

Lowell Technological Institute Research Foundation

At the Maynard, Massachusetts, ionospheric station of Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, a digital ionosonde has been measuring pulse amplitudes as function of frequency every quarter of an hour since 1 November 1970. Digitally integrated output data are, after recording on magnetic tape, compressed by computer processing and displayed with a special digital font printer as multidimensional patterns of which Figure 1 is an example. The upper boundary of the dark area is the top frequency (mostly  $f_x F_2$ ) of the overhead F-region ionization while the lower boundary represents  $f_b E_s$  or  $f_{min}$  respectively.

The readable numbers represent the maximum amplitudes of the echo traces in the height range between 150 and 650 km. Between consecutive numbers lie 5 dB differential absorption on this representation. But on the tapes two additional less significant binary bits are available for inspection. Thus the amplitude resolution is one dB.

Although the absolute calibration of the frequency dependence of the amplitudes was not always maintained over the year, the method is excellent for day-to-day and short-term variation in absorption because it monitors continuously about 100 frequencies.

This outstanding frequency diversity gives the erratically varying amplitude measurements sufficient significance to discover even small changes in absorption. On 24 January 1971 a large increase in absorption due to increase in ionization of the lower ionosphere occurred during the ground proton event long after local sunset. The absorption reached a good fraction of the daytime value. In Figure 2 the difference between the amplitude value at 1815 75° WMT (2315 UT) and the average of the two adjacent quarter-hour measurements has been plotted for every second monitored frequency.

An increase of absorption for this quarter hour by about 12 dB at 2.0 MHz decreasing to 1 dB at 7 MHz is apparent, although the variation around a steadily decreasing frequency-dependent attenuation function is large. This large variation is a normal feature of absorption measurement due to interaction of several modes with almost equal amplitude and delay. In this common case the phase relation of the different modes determine the momentary total amplitude and lead to a high variance in the frequency dependence of the amplitudes.

The strong enhancement in absorption during a short time is certainly surprising. Synoptic studies must be undertaken to determine if protons not following the line of sight are responsible for the ionization of the lower ionosphere or if the atmosphere is penetrated twice in grazing incidence by the high-energetic protons.

In contrast to this relatively short event which made simple analysis possible the ground proton event of 1 September 1971 was by far more extended in time and did not show a clear time pattern of the absorption.



Fig. 1. GROUND PROTON EVENT AT 1809 75 WMT 24 JAN 1971  
DIURNAL AMPLITUDES AND  $f_t$  (F(T)) AT MAYNARD, MASS.

ABSORPTION MEASUREMENTS  
AT MAYNARD, MASS.

DATE: 24 JAN 1971

TIME: 2315 UT




Fig. 2. Difference between the amplitude value at 2315 UT (1815 75°WMT) and the average of the two adjacent quarter-hour measurements for every second monitored frequency.



"Ionospheric Characteristics Associated with  
the Solar Activity of January 24, 1971 at Manila"

by

J. J. Hennessey, S. J. and Florencio Rafael, Jr.  
Manila Observatory  
Manila, Philippines

Despite the well known vagaries of the F-region of the ionosphere, ionospheric characteristics generally have a definite dependence on the elevation of the sun. These effects have a regularity in their diurnal pattern which can be disrupted to a greater or less degree by unusual solar activity. The extent of disruption by a solar flare should, in some way, be related to the local time of the event. The solar event of 2309 UT, 24 January 1971 (0709 LT, 25 January 1971 at Manila) occurred about an hour after sunrise. As this flare time corresponds to the start of the diurnal appearance of the regular E-layer and the period of continued increase of the F-layer from its pre-dawn dip, the flare-induced variations at Manila deserve consideration.

Since the F-region was not totally "blackened out" by the effects of the solar radiation on the lower ionospheric regions the ionograms successively provide a description by implication of the changes in the lower regions. Some notable features which appear and claim our attention in the F-region are: a) post-flare variations in foF2; b) recovery of the F-layer; c) restoration of multiple echoes; d) bifurcation; e) hpF2 values. The E-region recovery is also informative of the lower ionosphere regions.

a) Post-flare variations in foF2. Fortunately during a few hours after this event ionograms were taken at five-minute rather than the usual fifteen-minute intervals. For comparison of critical frequency F2 variations, the medians of the corresponding time values of three days before and three days after were taken (See Fig. 1). Just before the start of the flare at 2309 UT there is the expected agreement. The pre-dawn dips are quite comparable though the event day has a slightly lower value occurring fifteen minutes later than the control day values. During the first hour a slight increase in ionization density over that of the median becomes apparent. For example, at 2330 UT the flare day value of this density is greater than the control day median by approximately 26 percent. A rapid increase in the slope of the curve, of critical frequency versus time, is clearly noted. Just prior to the start of the flare, i. e. at 2300 UT, the flare day value is 17 percent greater. By 0000 UT the corresponding density values are close to one another.

Thereafter from 0000 to 0130 UT (25 January) the ionization densities show a definite increase much beyond the corresponding values of any of the control days. There is an evident concentration of additional electrons in the F2-layer. However the diurnal trend is maintained so that from 0130 UT the F2 critical frequencies decrease in value to a low of 9.2 MHz an hour after local noon. A rise in values follows in the next four hours to 12.5 MHz at 0900 UT.

In Summary then, the diurnal F2-layer trend is not greatly disrupted by this early daylight flare. But the ionization density following the start of the flare rises to greater than normal values. The time rate of drop, thereafter, is more rapid at its start from a higher value than those of the control days, and at its end is at a much lower value. (See Fig. 1).

b) Recovery of the F-layer. The most noticeable feature of the ionograms after the start of the flare is twofold: the absence of usual radio noise (this does not affect numerical characteristics) and the high f-min values. At 2332 UT the minimum frequency value for the F-trace was 5.4 MHz taken from the polaroid and at 2337 UT from the film ionogram. (See Fig. 2)

A careful reading of minimum frequency values for the F-region at 5-minute intervals manifests a general but not smooth decrease. At 2355 UT the f-min was 5.6 MHz, i. e. 0.6 MHz greater than the f-min on the previous record. By 25/0215 UT with the appearance of the definite E-layer the f-min, now being in the E-region, further decreases. By 0645 UT the f-min values have decreased to frequencies comparable with those of the control days.




FIG. 1.  $f_oF_2$  vs median of control days.




FIG. 2  $f^oF_2$  -PLOT FOR F2 AND  $f^oF_{min}$ .

c) Restoration of Multiple Echoes in the F-region. The existence of multiple echoes of the F-trace is an indication that lower regions do not completely absorb or totally reflect the radiated energy. Hence this vertical incidence energy at high frequencies passes through the lower regions several times. Before the solar event, at 24/2301 UT at least three multiple echoes can be seen on the expanded scale record. Other records show multiple echoes, i. e. at 2300 UT on regular gain two additional echoes appear. Quite interestingly the 2315 UT ionogram still shows two multiple echoes indicating that the X-rays have not yet adequately ionized the D- and E-regions for absorption. The next ionogram at 2337 UT shows no multiple echo but high absorption. Only at 25/0120 UT does a weak multiple echo occur at twice the virtual height of the regular F-trace. A study of the next eight ionograms taken at five minute intervals shows variation: for example, the multiple echo at 0125 UT is weaker than the one at 0120 UT. (The diurnal gain setting of the ionosonde recorder remained the same during this period.) A weaker multiple trace has a shorter frequency range. The 0135 UT and 0140 UT records manifest multiples extending to higher frequencies but not as high as foF2. This restoration of multiple echoes for the F-region gives evidence that the lower ionosphere does not lose its ionization linearly with time during the recovery period.

d) Bifurcation of the F-layer. After the event starts in the ionosphere only the highest frequencies of the F-region appear on the ionograms. At 2337 UT frequencies from 5.4 MHz to 9.0 MHz can be seen. As early as 25/0040 UT the F1 layer shows indistinctly but is missing on subsequent ionograms for 25 minutes. At 25/0130 UT the F2 layer is fully formed and the F1 layer comes in but in an "L" condition preventing a definite foF1 value. So the F-region is stratified but not sharply so.

By 0159 UT the F1 trace is formed and at its low frequency end shows a descent in virtual height with increase in frequency. This is due to E-region retardation. The ionosonde is now able to expose the full F-trace.

e) hpF2 values. In the hour before the flare hpF2 was lower than the corresponding control day median but not lower than the values on particular days. About four hours after the flare the hpF2 values exceed those on any of the control days. Due to retardation this F2 layer seems to depart from a parabolic layer.

The E-region recovery. Six minutes (2315 UT) after the start of the flare the E-region (on an otherwise complete ionogram) appears to show a slightly higher f-min than that for corresponding control days. This may be transitional in the formation of the D-region. Immediately subsequent ionograms show values for f-min of 5.4 MHz (See Table I) with a gradual restoration of the E-layer over

Table I. Recovery Times

| Appearance of   | Time (UT) | Time After 2309 | Appearance of | Time (UT) | Time After 2309 | Time (UT) | f-min (MHz) |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|
| F1 layer        | 0040      | 1h 31m          | E layer       | 0140      | 2h 31m          | 2300      | 1.8         |
| F1 reappearance | 0105      | 1h 56m          | Es type h     | 0201      | 2h 52m          | 2315      | 2.1         |
|                 |           |                 |               |           |                 | 2337      | 5.4         |
| F1 complete     | 0159      | 3h 50m          | Es type l     | 0230      | 3h 20m          | 0215      | 3.5         |
| F-1 1/2         | 0330      | 4h 21m          | Es type c     | 0359      | 4h 52m          | 0645      | 2.1         |

a six hour period. The normal E-layer appears but very faintly at 0140 UT and disappears at 0155 UT. At 0215 UT it grows stronger and remains throughout the day. From 0201 the sporadic E appears and types h, l and c are manifest during the next two hours. These times and conditions indicate how the D-region has affected the higher E-region.

Mid-Latitude Total Electron Content during Cosmic Ray Event January 25-26, 1971


by

J. A. Klobuchar  
Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories  
Bedford, Massachusetts

and

M. J. Mendillo  
Astronomy Department, Boston University  
Boston, Massachusetts

Continuous measurements of the ionospheric total electron content (TEC) using the Faraday rotation technique are routinely made from Sagamore Hill, Hamilton, Massachusetts, by monitoring the VHF signal from the geostationary satellite, ATS-3. The TEC of the mid-latitude ionosphere consists mainly of the integrated electron densities of the F-region; that is, the lower layers contribute a negligible amount to the total. The equivalent vertical TEC values for January 25-26, 1971 are shown in the Figure below. The dashed curves give the monthly median behavior for the month. The small vertical arrow indicates the approximate time of the commencement of ground level cosmic ray increase. The January period was magnetically quiet, as indicated by the  $A_p$  values in the Figure. Geomagnetic storms typically cause large scale changes in TEC which last several days while large solar flares produce effects of much smaller magnitude and shorter duration. For this period, however, no changes occurred in TEC which could be directly associated with the cosmic ray increase.



EQUIVALENT VERTICAL TOTAL ELECTRON CONTENT OBSERVED FROM SAGAMORE HILL,  
HAMILTON, MASS.

# Polar Cap Disturbance of January 24, 1971, Observed on the Phase of VLF Waves

by

Y. Hakura  
Radio Research Laboratories  
Koganei, Tokyo, Japan

T. Ishii, T. Asakura, and Y. Terajima  
Inubo Radio Wave Observatory  
Radio Research Laboratories  
Choshi, Chiba, Japan

Phase measurements with a cesium frequency standard of VLF waves propagating over great distances have been made at Inubo Radio Wave Observatory, Choshi, Chiba, Japan ( $35^{\circ}42'N$ ,  $140^{\circ}52'E$ ). Among them, transpolar VLF waves provide a very sensitive method of detecting solar proton events at the middle latitude [Nakajima et al., 1970]. The transpolar signal paths for NAA-17.8 kHz, GBR-16.0 kHz, and WWVL-20.0 kHz are shown in Figure 1, in which the corrected geomagnetic latitudes of  $60^{\circ}$  and  $70^{\circ}$  are shown by two elliptical lines.




Fig. 1. Transpolar signal propagational paths.

Figure 2 shows solar proton flux with energy greater than 10 Mev [Solar-Geophysical Data, December, 1971], phase deviations in the NAA and GBR signals from their calm levels, and geomagnetic Kp indices on January 22 through February 4, 1971. A major polar cap disturbance started at about 2340 UT on January 24, in association with the solar proton event observed by the satellite Explorer 41. The Kp-associated phase deviations are also seen. Occurrence times of optical, radio, and x-ray flares, associated sudden phase anomalies SPAs, polar cap disturbances PCDs and proton event are shown in Table 1.




Fig.2 Polar Cap Disturbance of January 24, 1971

Table 1

SPA's and PCD's observed at Inubo and related solar-terrestrial events of January 24, 1971

| Event   |                                 | Start time | Max. time | End    | Phase deviation, or<br>Importance, or<br>Flux                    |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|--|
| SPA   | NAA-17.8 kHz                    | 24/2308    | 2327      | 2336D  | 67°  |
|   | WWVL-20.0 kHz                   | 2310       | 2341      | 0123   | 126°   |
| Optical flare ( N18, W49 in<br>McMath plage region 11128) |                                 | 2215       | 2331      | 0020   | 3B   |
| Radio burst at 3750 MHz<br>( Toyokawa )                   |                                 | 2303       | 2324      | 2355   | $3.45 \times 10^{-19} \text{ w m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$          |
| X-ray (1-8 Å) burst<br>Explorer 37                        |                                 | 2304E      | 2347      | 0953   | $0.49 \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$                      |
| PCD   | NAA-17.8 kHz                    | 24/2338    | 25/0312   | 01/ 22 | 261°   |
|   | GBR-16.0 kHz                    | 2341       | 0444      | 15     | 195°   |
| Solar<br>proton   | Explorer 41 ( E>30 Mev)         | 2400E      | 07        | 03     | $408 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1} \text{ ster}^{-1}$<br>1152 |
|   | ( E>10 Mev)                     | 2400E      | 14        | 17     |  |
|   | ATS 1 ( 20 - 40 Mev )           | 2340       |           |        | 12 % above background  |
|   | Neutron monitor (Deep<br>River) | 2340       | 0010      |        |  |

D = after  
E = before

## REFERENCES

NAKAJIMA, T., 1970  
T. ISHII,  
K TSUCHIYA,  
A. SAKURAZAWA and  
Y. HAKURA

Results of special observations for the Proton Flare  
Project 1969: Polar cap disturbance of June 7, 1969,  
observed on the phase of VLF waves, J. Radio Res. Labs.,  
Japan, 17, 49-54.

1971

Solar-Geophysical Data, 328 Part II, December 1971,  
U.S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado,  
U.S.A. 80302), 68-79.



# The Effects of Solar Proton Event and Associated Geomagnetic Disturbance on the Phase of VLF Signals Received at Leicester, UK

by

J. W. Chapman and R. E. Evans  
Department of Physics  
Univeristy of Leicester  
Leicester, U. K.

## Introduction

This paper presents the effects of the period of enhanced solar proton flux and geomagnetic disturbance on the phase, recorded at Leicester, UK, of VLF radio signals propagated over medium and long distance paths. Details of the transmissions are given below.

| <u>Transmitter</u> | <u>Frequency (kHz)</u> | <u>Path Length (km)</u> |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| NAA                | 17.8                   | 4900                    |
| Trinidad           | 12.0                   | 7200                    |

The NAA-Leicester path lies between geomagnetic latitudes ( $\Phi$ ) of  $55^\circ$  to  $60^\circ$ , while ( $\phi$ ) is less than  $40^\circ$  for about half the Trinidad-Leicester path and hence charged particle effects might be expected to have the least influence on the signals for this circuit.

## The Disturbance of January-February 1971

Figure 1 shows the observed phase path variations for the period 24 January - 13 February 1971, together with other relevant data taken from the NOAA Bulletins of "Solar-Geophysical Data". A marked disturbance occurs on the NAA phase, the effect being larger at night than by day so that the amplitude of the diurnal phase variation is considerably reduced. The initial effect commences with the onset of enhanced proton flux, producing a phase advance of about  $0.15 \lambda$  on the nights of 25-26 and 26-27 January. The transmitter was off from 1400 to 1800 UT on 25 and 26 January so that the effect on the daytime phase is uncertain, but appears to be very small. The major effect is associated with the occurrence of the magnetic storm having sudden commencement at 0430 UT on 27 January. The daytime phase is advanced by up to  $0.2 \lambda$  on 27 January, while the night-time phase advance is almost  $0.5 \lambda$  on the night of 27-28, when there are also marked phase fluctuations. The overall effect at night is substantially the same until 30-31 January, and then a slow recovery begins which is not complete until at least 9 February, long after the disappearance of the magnetic disturbance. The maximum daytime phase advance of about  $0.25 \lambda$  does not occur until 30 January at the earliest (phase advance due to enhanced solar x-radiation makes the daytime effect uncertain), while recovery is complete by 8 February.

The effects on the Trinidad phase appear to be very similar to those for NAA, though as expected they are smaller. There is little or no change immediately following the increase in proton flux, but the sudden commencement is followed by an advance in both night-time and day-time phase. This effect is more marked at night (maximum  $0.2 \lambda$  on 27-28 January) and again recovering more slowly than the disturbance in the magnetic field. Unfortunately there is a gap in the data between 3 and 8 February so that the recovery time is uncertain, but recovery is probably complete earlier than for NAA.

## Discussion

The effects of the disturbance of January-February 1971 are very similar to those obtained for the same paths in March 1970 [Jones, 1971], although that event probably did not extend to such low latitudes since the perturbation of the Trinidad phase was smaller. There are separate effects due to the initial solar proton event and to the arrival of the lower energy plasma associated with the sudden commencement magnetic storm, and the well known "storm after-effect" is also present. Similar behavior is seen on other high and medium latitude long path records, e.g. (i) the October-November 1968 event on NSS-Leicester [Jones, 1970]; (ii) the same event for NPG (now NLK), Hawaii and Trinidad-Tromsø [Larsen, 1970]; (iii) the February 1965 event on NPG-Farnborough [Belrose, 1968].

The reason for the after-effect is not yet understood. The most obvious possibility is the continued precipitation of charged particles into the atmosphere. Lauter and Knuth [1967] have proposed steady leakage of high energy electrons from the outer radiation belt which has been over-filled by the solar plasma during the strong compression of the earth's field. Alternatively, a change in atmospheric structure has been suggested by Belrose [1964], the change in composition occurring at auroral latitudes at the time of the storm and the delayed effect arising because of the time of travel to mid-latitudes. Yet another explanation of delayed effects has been proposed by Volland [1967] in terms of heat conduction waves originating in the magnetosphere.




Fig.1. DATA FOR THE JANUARY-FEBRUARY 1971 EVENT. (a) and (b) VLF PHASE; (c) Kp; (d) and (e) ATS-1 SOLAR PROTON FLUX.

# REFERENCES

- BELROSE, J. S. 1964 The Oblique Reflection of Low Frequency Radio Waves from the Ionosphere, AGARDograph 74: Propagation of Radio Waves at Frequencies below 300 kc/s, (Ed. W. T. BLACKBAND, Pergamon Press), 149.
- BELROSE, J. S. 1968 Low and Very Low Frequency Radio Wave Propagation, AGARD Lecture Series 29, Radio Wave Propagation, IV-25.
- JONES, T. B. 1970 Long Path VLF Observations of the Ionospheric Disturbances Resulting from the Solar Proton Event of October-November 1968, World Data Center A, Upper Atmosphere Geophysics, Report UAG-8, 282.
- JONES, T. B. 1971 VLF Radiowave Observations of Ionospheric Disturbances during the Period 4-18th March, 1970, World Data Center A, Upper Atmosphere Geophysics, Report UAG-12, 247.
- LARSEN, T. R. 1970 VLF Phase and Amplitude Measurement during the PCA Event October 31-November 6, 1968, World Data Center A, Upper Atmosphere Geophysics, Report UAG-8, 279.
- LAUTER, E. A. and R. KNUTH 1967 Particle precipitation at medium latitudes after magnetic storms, J. Atmosph. Terr. Phys., 29, 411.
- VOLLAND, H. 1967 Heat Conduction Waves in the Upper Atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 72, 2831.
- 1971 Solar-Geophysical Data, 318 Part I, February 1971; 319 Part I, March 1971; 320 Part I, April 1971, U.S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302).

by

G. Nestorov and P. Velinov  
Geophysical Institute  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Sofia, Bulgaria

## Introduction

There exist two different types of influence of solar particles on the ionosphere during solar flare activity. The first type is determined by high energy particles of dozens of MeV energy or even more. In the case of more powerful flares even relativistic solar cosmic rays are generated with energy in the BeV range as is the case of January 24, 1971. This influence usually coincides with SID effects of solar electromagnetic radiation and involves the ionosphere at all latitudes. Shortly after these arrive, the main flux of MeV particles and the well-known PCA phenomena begin.

Usually about two days after that, further disturbances arise as a result of the soft particle emission during the flare. This second type of event is comparatively long-lived and often results in ionospheric and geomagnetic storm activity. Normally this second type effect is much more frequent - the soft energy particles result from almost every powerful flare. Only the important flares generate high energy particles; relativistic cosmic rays are generally rarely generated.

The ground-level cosmic ray increase on January 24, 1971 was caused by high energy solar particles and relativistic solar cosmic rays, while on January 27 a geomagnetic storm accompanied by particle precipitation occurred, i.e. during the studied period January 24 - February 3, 1971 are clearly demonstrated all types of influences of the solar particles on the ionosphere. Furthermore, the main effect takes place during the night of January 24 - 25 when the influence of the electromagnetic solar radiation is absent. It should be emphasized that the solar cosmic ray effect we investigated on May 4, 1960, which took place at local noontime, required the separation of SID contributions from the effect of the particles [Nestorov and Velinov, 1966]. That is why the investigation of the solar particle influence on January 24 under purely night conditions is especially of interest to us. For this purpose in this paper we shall present the radio wave observations about this effect and about the period after it, as well as certain qualitative and quantitative interpretations of the corresponding complex of phenomena.

## Solar Cosmic Ray and High Energy Particle Effects on the Low Ionosphere

The sudden increase of the cosmic ray flux on January 24, 1971, 2330 UT observed by a number of stations [Solar-Geophysical Data] was attended by ionospheric anomalies in the middle geomagnetic latitudes. At the Ionospheric Observatory Sofia (42.6°N, 23.4°E) various effects in the range of long, medium and short radio waves were recorded.

In the night of January 24 - 25 was observed a great increase of the absorption of the frequency 164 kHz, path length 1720 km. The height of the signal reflection in the night is  $h \leq 90$  km, while the equivalent frequency  $f_i = f \cos i \approx 25$  kHz (i.e. the path can be related to the conditions of propagation of VLF; "i" is the angle of incidence). As can be shown by the lower part of Figure 1 the excessive absorption  $\Delta L$  starts somewhat before 2200 UT on January 24 while at the maximum cosmic ray flux (around 2400 UT) it reaches 15 dB. The time course of the absorption  $L_{164}$  is shown by a heavy line and dots while the signal fluctuations as the result of the polarizing and interferential fading are marked by vertical dotted lines. The course of the monthly median values  $L_{med}$  is shown by small circles and dashes. In the upper part of Figure 1 is shown the time course of the cosmic ray flux of the Deep River Neutron Monitor [Solar-Geophysical Data]. It can be seen that the absorption anomaly reaches its maximum about two hours after the cosmic ray flux. The anomaly ends shortly after 0400 UT.

The sudden and considerable increase of the absorption on 164 kHz on January 24 - 25, 1971, is due to the excessive nondeviating absorption in the cosmic ray layer as a result of the increase of the primary cosmic ray flux after 2330 UT on January 24. The additional absorption is a measure for the increase of the electron production rate in CR layer [Nestorov and Velinov, 1966]. Since the ionization can be obtained in an independent way by the increased cosmic radiation, a possibility opens up for a comparison of the calculated results by an ionospheric as well as by a direct method.

Under the influence of the relativistic solar cosmic rays arising as an additional ionizing source in the night CR layer, the electron production rate  $q$  will increase by  $\delta q$ . The relative variation  $\delta q/q$  can be determined by the data of the variation of the nondeviating absorption of the radio waves  $\delta L/L$  passing through the night CR layer and reflected by the higher situated E-layer [Velinov, 1968 and 1971]:

$$\frac{\delta q}{q} = \frac{\delta L}{L} \left( 2 + \frac{\delta L}{L} \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $L$  is the mean absorption in the quiet period (in our case we take the median values of  $L$ ),  $\delta L$  is the change of the absorption from the level of the median values.

On the other hand  $\delta q/q$  could be calculated according to the equation of the variation of the electron production rate of the height of  $h$ :

$$\frac{\delta q(h)}{q(h)} = \frac{\delta \rho(h)}{\rho(h)} - \delta R_C W_q(R) + \int_{R_C}^{\infty} W_q(R) \frac{\delta n(R)}{n(R)} dR \quad (2)$$

where  $\delta \rho/\rho$  and  $\delta n/n$  are relative variations of the atmospheric density and differential spectrum of primary cosmic rays, respectively;  $\delta R_C$  is the change of geomagnetic cutoff rigidity;  $W_q(R)$  is the coefficient relating the variation of  $\delta q/q$  to  $\delta R_C$ , and to  $\int (\delta n/n) dR$ . If  $\delta q/q$  is measured in percentage, and  $R_C$  in BV, then  $W_q(R)$  is measure in  $\% \text{ BV}^{-1}$ . The analytical aspect of  $W_q(R)$  is found by Velinov [1971]:

$$W_q(R) \approx \frac{\gamma-1}{R} \quad (3)$$




Fig. 1. The variations of the cosmic rays, high energy protons and absorption 164 kHz during the night of January 24 - 25, 1971.

where  $\gamma \approx 2.5$  is the power of the differential spectrum of cosmic rays  $n(R) = KR^{-\gamma}$ . Equation (2) is analogous to the equation for the CR intensity variation [Dorman, 1963]. In accordance with equation (2) the electron production rate variations may be divided into three classes corresponding to the three classes of CR variations: I Class - variations of the atmospheric density, II Class - geomagnetic variations and III Class - variations of the primary cosmic rays. The III Class is the biggest and most important and interesting class of variations which are of extraterrestrial origin. They are connected with the change of the energetic spectrum far from the Earth. In our case of January 24 - 25, the penetration of the relativistic solar cosmic rays has been attended by moderate geomagnetic disturbances as the 3-hour Kp index reaches the value 3 - 4. This shows that all the terms of the equation (2) must be taken into account.

First of all we shall determine the Class III variations, starting with the increase of cosmic ray intensity and apply the method of connecting coefficients [Dorman, 1963]. For this purpose we shall make use of the neutron component of the cosmic rays recorded at stations with geomagnetic cutoff rigidity  $R_c$  close to cutoff in the reflection point of the signal 164 kHz, where  $R_c = 5$  BV:

|             |                  |                                |
|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Pic-du-Midi | $R_c = 5.6$ BV,  | $\delta N_n/N_n \approx 2\%$   |
| Dallas      | $R_c = 4.35$ BV, | $\delta N_n/N_n \approx 1.3\%$ |

From these data by means of the equation:

$$\frac{\delta N_n}{N_n} = \int_{R_c}^{\infty} W_{CR}(R) \frac{\delta n(R)}{n(R)} dR \quad (4)$$

( $W_{CR}$  is the connecting coefficient between the primary and the secondary cosmic ray variations) may be determined the function  $\delta n(R)/n(R)$  which substituted in equation (2) gives the Class III variations

$$(\delta q/q)_{III} \approx 0.1$$

Taking into consideration the moderate geomagnetic disturbance in which the 3 hour Kp index reaches  $Kp \approx 3 - 4$  (i.e.  $\Delta H \approx 50 \gamma$ ) can be determined the Class II variation [Velinov, 1971]:

$$(\delta q/q)_{II} \approx 0.04$$

For Class I variation we have no data but there are certain facts about the growing density of the atmosphere  $\rho$  so that we can obtain for the total variation

$$(\delta q/q)_I \leq 0.2$$

This value, however, gives an insufficient explanation of the ionospheric disturbances on January 24 - 25. Thus, for instance, on January 25, 0000 UT  $L = 9$  dB,  $\Delta L = 10$  dB, whence according to (1)

$$\delta q/q = 3.4$$

and after 2 - 3 hours is reached the value of

$$\delta q/q \approx 10,$$

i.e. the relativistic solar cosmic rays lack a flux of about 2 orders, in order to explain the observed ionospheric effect. That is why we should expect the existence of another more powerful source of ionization in the high atmosphere at a height below 90-100 km. As such, high energy solar particles of over 20 Mev can be attracted, which arrive a short time after the relativistic solar cosmic rays resulting in PCA phenomena. Actually in the interval 0000-0600 UT on January 25 from ATS-1 (1966-110 A) are observed hourly average fluxes of  $10^2 - 5 \times 10^2$  protons  $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$  in the energy range 21 - 70 Mev, from Explorer 41 (1969-53 A) are observed  $2.5 \times 10^3$  protons  $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$  with  $E > 30$  Mev and  $5 \times 10^2$  protons  $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$  with  $E > 60$  Mev. Similar Vela proton counters showed 2640 particles  $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$  with  $E > 25$  Mev [Solar-Geophysical Data]. The main problem is how a part of these particles has been able to penetrate as far as the middle latitude ionosphere where the geomagnetic threshold is large. The estimates of Velinov [1966, 1968 and 1970] show that for an explanation of the experimentally obtained  $\delta q/q \approx 10$  it is sufficient for 1 proton  $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$  with  $E \geq 20$  Mev to penetrate to this region. Perhaps the geomagnetic disturbance  $Kp = 3 - 4$ , with the whole night of January 25 reaching  $\Sigma Kp = 14$ , was a factor causing a very small part (0.03 - 0.3%) of the main solar particle flux to be precipitated at middle latitudes, thus causing the PCA event.

In confirmation of this the following facts are noted: a.) the comparison of the absorption course  $L_{164}$  (Figure 1) with that of the flux of protons 21 - 70 Mev, observed at ATS-1, shows a certain correspondence between the two courses; b.) the large fluctuations in the field strength are characteristic of the penetration of the high energy proton fluxes; c.) the absence of an ionospheric effect on January 25 - 26 while the powerful PCA event continued (ATS-1 recorded in 21 - 70 Mev range a maximum flux of  $845 \text{ protons cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$ ) but geomagnetic disturbance is absent -  $K_p = 0 - 1$ , and during the whole night  $\Sigma K_p = 4$ . Thus on January 25 - 26 there has been no precipitation of high energy particles. The effect under discussion expresses the PCA influence on the middle latitudes. Naturally the low and middle latitude PCA influence should be verified by some other special cases in the Sun-Earth space.

#### Effects in the Middle and Low Ionosphere during January 23 - February 3

The absorption time course of 155 kHz (path length 380 km), 164 kHz and 593 kHz (path length 140 km) during the period of January 23 - February 3, 1971 is shown in Figure 2. In the same Figure the course of the geomagnetic index  $A_p$  for the same period is indicated by small circles and dotted lines.

The observed ionospheric effect schematically expressed in Figures 1 and 2 compared with results of previous investigations gives ground for the following qualitative geophysical interpretations. The time variations of the absorption shown in Figure 2 for three frequencies reflect the ionization conditions of the region 80 to 120 km in the given period.




Fig. 2. The variation of the absorption of different frequencies during the period January 23 - February 3.

From previous investigation [Nestorov and Velinov, 1968; Nestorov, 1969] it is known that during the time of geomagnetic disturbances or storms (ssc) about two days after the disturbance maximum, the night absorption 593 kHz considerably increases. A smaller maximum of  $L$  is obtained in the ssc night. The case under consideration presents a similar picture. A normal maximum of  $L_{593}$  is obtained on January 27 while a secondary large increase of  $L_{593}$  is reached two days after the maximum of Ap, i.e. on January 30. The increase of  $\Delta L$  over the monthly median is the measure of the increased electron production rate in the range 85 - 120 km and for the flux of soft particles also at these heights.

It is easily observable that the absorption course  $L_{164}$  after January 27 is opposite to  $L_{593}$ . This is in accord with the previous results about the behavior of the night absorption  $L_{164}$  after geomagnetic anomalies [Nestorov, 1972a, b]. The increase of  $L_{164}$  on January 24 - 25 as already explained is connected with the increased high energy particle flux during the same time.

On the contrary in the course of  $L_{155}$  no essential changes can be observed which corresponds to the normal behavior of the night absorption on this path for the period of 15 years [Nestorov, 1969 and 1970]. Only in exceptional cases after geomagnetic storms the absorption  $L_{155}$  partially decreases. The complex of the observations of the absorption of various equivalent frequencies (25.75 and 480 kHz) on the indicated paths outlines the following physical state of the mesosphere and low thermosphere in the given period.

The sudden increase of cosmic rays on January 24 - 25 is due to the powerful solar flare on January 24 starting at 2310 UT. This flare is connected with the generation of particles in all energy ranges including plasma, which is indicated by the geomagnetic storm on January 27 at 0430 UT. The influx of energy particles in the high atmosphere at the height of 80 - 120 km adds to the increase of the electronic concentration at this range of height and to the increase of the absorption of waves crossing the same region ( $L_{593}$  on January 30). The height of the signal reflection  $L_{593}$  during the night is about 120 km. The increased electronic concentration in the layer is followed by an increase of its gradient, which decreases the deviation and the total absorption of the signal 164 kHz ( $L_{164}$  on January 29 - 30). Between these two  $L$  curves out of phase there must exist a frequency under which the signal will neither increase nor decrease; actually a compensation effect of the frequency is observed ( $f_i \approx 75$  kHz, the signal 155 kHz in the night is reflected at the height of about 95 km).

Although at present there does not exist a generally accepted theory of the role of the fluxes of charged particles in the ionization of night E-layer, certain estimations of the effects in the studied period will be given on the basis of the method of Velinov [1969]. Suppose the particle flux  $I$  dissipates its energy between the heights  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  (in our case about 80 - 120 km). It would cause an additional electron production rate  $\Delta q$  connected with the corresponding additional absorption  $\Delta L$  by means of equation (1) as

$$I = \frac{2Q(h_2 - h_1)}{E_K} \Delta q \quad (5)$$

$Q \approx 30$  eV is the energy required for 1 electron ion pair;  $E_K$  is the energy of the particles (if they are of different energies, instead of  $E_K$  must be substituted  $E_{K,eff}$  depending on the spectrum of the particles). The factor 2 takes into account that the particles equally dissipate their energy in the ionization and excitation of the atmospheric components.

From Figure 2 can be seen that

$$L_{27,1} \approx 19.5 \text{ dB and } L_{30,1} \approx 23 \text{ dB,}$$

but since the median value for January is  $L_{med} = 16$  dB

$$\Delta L_{27,1} \approx 3.5 \text{ dB and } \Delta L_{30,1} \approx 7 \text{ dB}$$

by means of equation (1) is obtained

$$(\Delta q/q)_{27,1} \approx 0.5 \text{ and } (\Delta q/q)_{30,1} \approx 1.1.$$

In the studied height interval in the night a medium electron concentration can be accepted under quiet conditions  $N \approx 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and an effective recombination coefficient  $\alpha_e \approx 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ , i.e.  $q = 0.3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . Therefore as additional electron production rate on January 27 and 30, we receive

$$\Delta q_{27,1} \approx 0.15 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1} \text{ and } \Delta q_{30,1} \approx 0.3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1},$$

while for the necessary proton flux with  $E_{K,eff} = 300$  keV

$$I = (1 - 2) \times 10^2 \text{ protons cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (6a)$$

is obtained. In case electrons precipitate with  $E_{K,eff} = 40$  keV, equation (5) gives



$$I = (0.75 - 1.5) \times 10^3 \text{ electrons cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}. \quad (6b)$$

Actually the values (6) represent the number of particles with pitch angle in the cone of losses  $\theta_1$ . The total number of particles can be determined when in the denominator of equation (5) is substituted also the function

$$k(\alpha) = \int_0^{\theta_1} \sin^{\alpha+1} \theta \, d\theta \bigg/ \int_0^{n/2} \sin^{\alpha+1} \theta \, d\theta$$

as the parameter  $\alpha$  determines the kind of the distribution of the particles. For latitudes  $\lambda_m \approx 41^\circ$ , Bulgaria, the parameter of McIlwain is 1.8, but in  $\alpha = 0 - 2$  the function  $k(\alpha) = 6 \times 10^{-2} - 5 \times 10^{-3}$ .

### Ionospheric Effect in the F2-Layer

In addition to the described physical condition of the night ionosphere it is suitable to show the behavior of the F2-layer observed at a fixed frequency  $f = 7.67 \text{ MHz}$  during the same time period. The necessary time variation of  $\Delta t$  for the evolution of the F2-layer from sunrise to the level  $N = 7 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  required for the reflection of the signal, under a quasi-vertical incidence path length of 12 km, together with the variation of the  $A_p$  index and the velocity of the solar wind [Solar-Geophysical Data] are given in Figure 3. From Nestorov [1972c, d] it is known that during geomagnetic storms  $\Delta t$  considerably increases while in certain cases reflection is absent during the whole day which shows that  $f_oF2 < f$ . In the studied case the maximum  $\Delta t$  is later than the maximum of  $A_p$  and  $\bar{V}$  by one day. This is the usual relaxation of  $\Delta t$  in the presence of an ssc. The good correlation of  $\bar{V}$  with  $\Delta t$  is of interest which indicates the considerable influence of the solar wind on the anomalous state of the F2-layer.




Fig. 3. Relation between solar wind velocity, the time of delay  $\Delta t$  of the F2-layer and geomagnetic index  $A_p$ .

### REFERENCES

- |                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| 1971               | Solar-Geophysical Data, 318 - 320 Part I, 1970-1971, U.S. Department of Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302). |
| DORMAN, L. I. 1963 | Cosmic Ray Variations and Space Research, Publ. Hause Acad. Sci., U.S.S.R.   |

- NESTOROV, G. 1969 Effect by Solar Corpuscular Fluxes on the Night Low Ionosphere in Middle Latitude, Proc. Geophys. Inst. Bulg., 14, 53.
- NESTOROV, G. 1970 The Cosmic Ray Layer in the Low Ionosphere is a Reality, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 23, 57.
- NESTOROV, G. 1972a Positive Disturbances in the Night Ionosphere in the Mesopause Region during Geomagnetic Anomalies, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 25 (in press).
- NESTOROV, G. 1972b Lower Ionosphere at Medium Latitudes during Geomagnetic Disturbances, Proc. Geophys. Inst. Bulg., 18, 40.
- NESTOROV, G. 1972c Solar Control over the F2-Layer Evolution after Sunrise, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 25 (in press).
- NESTOROV, G. 1972d Geomagnetic Control over the F2-Layer Evolution after Sunrise, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 25 (in press).
- NESTOROV, G. and P. VELINOV 1966 Effect of Solar Cosmic Rays on Low Ionosphere, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 19, 1011.
- NESTOROV, G. and P. VELINOV 1969 Effects in the Night Lower Ionosphere as a Result of Particle Precipitation on Middle Latitudes, Solar Terrestrial Physics, Acad. Sci., U.S.S.R., 1, 181.
- VELINOV, P. 1966 One Expression for the Ionization in Ionosphere from Cosmic Rays, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 19, 109.
- VELINOV, P. 1968a On Ionization in the Ionospheric D-Region by Galactic and Solar Cosmic Rays, J. Atmosph. Terr. Phys., 30, 1891.
- VELINOV, P. 1968b Relationships Between the Cosmic Ray Variations and the State of Low Ionosphere, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 21, 115.
- VELINOV, P. 1969 On the Influence of Corpuscular Fluxes in the Magnetosphere on Night Ionosphere, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., 22, 33.
- VELINOV, P. 1970 Solar Cosmic Ray Ionization in the Low Ionosphere, J. Atmosph. Terr. Phys., 32, 139.
- VELINOV, P. 1971 On Variations of the Cosmic Ray Layer in the Lower Ionosphere, J. Atmosph. Terr. Phys., 33, 429.

## 7. AURORA

### The Auroral-Zone Effects of January 24 Event over Cola Peninsula

by

B. E. Brunelli, L. S. Evlashin, S. I. Isaev, L. L. Lazutin,  
G. A. Loginov, G. A. Petrova, V. K. Roldugin, N. V. Shulgina,  
G. V. Starkov, G. F. Totunova, and E. V. Vasheniuk  
Polar Geophysical Institute, Academy of Sciences of USSR,  
Apatity, Murmansk Region, USSR

Description of the 24-27 January event is given here using the same set of stations, observational technique and the manner of presentation as in our previous report [Brunelli et al., 1971]. The development of the January 24 proton event as seen from our data begins with the neutron monitor cosmic ray intensity increase, related to a 15-minute period, at 2330 UT. At 0600 UT January 25 the gradual increase of the riometer absorption began at Loparskaya, following the changing of the luminosity condition of the D-region of the ionosphere and revealing all other features typical for a PCA. This type of absorption was observed for two days with no appreciable geomagnetic effects. 53 hours after the beginning of the cosmic ray burst the magnetic storm began with the sudden commencement, accompanied by auroral absorption and intense aurora.

Figure 1 presents hourly values of the Apatity neutron monitor data. The solar cosmic ray burst has an amplitude of 15% and was preceded by a prolonged ( $\sim 5$  days) but moderate ( $\sim 3\%$ ) decrease of intensity and followed by a rather large ( $\sim 7\%$ ) Forbush decrease simultaneous with the magnetic storm. From January 25 to 27 eight flights of radiosondes with single G-M counters as detectors were provided. During the ascent time of the flights the absorption spectra of the solar protons was measured and recalculated into energy spectra. Summary time of a measurement varied from 20 minutes to one hour. Temporal features of solar proton events are presented in Figure 2 where NM measurements during first few hours are combined with balloon measurements ( $>150$  Mev level) provided later. The same spectra are shown in Figure 3. Figure 4-6 present the time history of the January event based on the data of ionosonde operations in Murmansk and also riometers with frequency 9 and 25 MHz, magnetometer, photometer and patrol spectrograph at Loparskaya.




Fig. 1. Corrected hourly values of neutron monitor counting rate, Apatity, January 20-30.

Before the cosmic ray burst on January 24 moderate activity appeared at 1730 UT in the sporadic E-layer with fairly large blanketing frequencies and magnetic disturbance with sc at 1930 UT. The increase of luminosity and absorption began 5 minutes later and developed within an hour into a strong magnetic bay with aurora and rather large absorption. Immediately after the burst a new disturbance was registered by all the observational devices except the all-sky cameras which did not operate because of the bad weather. At 0445 and 0515 UT the ionosonde showed a black-out condition caused by the decreasing of foF2 falling below fairly high fmin.




Fig. 2. Solar proton flux versus time based on neutron monitor data, dots, and balloon measurements, crosses. 2330 UT January 24 is assumed as a start.

Fig. 3. Solar cosmic ray spectra from balloon measurement data. Starting times: 1 - 1030 UT, 2 - 1420 UT, 3 - 2020 UT January 25, 4 - 0130 UT and 5 - 0450 UT January 26.




Fig. 4. Ground-based data January 24-25: f-plot, Murmansk ionosonde; geomagnetic H-component on this day and undisturbed level; riometers 25 and 9 MHz left and right scales, respectively; photometer (arbitrary units) and patrol spectrograph (scale in kR above the night sky level) (Loparskaya).




Fig. 5. The same as Fig. 4, January 25-26.

At 0640 UT the increase of the riometer absorption revealed all the features of a PCA: gradual change of absorption value, large day to night ratio (7 for the first day and 3.5 for the second one), day-time recovery well-defined on January 26, 1000 UT (Figure 5). Some unusual peculiarities are marked in the behavior of absorption in evening hours on January 26. The decrease connected with the transition to the night time conditions occurs rather fast. At 1400-1500 UT absorption passes through the minimum and then through a rather broad maximum. The time of minimum coincides with the positive magnetic disturbance. The deflection of absorption from the normal variation may be attributed to the change of cut-off rigidity or in other words the position of the boundary of the region of particle penetration into the polar cap. PCA values on January 26 were rather weak and decreased to zero near 1400 UT. The ionosonde on this day registered the signals from the F-layer despite the increased minimum frequencies. Behavior of the F-region did not differ significantly from usual.

Associated with the proton event a large magnetic storm took place on January 27 and 28 (Figure 6) with sudden commencement near 0430 UT January 27. First auroral disturbances began at 0700 UT as an absorption increase and positive magnetic bay. Second positive bay and associated black-out began at 1230 UT. During this bay in the twilight the all-sky camera shows aurora on the northern half of the sky. The following is the description of the development of geomagnetic activity and aurora during this night: Figures 7(1) - 7(12) present some key points. At 1515 UT there appeared a westward traveling (with velocity about 2.5 km/sec) loop opened to the east. Two successive locations of that are given in Figure 7(1). Between 1524-1527 UT a similar but less intense loop passed over Loparskaya (Figure 7(2)). This figure does not show other aurora located equatorward of the loop. Rather bright ray-structured arcs were also seen. Between 1541-1548 UT (Figure 7(3)) a new loop opened to the west appeared in the northern part of the sky. The positive magnetic disturbance, as was mentioned above, began near 1230 UT. The brightening of the aurora and the appearance of loops coincided with the negative magnetic bay superposed on the positive one. The behavior of aurora and geomagnetic field at this first phase of the storm (1515-1548 UT) is typical for the evening sector of an auroral oval: twice (Figures 7(1) and 7(2)) the northern part of the surge [Akasofu, 1968] passed over the Cola Peninsula moving slowly polewards, faster from the midnight sector of the auroral oval to the evening one. Figure 7(3) shows the southern part of the surge; the character of magnetic variation (negative bay over positive one) also corresponds to the passing surge [Akasofu, 1968].

At 1600 UT the luminosity of auroral forms decreased because of the shifting of aurora northward and southward from the zenith. The largest luminosity is observed near the southern horizon. At 1635 UT (Figure 6; 7(4)) the all-sky background luminosity suddenly increased. This increase is followed by the generation of a homogeneous arc drifting southward. At 1700-1850 UT (Figure 7(5)) the auroral form is seen mostly only near the south horizon.




Fig. 6. The same as Fig. 4, but with changed scale values and additional ascaplot, January 27-28.

A southward location of the aurora indicates the development of a strong ring current. The magnetic field at this time is nearly normal. This does not exclude, however, the possibility that the decrease connected with the ring current is approximately equal to the increase of the field connected with the positive bay. The division of the zone into two separate ones near 1600 UT may be connected with an increase of the ring current. At 1845 UT the equatorial arc brightened. At 1851 UT (Figure 7(6)) began the poleward movement of the arc. There was a burst on the riometer and photometer and a decrease of  $H$  down to  $-230 \gamma$ . From this moment to the end of the storm the  $H$  value is always negative. At 1915 UT (Figure 7(7) - 7(9)) the strongest substorm began with an absorption value of 7 dB at 25 MHz with a sharp burst on the photometer, larger background and fast poleward movement of twisted rayed arcs. The poleward aurora boundary went out of sight. Between 2130-2250 UT aurora went to the southern part of the sky and the background disappeared.




Fig. 7. Aurora maps for January 27-28. The position of homogeneous and rayed arcs is shown; the density of crosses shows the intensity of diffuse glow. The auroral height is assumed 100 km. Dashed circles define the basic field of sight of the all-sky camera. The peculiarities near border of frame are given by dashed lines.

The trigger disturbance appearing at 1851 UT before the main substorm seems interesting. In spite of a small amplitude this disturbance showed all the important features of a substorm. In the main disturbance it is unusual that the luminosity and absorption rates differ from the magnetic field one: a sharp increase of luminosity has no associated change in the magnetic field; the minimum of the magnetic field occurs at 2040 UT, i.e. delayed more than an hour as compared with the luminosity burst. At 2300-0200 UT January 28 occurs a number of bursts with poleward expansions and increases of the background. The burst with the clearest northern boundary formed by one arc or by the system of arcs is shown in Figures 7(10) - 7(12). Between 0300-0500 UT appeared auroral spots accompanied by irregular pulsations of brightness with a period near 10 seconds (Pi-1). These spots gradually shifted to the north horizon and their brightness decreased. Pi-2 pulsations also took place during this night, especially at 1948, 2254 and 0224 UT. The appearance of the spots and pulsations in the morning hours is typical for strong disturbances.

The above considered magnetic storm occurred after the solar proton burst, in spite of the existence of some individual peculiarities, it has all the characteristic features of a strong magnetic storm. The geophysical disturbances are observed at different longitudinal sectors of the oval thereby keeping the important features of an auroral substorm of corresponding oval sector.

#### REFERENCES

- |   |      |  |
|---|------|--|
| AKASOFU, S. -I.   | 1968 | <u>Polar and Magnetospheric Substorm</u> , D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland.  |
| BRUNELLI, B. E.,<br>L. S. EVLASHIN,<br>S. I. ISAEV,<br>L. L. LAZUTIN,<br>G. A. LOGINOV,<br>V. K. ROLDUGIN,<br>G. V. STARKOV,<br>N. S. SHULGINA,<br>G. F. TOTUNOVA, and<br>E. A. VASILKOVA | 1971 | Auroral-zone events of March 1970 on data of Cola Peninsula stations, <u>World Data Center-A, Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-12</u> , 325-336. |



Zenith Intensities of the OI 5577A and 6300A Radiation Inside  
The Polar Cap during the January 1971 Solar Particle Event

James G. Moore  
Polar Atmospheric Processes Branch  
Aeronomy Laboratory  
Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories  
Bedford, Massachusetts 01730

The zenith intensities of the red and green lines of atomic oxygen at 6300A and 5577 A, respectively were measured during the nighttime hours of the period 24-28 Jan. 1971 with interference filter photometers. Calibration of the intensities was accomplished by a comparison with the absolute intensities measured by a calibrated spectrometer. The photometric data were scaled and absolute intensities of the emission lines and the ratio of 6300A/5577A radiation were determined and plotted by computer. The results are shown in Figures 1 and 2 for the entire observation period.

Examination of the figures shows the green line average intensity starting off at a value of approximately 300 Rayleighs on the night of the 24th and building to a value of 500 Rayleighs during the night of the 25th-26th Jan and then decreasing to a value of 250 Rayleighs on the 27th and 28th of January. This behavior is also reflected in the hourly averages of the high energy protons ( $E_p > 60$  MEV) measured by Explorer 41 (See this issue) during this same interval as well as by riometer measurements at 30 MHz [Cormier, 1971]. This is typical of a polar glow aurora associated with a PCA [Sandford, 1963, 1967, 1969; Weill, 1962].

During certain times, the OI green line (5577A) shows rather sharp intensity increases. These are associated with discrete sun-aligned arcs as shown in a sample of frames from the all-sky camera film taken during this same interval, (Figure 3). The intense arc at 0445-0448 UT 27 Jan saturated the green line photometer, so the red-green ratios at this time are to be disregarded. A faint sun-aligned arc is seen on originals of the all-sky camera film at 0639-0643 UT and 0810-0814 UT 27 Jan 1971, although they are barely visible in the accompanying photographs. North is to the right and east is at the bottom in all photographs. The date and Universal Time are also shown. Some internal reflections of the orientation lights are also present.

The OI red line (6300A) shows enhancements throughout most of the night of 24-25 Jan which are not associated with corresponding enhancements of the OI green line (5577A). These are of the nature of undulations above an average intensity and are not associated with visual arc structures. The same situation obtains from 0830 UT-1240 UT 27 Jan and from 2100 UT 21 Jan to 1230 UT 28 Jan. Average intensities of the OI red line started out low and remained low until a slow increase around 0900 UT on 26 Jan. The red line was again high at 2100 UT on 26 Jan and




FIGURE 1 Zenith intensity versus Universal Time of the OI emission lines at 5577A and 6300A. The intensity ratio 6300A/5577A is also plotted. The dashed lines in the lower part of the figure represent a cloudy period when the data were not scaled. The period of sharp intensity increases in both the 5577A and 6300A curves are times when the presence of discrete sun-aligned arcs has been noted on the all-sky camera film.




FIGURE 2 Zenith intensity versus Universal Time of the OI emission lines at 5577A and 6300A. The intensity ratio 6300A/5577A is also plotted. The period of sharp intensity increases in both the 5577A and 6300A curves are times when the presence of discrete sun-aligned arcs has been noted on the all-sky camera film.




FIGURE 3 A reproduction of the all-sky camera film taken during January 27, 1971 at Geopole. Date and time in UT is indicated on each frame. North is at the right of each frame while East is at the bottom. Local midnight occurs at approximately 0422 UT. Discrete sun-aligned arcs are visible in the frames 0445-0448 UT and are barely visible in the frame at 0642 UT and in the frames 0811 to 0813 UT.

decreased rapidly to a low value at 2300 UT. It remained low until approximately 0900 UT on 27 Jan when an increase began. The red line remained high until a decrease began at 2100 UT on 27 Jan. There does not seem to be correlation (positive or negative) between Kp and 6300 in agreement with Sandford [1968, 1969] and Silverman *et al.* [1962]. Local magnetic K-indices, which have been found to be a much better parameter than Kp as an indicator of polar magnetic activity [Sharp *et al.*, 1966; Feldstein and Starkov, 1967; and Sandford, 1968] were not available for correlation.

The red-to-green ratio (6300A/5577A) in the discrete sun-aligned arcs has been determined by integrating the intensities after the airglow levels have been subtracted. These ratios and the red-to-green ratios of the airglow as well as the ratio of the auroral to airglow ratios are listed in table 1 along with the Universal Time and the zenith height of unrefracted sunlight.

TABLE 1  
Red-to-Green Ratios

| Universal Time | Aurora | Airglow | Aurora/Airglow | Zenith height of<br>Unrefracted Sunlight |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--|
| 2146 26 Jan    | 1.57   | 0.49    | 3.20           | 240 km                                   |
| 0645 27 Jan    | 0.62   | 0.11    | 5.40           | 900 km                                   |
| 0816 27 Jan    | 1.18   | 0.12    | 9.83           | 700 km                                   |

The height of lower borders of weak-rayed arcs and bands in the polar cap has been determined to lie in the range of 145 to 180 km by triangulation from all-sky camera pictures [Starkov, 1968]. Examination of Table 1 shows that when the F region is illuminated 2146 UT 26 Jan, the red/green ratio for both the airglow and the aurora are enhanced over that obtained when the F region is not illuminated. The ratio of the red/green ratio in the aurora to that in the airglow makes this fact stand out more vividly. This indicates the vertical extent of the sun-aligned arc ranges from 145 km to F-region heights.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank personnel of the Polar Atmospheric Processes Branch, AFCRL, for operation of the optical equipment at Geopole and to personnel at Regis College for the data scaling. Mr. Gary Mullen of the Polar Atmospheric Processes Branch, AFCRL, has been especially helpful in computer processing of the data.

# REFERENCES

- |   |      |   |
|---|------|---|
| CORMIER, R. J.                                    | 1971 | <u>Geophysics and Space Data Bulletin</u> , Vol. VIII, No. 1, AFCRL, Bedford, Mass. 01730.  |
| FELDSTEIN, Y. I. and<br>G. V. STARKOV             | 1967 | Dynamics of auroral belt and polar geomagnetic disturbances, <u>Planetary Space Sci.</u> , <u>15</u> , 209.   |
| SANDFORD, B. P.                                   | 1963 | Optical studies of particle bombardment in polar cap absorption events, <u>Planetary Space Sci.</u> , <u>10</u> , 195.  |
| SANDFORD, B. P.                                   | 1967 | Polar-glow aurora, <u>Space Research</u> , <u>7</u> , 836.  |
| SANDFORD, B. P.                                   | 1968 | Variations of auroral emissions with time, magnetic activity and the solar cycle, <u>J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.</u> , <u>30</u> , 1921.  |
| SANDFORD, B. P.                                   | 1970 | Optical Emission over the Polar Cap, <u>The Polar Ionosphere and Magnetospheric Processes</u> , Edited by Skovli (Gordon and Breach, N.Y.).                                   |
| SHARP, R. D.,<br>J. E. EVANS and<br>R. G. JOHNSON | 1966 | Measurements of particle precipitation at the south pole, <u>Planetary Space Sci.</u> , <u>14</u> , 85.   |
| SILVERMAN, S. M.,<br>F. WARD and<br>R. SHAPIRO    | 1962 | The correlation between the 5577A night airglow intensity and geomagnetic activity, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>67</u> , 2255.   |
| STARKOV, G. V.                                    | 1968 | Auroral heights in the polar cap, <u>Geomag. Aeronomy</u> , <u>8</u> , 28 (English), 36 (Russian).  |
| WEILL, G.   | 1962 | Sur une aurore polaire d'un type nouveau, <u>Compte Rendu Acad. Sci., Paris</u> , <u>254</u> , 3402.  |
| WEILL, G.   | 1963 | Une contraction de la zone aurorale antarctique observee au cours de la phase initiale des tempetes geomagnetiques, <u>Compte Rendu Acad. Sci., Paris</u> , <u>256</u> , 985. |

WILLIAM N. HALL  
POLAR ATMOSPHERIC PROCESSES BRANCH  
AERONOMY LABORATORY  
AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES  
L. G. HANSCOM FIELD  
BEDFORD, MASS. 01730

The figure shows the intensities of the 5577A and 6300A atomic oxygen and the 3914A band of  $N_2^+IN$  emissions recorded by the patrol spectrograph at the AFCRL Geopole Observatory, Thule AB, Greenland during JAN 24-29, 1971. The patrol spectrograph is a Perkin-Elmer model 173 developed for use during the IGY [Devlin *et al.* 1964] and the observing program used is essentially unchanged from the IGY and IQSY. The spectrograph integrates the optical emissions for the duration of the exposure and the intensity is reported as an average over the period of the exposure which may last up to 108 minutes every 2 hours. The first and last exposure of each night are less than 108 minutes and the exposure length is represented by the length along the time axis of the datum bar. Data for exposures of 11 minutes duration taken at solar depressions less than  $12^\circ$  during twilight are indicated by dots.

The triangles denoted SSC and SPE refer to Storm Sudden Commencements and to a Solar Proton Event [reported in Solar-Geophysical Data, numbers 318 and 324]. The time for the SPE refers to the time of enhancement of the 21-70 Mev channel on the ATS-1 satellite.


The 3914A emission increased rapidly after the onset of the SPE and decayed consistent with the behavior of Explorer 41 integral proton fluxes [Solar-Geophysical Data number 328]. Superimposed are twilight effects and enhancements associated with High Latitude Discrete Auroras (HILDA's) following SSC's. The diagonal dashed lines at 0400-0600 UT on January 29 indicate the intensity was less than threshold of detection of the spectrograph and not a data gap.

The 5577A intensities were also enhanced during the SPE with further increases associated with HILDA's. These increases are in addition to a background level of 250-300 rayleighs before (not shown) and after the SPE. The behavior of both the 3914A and 5577A intensities was consistent with previous studies of the effects of SPE's [Sandford 1970].

The 6300A intensities showed typical diurnal variations [Stromman *et al.* 1971] with enhancements during HILDA's. The 6300A intensities did not show increases (and were not expected to) which could be associated with the SPE.

#### REFERENCES

- |   |      |  |
|---|------|--|
| Devlin, J. J., N. J. Oliver and A. Carrigan   | 1964 | Auroral Spectrograph Data; Vol. 25; <u>Annals of the I.G.Y.</u> ; New York; Pergamon   |
| Sandford, B. P.                               | 1970 | Optical Emission Over the Polar Cap; in <u>The Polar Ionosphere and Magnetospheric Processes</u> ; 299-321; ed. by G. Skovli; New York; Gordon and Breach. |
| Stromman, J. R., B.N. Maehlum and J.K. Olesen | 1971 | Storm Time Variations in the High Latitude Fluxes of Low Energy Electrons Inferred from OI (6300A) Observations; <u>Planet. Space Sci.</u> ; 19; 540-543.  |
| U.S. Dept. Of Commerce                        | 1971 | <u>Solar-Geophysical Data</u> ; No. 318, Part I, Feb. 1971; No. 324; Part II, Aug. 1971; No. 328, Part II, Dec. 1971, Boulder, Colorado                    |



Optical Intensity Measurements at AFCRL Geopole Observatory, Thule AB, Greenland during January 1971



## 8. GEOMAGNETISM

### Provisional Equatorial Dst


by

M. Sugiura

Laboratory for Space Physics

Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

Provisional equatorial Dst is plotted below for the period January 20-31, 1971. The Dst data presented here are provisional. The base line, which is based on extrapolations of the base lines for the four observatories, Kakioka, Hermanus, San Juan, and Honolulu, from the 1957-1970 series [Sugiura and Poros, 1971], will be redetermined later when the final Dst values are calculated.



### PROVISIONAL EQUATORIAL D<sub>ST</sub>

#### REFERENCE

SUGIURA, M., and  
D. J. POROS

1971

Hourly values of equatorial Dst for the years 1957 to  
1970, Goddard Space Flight Center, X-645-71-278.

by

D. van Sabben  
International Service of Geomagnetic Indices  
Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute  
DeBilt, Netherlands

The geomagnetic K-indices from the individual observatories for January 23-31, 1971 are given below. Please refer to IAGA-Bulletin 12, or IAGA-Bulletin 32 for definition of the symbols.

| JAN | 23        | 24        | 25        | 26        | 27        | 28        | 29        | 30        | 31        |
|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| BT  | 2333 2355 | 3334 4355 | 5444 4543 | 3313 2223 | 4665 5554 | 5534 4637 | 7544 4333 | 3444 3565 | 4444 5574 |
| CC  | 3332 1543 | 3223 4544 | 5433 5542 | 2111 1212 | 2555 6665 | 4437 5766 | 6433 4543 | 5344 4656 | 3333 6564 |
| DI  | 3332 1554 | 3323 4566 | 6443 4762 | 2211 1111 | 1466 7786 | 6646 6878 | 7334 4565 | 5545 5677 | 5444 5675 |
| TI  | 3332 2653 | 2225 5455 | 4333 4652 | 2221 1211 | 2477 7988 | 4457 7789 | 6336 5665 | 4437 4667 | 4343 7785 |
| PB  | 3232 3443 | 2316 5444 | 4436 4531 | 1111 1111 | 1564 6655 | 3457 5665 | 4426 4433 | 4326 4455 | 4475 5564 |
| TR  | 4210 1223 | 4311 1266 | 5232 3430 | 0000 0101 | 1553 4465 | 5544 4556 | 6323 2244 | 5544 3266 | 4333 3365 |
| GO  | 2323 2321 | 2223 3334 | 4444 4442 | 2222 1222 | 2454 5443 | 3444 4334 | 4322 3422 | 3524 4434 | 2234 5334 |
| MM  | 3212 0132 | 2211 1166 | 5232 3330 | 1100 0000 | 0355 5675 | 6635 4677 | 7322 2254 | 4533 3366 | 4233 3365 |
| KI  | 4111 1132 | 2111 1165 | 5221 2320 | 0000 0000 | 0454 5666 | 6644 5667 | 7322 2254 | 5533 3256 | 4233 3365 |
| SO  | 3111 1232 | 2211 1154 | 5121 2330 | 0000 0000 | 0344 6676 | 6634 5667 | 7312 2243 | 4433 3255 | 3232 3354 |
| WE  | 1110 0121 | 1114 4112 | 3223 3320 | 1000 0000 | 0376 8865 | 3457 7777 | 5326 3311 | 2225 6324 | 2163 5242 |
| CO  | 1111 0221 | 1205 5122 | 3524 3420 | 0000 0000 | 0375 7645 | 3447 5656 | 4326 4311 | 3325 4433 | 2254 5333 |
| RY  | 5432 2233 | 5422 2255 | 5553 3331 | 1111 1221 | 1574 6676 | 7655 5567 | 7533 2335 | 5634 4356 | 5354 3445 |
| DO  | 3221 1222 | 1211 2143 | 3222 1320 | 0000 0000 | 0454 6665 | 5534 3767 | 6323 2223 | 3424 3254 | 2232 3243 |
| YA  | 2321 1442 | 1325 5245 | 5533 3431 | 2110 0011 | 2556 5666 | 5537 5867 | 7336 3543 | 4425 6433 | 3353 6564 |
| NU  | 3111 0122 | 1111 1133 | 3211 1220 | 0000 0000 | 0443 4554 | 4323 3656 | 5312 2233 | 3423 3344 | 2232 3443 |
| LE  | 2111 0111 | 1201 1043 | 3110 1210 | 0000 0000 | 0343 4555 | 5533 3556 | 5311 1112 | 3433 2144 | 2132 2242 |
| MG  | 2110 1322 | 1114 5134 | 4223 2321 | 0210 0000 | 1355 5643 | 4436 4765 | 6335 4432 | 3425 4345 | 3353 5443 |
| LN  | 3222 2232 | 1222 2233 | 3222 2331 | 1101 1000 | 1444 4555 | 4333 3656 | 5323 2233 | 3434 3344 | 2233 4443 |
| LO  | 3211 2221 | 1212 2143 | 3122 1320 | 0000 0000 | 0454 5554 | 4533 3567 | 5313 2223 | 3434 2354 | 2232 3343 |
| SI  | 1120 0121 | 1114 3133 | 3523 2321 | 0000 0000 | 0375 7844 | 3447 5645 | 4325 4211 | 2325 4233 | 2263 3232 |
| SV  | 2110 0232 | 0012 3244 | 3212 1330 | 0000 0000 | 0444 4444 | 4423 4666 | 4212 2332 | 3424 3354 | 3132 4342 |
| RS  | 3221 1222 | 1222 2143 | 3222 1321 | 0000 1100 | 1444 4554 | 4332 3556 | 5312 2223 | 3434 2254 | 3232 3343 |
| KN  | 3122 1333 | 1212 3244 | 3222 1331 | 1111 1010 | 1444 4455 | 4434 4656 | 5333 3333 | 3434 3554 | 3233 4453 |
| MO  | 3121 2232 | 1212 2234 | 3222 1331 | 1111 1111 | 1444 4454 | 4333 3656 | 5323 2223 | 3434 3344 | 2232 4453 |
| ES  | 3211 0221 | 1202 2044 | 3211 1210 | 0000 0000 | 0444 3444 | 4333 2446 | 4312 2222 | 3424 2244 | 3232 3343 |
| ME  | 2121 0121 | 1113 3223 | 3223 2321 | 1110 1211 | 1497 6734 | 4556 5645 | 4325 3212 | 3436 4333 | 3274 3332 |
| HL  | 3332 2333 | 2223 3355 | 4333 3332 | 2222 2222 | 0445 4444 | 4443 4445 | 5333 3333 | 4434 4344 | 3343 4344 |
| MN  | 3211 1222 | 1212 2244 | 3112 1320 | 0000 0000 | 0344 4444 | 5333 3656 | 5312 2223 | 2425 2354 | 3132 3352 |
| WN  | 3222 1132 | 2212 2144 | 4222 1321 | 1000 0100 | 1454 4555 | 5433 3656 | 5413 2233 | 3534 2254 | 3232 3443 |
| WI  | 3221 1222 | 2212 2155 | 4221 1320 | 1000 1010 | 0454 4555 | 5533 3557 | 5423 2233 | 3535 3255 | 3243 3353 |
| IR  | 2222 1332 | 1123 3234 | 3323 3331 | 1221 1111 | 2465 5555 | 4525 3656 | 5444 3433 | 2435 4455 | 3453 5443 |
| SW  | 3222 2232 | 2222 3243 | 3222 2322 | 2222 2222 | 2444 4454 | 4333 3556 | 5422 2233 | 3434 3354 | 3232 3343 |
| NI  | 3221 1232 | 2222 2144 | 3222 1320 | 1000 1000 | 1444 4454 | 4433 3556 | 5413 2233 | 3534 3354 | 3232 3343 |
| VL  | 2211 2221 | 1212 2144 | 3122 1220 | 0010 1110 | 0344 4444 | 4432 2445 | 4422 2222 | 3434 2154 | 2132 3343 |
| BE  | 3222 1232 | 1222 2244 | 3222 2321 | 1111 2111 | 1444 4444 | 4433 3656 | 5313 2233 | 3424 2354 | 2232 3343 |
| HA  | 2221 1221 | 1211 2044 | 4211 1220 | 0000 0100 | 0443 3544 | 4432 2546 | 4422 3222 | 3434 2244 | 3232 3343 |
| KV  | 3222 3332 | 1213 3244 | 4223 2331 | 1121 2110 | 1454 5454 | 5434 4656 | 5423 3333 | 3335 3355 | 3232 4453 |
| MA  | 2222 1221 | 2113 1143 | 2221 2211 | 0000 0000 | 0343 3444 | 4332 2545 | 3322 2222 | 3434 3244 | 2222 3443 |
| DB  | 3221 2221 | 1223 2144 | 3223 1221 | 1001 1010 | 1444 4444 | 4433 3546 | 5413 2233 | 3434 3354 | 3232 3343 |
| PR  | 3221 1232 | 1212 2244 | 3222 1321 | 1011 1000 | 1444 4544 | 4433 3555 | 5413 2233 | 3434 3354 | 2232 3343 |
| LV  | 2221 2223 | 2213 2333 | 2211 2321 | 1111 1121 | 2244 4434 | 4323 3445 | 3322 2223 | 3324 2254 | 3333 3342 |
| KD  | 1121 0222 | 0032 3334 | 3222 1330 | 0020 0000 | 0455 5554 | 5314 6666 | 5423 4354 | 2425 4354 | 3132 4242 |
| VI  | 1121 0121 | 1114 4122 | 3323 2220 | 0000 0000 | 0355 5543 | 4555 5443 | 5424 3221 | 3425 4324 | 2263 3332 |
| NE  | 2121 0111 | 1103 3122 | 3323 1220 | 0000 0000 | 1365 5543 | 3545 3333 | 4313 3211 | 3424 3333 | 2253 2332 |
| FU  | 2211 1121 | 1211 2143 | 3212 1211 | 1110 1000 | 1433 3444 | 4422 2545 | 5422 2222 | 3424 2244 | 2232 3232 |
| CF  | 3212 1222 | 1201 2144 | 3221 1221 | 0000 0000 | 0444 4444 | 4333 3545 | 5422 3223 | 3424 3244 | 3233 3343 |
| HB  | 3112 2222 | 2223 3244 | 4223 1321 | 1021 2000 | 1444 5454 | 5433 3656 | 5423 3233 | 3535 3354 | 3232 3453 |
| UB  | 2222 1332 | 2123 4244 | 3232 3331 | 1321 0001 | 3465 6655 | 5535 4666 | 5444 4433 | 3436 5455 | 3453 5443 |
| JO  | 2221 1111 | 1212 2133 | 3222 1210 | 0000 1100 | 0343 3334 | 3443 2337 | 4312 2211 | 2425 3234 | 2132 2222 |

|    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SA | 3121 | 1222 | 2323 | 3133 | 4322 | 3333 | 2310 | 1112 | 2465 | 5553 | 3455 | 4555 | 5334 | 4323 | 3435 | 4345 | 3342 | 4343 |
| TY | 3322 | 3222 | 2223 | 3344 | 3223 | 3322 | 1132 | 2111 | 1544 | 5454 | 5443 | 3556 | 5433 | 3233 | 3535 | 3354 | 3332 | 3353 |
| OO | 3222 | 1331 | 2213 | 2244 | 3223 | 2330 | 1111 | 1111 | 1454 | 5454 | 4423 | 4555 | 4323 | 3333 | 3435 | 3355 | 2243 | 4343 |
| KK | 2221 | 2222 | ---  | ---  | 323  | 1332 | 3423 | 2122 | 3454 | 5444 | 4444 | 5655 | 3343 | 3332 | 3435 | 3544 | 4343 | 4342 |
| OT | 2210 | 1111 | 1122 | 2033 | 3222 | 2110 | 0000 | 0000 | 0453 | 4433 | 5454 | 4326 | 3312 | 3212 | 3425 | 3124 | 3253 | 3222 |
| SU | 2122 | 3322 | 1223 | 3344 | 3213 | 1321 | 1020 | 1111 | 0444 | 5335 | 4423 | 4555 | 3223 | 3322 | 3335 | 3255 | 3142 | 3242 |
| GC | 3121 | 0121 | 1212 | 2144 | 3221 | 1320 | 0000 | 0000 | 0444 | 5454 | 4433 | 4546 | 5423 | 2223 | 3435 | 3254 | 3243 | 3343 |
| MT | 2111 | 1220 | 1113 | 3133 | 3222 | 3220 | 1100 | 0000 | 1365 | 5543 | 3325 | 3545 | 3435 | 3322 | 3435 | 4244 | 2242 | 4332 |
| VK | 2422 | 1333 | 1333 | 4224 | 4432 | 3322 | 2222 | 2222 | 3565 | 5553 | 3435 | 4655 | 5443 | 3333 | 3426 | 4445 | 2243 | 4443 |
| AT | 3331 | 2332 | 1333 | 3244 | 4323 | 3431 | 1133 | 1111 | 2455 | 5555 | 4535 | 4656 | 5334 | 4323 | 3446 | 4355 | 4244 | 4442 |
| LG | 3210 | 0121 | 1102 | 2045 | 4211 | 1220 | 0000 | 0000 | 0443 | 4444 | 5532 | 2546 | 4422 | 1122 | 4523 | 2255 | 2242 | 3343 |
| AQ | 3111 | 0122 | 1111 | 1044 | 3221 | 1221 | 1000 | 0000 | 0343 | 5444 | 4432 | 4545 | 5312 | 2223 | 3424 | 1254 | 3132 | 3342 |
| TF | 2212 | 2231 | 2324 | 3244 | 3223 | 2431 | 2233 | 2111 | 2445 | 6544 | 4433 | 3655 | 5333 | 3323 | 3335 | 3255 | 3243 | 4342 |
| TK | 1001 | 0221 | 0101 | 2133 | 3222 | 2430 | 0000 | 0000 | 0565 | 6554 | 4324 | 4656 | 5314 | 4323 | 2435 | 4355 | 3243 | 4342 |
| IK | 3111 | 1121 | 1212 | 2144 | 3222 | 1321 | 1000 | 0000 | 0443 | 5444 | 5333 | 3555 | 4322 | 2332 | 3424 | 3354 | 2132 | 3342 |
| CI | 2211 | 1121 | 2212 | 2043 | 3233 | 1210 | 1000 | 0000 | 0444 | 5444 | 4432 | 3436 | 4425 | 2323 | 3424 | 3254 | 3232 | 3243 |
| TL | 2221 | 0211 | 1104 | 2143 | 3111 | 1210 | 0100 | 0100 | 1333 | 2324 | 4422 | 2435 | 3211 | 1212 | 3313 | 2154 | 1131 | 3143 |
| FR | 2211 | 1111 | 1222 | 2033 | 3222 | 2122 | 1000 | 0100 | 0443 | 4343 | 4443 | 3325 | 4322 | 2212 | 3425 | 3234 | 3152 | 3232 |
| PE | 3101 | 0111 | 1121 | 1033 | 3211 | 1220 | 0000 | 0100 | 1353 | 5344 | 4333 | 4546 | 5323 | 3323 | 2424 | 2254 | 2131 | 3342 |
| AK | 3332 | 1222 | 2212 | 1133 | 3322 | 2432 | 2222 | 1000 | 0444 | 5655 | 5445 | 5665 | 5544 | 3443 | 3324 | 4443 | 4433 | 3332 |
| SM | 2232 | 2222 | 2222 | 2244 | 3223 | 2321 | 2122 | 2221 | 2344 | 4334 | 3533 | 2335 | 4322 | 3322 | 3334 | 3254 | 2233 | 3333 |
| AE | 2223 | 2120 | 2213 | 3344 | 2123 | 2320 | 1112 | 3300 | 0443 | 5334 | 4333 | 5446 | 2323 | 3323 | 3414 | 3244 | 3032 | 3343 |
| SF | 3222 | 3232 | 2223 | 3444 | 3233 | 2331 | 1014 | 3321 | 1443 | 5445 | 5434 | 5547 | 3323 | 4--- | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  |
| KA | 1111 | 1221 | 1113 | 3234 | 3222 | 3321 | 0100 | 0000 | 1464 | 4433 | 3334 | 3544 | 5233 | 3322 | 3425 | 3234 | 3152 | 3232 |
| KS | 3122 | 1221 | 1112 | 2144 | 4222 | 2432 | 2111 | 1111 | 1554 | 7535 | 5433 | 3556 | 5332 | 3312 | 4435 | 3355 | 3242 | 4343 |
| AV | 2212 | 1111 | 1211 | 1133 | 3122 | 2211 | 1111 | 1110 | 1444 | 3433 | 3333 | 4436 | 3323 | 3322 | 3423 | 3244 | 2132 | 3232 |
| DS | 2222 | 1212 | 1223 | 3143 | 4333 | 2221 | 1000 | 0111 | 1454 | 5454 | 4544 | 4434 | 6423 | 3312 | 4535 | 3235 | 3253 | 3333 |
| TU | 2221 | 0211 | 1213 | 3133 | 4232 | 1321 | 1000 | 0000 | 1454 | 5443 | 3444 | 3323 | 6423 | 3211 | 3515 | 3235 | 2242 | 3333 |
| KY | 1111 | 0221 | 1113 | 3134 | 3222 | 3320 | 0100 | 0000 | 0465 | 4433 | 3334 | 3534 | 5323 | 3322 | 3425 | 3234 | 2232 | 4332 |
| QU |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| ML | 2224 | 2333 | 2223 | 3344 | 4332 | 2322 | 1232 | 2112 | 2556 | 6434 | 5433 | 5556 | 4333 | 2223 | 3335 | 3354 | 3334 | 3343 |
| SZ | 2111 | 1121 | 1112 | 1332 | 2221 | 2111 | 1001 | 3111 | 1433 | 6434 | 4334 | 4436 | 3322 | 3322 | 3423 | 3344 | 3123 | 2343 |
| LP | 2211 | 1221 | 2322 | 3233 | 4432 | 3332 | 2111 | 1111 | 3475 | 5453 | 3344 | 3635 | 4343 | 4333 | 4446 | 5333 | 4343 | 4333 |
| TA | 2111 | 1122 | 1101 | 1043 | 31-1 | 1311 | ---  | ---  | ---  | 5444 | 43-- | 3446 | 2312 | 2223 | 34-4 | 2144 | --2  | 2343 |
| HO | 2120 | 0122 | 1213 | 2033 | 3212 | 2121 | 1000 | 0000 | 0463 | 4432 | 4334 | 2333 | 4323 | 3323 | 3423 | 3234 | 3242 | 2222 |
| TE | 1222 | 2132 | 1211 | 2143 | 4332 | 3323 | 2112 | 2243 | 2453 | 4452 | 2544 | 3455 | 5332 | 3222 | 3535 | 2--- | ---  | 3222 |
| AL | 2222 | 1232 | 1213 | 3233 | 3232 | 2431 | 1111 | 1111 | 0464 | 6444 | 3213 | 4546 | 4332 | 3323 | 3336 | 3354 | 2233 | 3342 |
| SJ | 0100 | 0100 | 1100 | 1133 | 3320 | 0010 | 0000 | 0000 | 0443 | 4453 | 2423 | 2434 | 5322 | 2122 | 3334 | 1235 | 3131 | 3221 |
| MB | 2111 | 1231 | 1111 | 2133 | 2212 | 1221 | 1001 | 1111 | 1443 | 5543 | 3224 | 5435 | 3412 | 2322 | 3422 | 3334 | 3122 | 3232 |
| MU | 3322 | 2222 | 2333 | 3233 | 4432 | 3333 | 3220 | 1011 | 4575 | 5454 | 2334 | 4634 | 4433 | 4333 | 5546 | 4333 | 4443 | 4333 |
| GU | 2222 | 1111 | 1223 | 3123 | 4222 | 2211 | 1101 | 0001 | 1464 | 4332 | 3214 | 2533 | 3323 | 3322 | 5425 | 4223 | 3232 | 3223 |
| BA | 2010 | 0122 | 1100 | 1043 | 2221 | 0321 | 1000 | 0000 | 0444 | 6324 | 4322 | 2446 | 2322 | 2233 | 3322 | 1244 | 3120 | 3342 |
| MC | 2021 | 2022 | 1103 | 2044 | 2221 | 2221 | 0000 | 0000 | 0444 | 6443 | 4422 | 2445 | 4212 | 2222 | 3423 | 2244 | 3234 | 3242 |
| TG | 4353 | 2222 | 3241 | 2132 | 5443 | 3431 | 3232 | 0011 | 5565 | 5453 | 2354 | 3625 | 4343 | 4333 | 5546 | 4343 | 5252 | 4333 |
| LU | 1010 | 0221 | 1112 | 2233 | 2221 | 2320 | 0001 | 3320 | 0433 | 5554 | 3211 | 3455 | 1211 | 2222 | 3334 | 2233 | 2122 | 3232 |
| PM | 2111 | 0121 | 1223 | 3124 | 3222 | 2221 | 2210 | 0011 | 1464 | 4443 | 3315 | 3533 | 2323 | 3321 | 4425 | 2234 | 2232 | 3232 |
| HU | 1111 | 2443 | 1222 | 3344 | 3222 | 2442 | 1004 | 2332 | 0443 | 4655 | 4433 | 4455 | 5323 | 4422 | 3433 | 4434 | 3223 | 4432 |
| PP | 1110 | 0011 | 0102 | 2032 | 2221 | 1011 | 0100 | 0001 | 1352 | 3422 | 3313 | 2323 | 4323 | 232- | 3423 | 2133 | 2131 | 1122 |
| TN | 2223 | 2322 | 2133 | 2333 | 3333 | 3331 | 2132 | 2201 | 2344 | 6335 | 3323 | 3555 | 3233 | 3313 | 3335 | 3253 | 3333 | 3232 |
| PI | 1100 | 0211 | 1100 | 2--- | ---  | 321  | 1000 | 0220 | 0454 | 4453 | 4433 | 3364 | 5422 | 3324 | 4434 | 3335 | 3333 | 3334 |
| GN | 2021 | 0321 | 1103 | 2234 | 4222 | 2340 | 1000 | 0001 | 2343 | 6544 | 3215 | 3654 | 5334 | 2322 | 4423 | 3243 | 2221 | 4223 |
| HR | 2122 | 1221 | 1203 | 2143 | 3222 | 3331 | 1001 | 0000 | 1345 | 5543 | 3323 | 4446 | 3224 | 3313 | 3434 | 4243 | 2133 | 4242 |
| AC | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  |
| TO | 3231 | 1221 | 2213 | 3234 | ---  | 2220 | -210 | 0001 | 1553 | 5544 | 3322 | 3324 | 5322 | 34-- | 4322 | 2224 | 3222 | 3333 |
| AM | 1221 | 0100 | 2303 | 3012 | 4332 | 0110 | 0000 | 0000 | 1563 | 4421 | 2334 | 4433 | 4423 | 1100 | 3534 | 3124 | 2342 | 2332 |
| TW | 1111 | 1322 | 1201 | 1133 | 3231 | 2331 | 1000 | 0120 | 1553 | 5443 | 3423 | 4344 | 5323 | 4333 | 4333 | 2334 | 3223 | 3342 |
| MI | 233- | 0321 | 2325 | 5224 | 4443 | 3421 | 2111 | 0022 | 2585 | 7764 | 4447 | 6677 | 3426 | 3322 | 3525 | 5434 | 3353 | 6342 |
| MY | 4454 | 4333 | 3545 | 4245 | 5655 | 4432 | 2323 | 2223 | 3776 | 4344 | 5554 | 4635 | 5554 | 3423 | 4676 | 4365 | 4666 | 4344 |
| MW | 4543 | 2353 | 3543 | 4375 | 6552 | 4432 | 2211 | 1225 | 1584 | 4545 | 6564 | 5656 | 4333 | 3334 | 5645 | 3367 | 4533 | 4454 |
| NL | 3211 | 1221 | 3222 | 3244 | 4332 | 2231 | 0111 | 2212 | 1354 | 4456 | 6553 | 2577 | 5522 | 2323 | 3734 | 3246 | 3333 | 3333 |
| SB | 3332 | 3444 | 3423 | 3346 | 5443 | 3453 | 2211 | 2234 | 3454 | 4543 | 4434 | 3334 | 5333 | 4433 | 4435 | 4444 | 4342 | 4554 |
| VO | 3344 | 4332 | 3443 | 4334 | 5454 | 4432 | 2233 | 3222 | 2556 | 4442 | 4444 | 4334 | 5434 | 3312 | 4454 | 4344 | 3344 | 4333 |

Solar Wind Velocities and Geomagnetic Activity Associated with the Cosmic Ray Increases  
of January 24, 1971 and September 1, 1971

by

S. Krajcovic  
Geophysical Institute  
of  
The Slovak Academy of Sciences  
Bratislava, Czechoslovakia

Initially, we consider the geomagnetic activity from January 17, 1971 to January 31, 1971. The beginning of this critical period is characterized by one Q day (maximum  $K_p = 3-$ ; minimum  $K_p = 0+$ ;  $\Sigma K_p = 13-$ ). The five following days (Jan. 18-22) are characterized by disturbances with  $\Sigma K_p$  values lying between 16 and 32-. January 23, 1971 is a Q day of the order of 13 for  $\Sigma K_p$ . The two following days are comparable with respect to the geomagnetic activity with the days of the preceding subperiod (before Jan. 17) and are followed by one Q day with the minimum magnetic activity of the total critical period, i.e.  $\Sigma K_p = 2+$ .

On the beginning of January 27, 1971 there appeared an ssc at 0024 UT of an important magnetic storm, the duration of which is about two days. The sum of planetary K-indices decreased on January 29, 1971 to the value of 22-, and then it was followed by one disturbed day (January 30, 1971) which has evidently no association with the cosmic ray increase on January 24, 1971. All of this is illustrated on the upper part of Figure 1.

The second critical period analysed in the present paper begins on August 25, 1971 and ends on September 8, 1971. This situation is shown in the lower part of Figure 1. At the beginning of this period two normal days are followed by two quiet days, August 27-28, 1971. Two more normal days follow these. The end of August 1971 is characterized by an important disturbed day (with respect to September 1, 1971) during which the maximum  $\Sigma K_p$  reached the value of 33.




Fig. 1a. Geomagnetic activity of the period January 17-31, 1971 as characterized by  $\Sigma K_p$  for each day. D, Q and QQ refer to disturbed, quiet and very quiet days, respectively.




Fig. 1b. Same as Figure 1a for the period August 25-September 8, 1971.

Even if the day of September 1, 1971 is termed a normal one, it is very important to notice that the values of three-hour indices are of order of 20, i.e. they are practically on the threshold of the disturbed subperiod.

The most important difference noted in comparing the geomagnetic activity of the critical days of the above mentioned periods (namely, January 24, 1971 and September 1, 1971) is the following:

- a.) September 1, 1971 is preceded by one disturbed day and followed by two QQ days, then by one day that is rather quiet;
- b.) January 24, 1971 is preceded by one quiet day and followed by one normal and one quiet day, this subperiod preceding an important geomagnetic storm (see Figure 1).

It is noted that the disturbed day on September 7, 1971 has evidently no association with the studied cosmic ray increase of September 1, 1971.

In Figure 2 we can see the time distribution of the solar wind velocities registered during both studied periods aboard Vela 3 and Vela 5 satellites (three hours or daily averages) and aboard Pioneer 6 and Pioneer 7 satellites (hourly averages during selected days).




Fig. 2a. Time distribution of the solar wind velocities for the period January 17-31, 1971. Vela 3 and Vela 5 values (heavy line) are three hour or daily averages. Pioneer 6 and Pioneer 7 values (x's) are hourly averages during selected days.




Fig. 2b. Same as Figure 2a for the period August 25-September 8, 1971.

By comparing Figures 1 and 2 we can state that the correlation among the solar wind velocities and Kp-indices is rather good. In addition we note that the solar wind velocities observed aboard Pioneer 6 and Pioneer 7 are, generally speaking, greater than those observed aboard Vela 3 and Vela 5 satellites. The reason for this is evidently the fact that the Pioneers' solar wind velocities are averaged over shorter time intervals (one hour) than those of the Velas' (three hours or one day).

The time distribution of cosmic ray increases for the above mentioned periods is plotted on Figures 3a and 3b, respectively. The curves represent only the smoothed values of cosmic ray indices (pressure corrected smoothed hourly totals) of Alert Neutron Monitor for selected periods which are published in "Solar-Geophysical Data".

At first glance it seems that both curves plotted on Figure 3a and 3b, respectively, are very similar, but in fact they vary greatly. The first curve (Figure 3a) is characterized at its beginning by the mean level of cosmic ray indices of the order of 104-106%. At the end of the "critical" day, we observe a sudden increase of cosmic ray indices to the level of 115%. After maximum, it decreases again in about 6 hours to 106%, remaining at this level for about two days. On the beginning of January 27 we observe a sudden decrease, the minimum of which is reached at noon of the following day. Recovery to the previous level begins on January 29, 1971 and is accomplished by noon of January 30, 1971.




Fig. 3a. Time distribution of cosmic ray increases for January 17-31, 1971 from the Alert Neutron Monitor (pressure corrected smoothed hourly totals).




Fig. 3b. Same as Figure 3a for the period August 25-September 8, 1971.

Notice that the days of January 27-28, 1971 are the days when an important geomagnetic storm was registered on the Earth's surface. Thus we are correct in stating that the above mentioned depression of cosmic ray indices was caused by a stronger interplanetary magnetic field that had occurred during the period of geomagnetic storm. The above mentioned depression of cosmic ray indices was seen by other cosmic ray stations, e.g., Calgary, Deep River, Sulphur Mountain, etc.

The situation on the second "critical" day (September 1, 1971) is quite different. The first interval of this period is characterized by an oscillation of cosmic ray indices among the range of values 112 to 114% and then by marked increase to 127% on September 1, 1971. The value of the cosmic ray indices decreases during the next 12 hours to 112% and then oscillates among the values 112-113% until September 7, 1971, when the earlier level is again reached.

In this case the cosmic ray increase was not followed by a geomagnetic storm observable on the Earth's surface. The reason for this is found in the location of the eruption on the solar surface, about  $39^\circ$  behind the western edge of the solar disk, while in the January analyzed data the position of the eruption as a source of solar cosmic radiation is defined by the coordinates N19 W50.

The occurrence of a magnetic storm in the second case can be confirmed only on the basis of the measurements aboard Pioneers and Velas, respectively, of solar wind velocities and proton densities. These data were not available at time of preparation of this contribution.

In conclusion, it is evident that the experimental data obtained by other scientists in space experiments or in ground-level observations, when published, can contribute to a more complete analysis of the above mentioned events of cosmic ray increases and related phenomena.

Recurrent Tendencies in Geomagnetic Activity at the Time of Increased Cosmic Radiation  
at the Earth's Surface on 24 January 1971 and 1 September 1971

by

Jaroslav Halenka  
Geophysical Institute  
Czechoslovak Academy of Science  
Prague, Czechoslovakia

The purpose of these comments is to show whether and in what way recurrent tendencies are reflected in geomagnetic activity in the neighborhood of the studied GLE's. These may contribute independent data on the nature of the interplanetary medium with a view to the propagation of solar energetic particles.

GLE 24 January 1971, Day 22 of Geomagnetic Rotation No. 1880

The effect occurred in a weakly disturbed interval with slight geomagnetic activity. On the 0 day (24 January 1971)  $\Sigma Kp = 17+$  ( $Ap = 10$ ).  $Kp = 4o$  in the first three-hour interval of day +1 was also the highest value over the whole interval from day -3 to day +2. On day 22 of the previous (-1) rotation  $\Sigma Kp = 24o$  with  $Kp \text{ max} = 4+$ , thus showing weak geomagnetic activity. In rotation -2 around the 22nd day there was a marked minimum of geomagnetic activity (29 November - 2 December 1970, the first half of the day), which reflected the complete absence of an external perturbing factor. In the +1 rotation after the GLE there was weak stable geomagnetic activity,  $\Sigma Kp = 16$  and  $Kp \text{ max} = 3o$ ; the +2 rotation showed slightly increased geomagnetic activity with an ssc and  $Kp \text{ max} = 5-$  on day 22; climax occurred in the +3 rotation with an ssc on day 21 and  $Kp \text{ max} = 8-$  during the last interval of day 21 and subsequent  $Kp \text{ max} = 7-$  during the first interval on day 22 with  $\Sigma Kp = 33o$  ( $Ap = 36$ ). The decrease of geomagnetic activity during the +4 rotation terminates the series of disturbances.

The investigated case occurred between two clearly recurrent increases of geomagnetic activity, also commencing with ssc on days 16 and 25, in which the activity of longer duration was on a moderately increased level. It is separated from them on both sides by well-defined decreases of geomagnetic activity on days 21 and 24, which can be observed during several rotations. The repetition of some of the details in the course of the geomagnetic activity, which might have a recurrent nature, for the immediate vicinity of the studied effect is shown in Figure 1. For the moderate smoothing of the random fluctuations of the  $Kp$ -index, running means over three consecutive three-hour intervals were applied. The long-term comparison of the daily sums of  $Kp$  on days -1, 0 and +1 during rotations Nos. 1873 to 1887, shown in Figure 3a, is remarkable and indicates that the agent causing the geomagnetic activity displayed systematically similar properties over a comparatively wide interval of 3 days during the individual rotations.




Fig. 1.  $Kp$  for rotations 1879-1881.



The effect occurred during a weakly disturbed period at the time of the decline of activity. On the day 0 (1 September)  $\Sigma Kp = 190$  ( $A_p = 10$ ), the  $Kp$  max = 50 in the first and eighth three-hour intervals of the preceding day also represent the highest values within a wide interval around day 0. An ssc occurred in the eighth interval on day -2. In the previous (-1) rotation on the day 26  $\Sigma Kp = 18-$  with  $Kp$  max = 4+ and weak activity on the decline similar to rotation -2, in which the series of disturbances appeared at an even lower level of activity; however, an ssc was observed on day 25. The characteristic feature of the investigated case, the decreasing nature of the degree of disturbance in the course of day 26, was also preserved in the +1 rotation ( $\Sigma Kp = 180$ ) and partly also in the +2 rotation, when the series of disturbances was terminated.

The investigated event was separated at both ends from the neighboring activity by marked, clearly recurrent decreases of geomagnetic activity on days 24 and 27, which can clearly be observed in many rotations. The repetition of several details in the close vicinity of the studied event, which might have a recurrent nature, is shown in Figure 2, again with the help of the curves of the  $Kp$ -index smoothed by running means over three three-hour intervals. The comparison of the daily  $Kp$ -index sums on days -1, 0 and +1 during rotations Nos. 1881 to 1894 is illustrated in Figure 3b, and in comparison with the GLE of 24 January 1971 is not as good, which shows that the agent causing the geomagnetic activity could have had different properties in the transverse direction in some of the rotations during the appropriate three-day interval.

On the whole it may be said that the recurrent tendencies in the fine time structure of geomagnetic activity in the closest neighborhood of the investigated events was not particularly marked. However, it was at least outlined. As regards the occurrence of increases and decreases of geomagnetic activity over the period of several days around both GLE's, the recurrent tendency is indisputable in general features. One may assume, therefore, that in the corresponding intervals the conditions for the propagation of energetic particles (particularly as regards the GLE of 24 January 1971) were comparatively stable over a long period, and that with a view to the geomagnetic activity in neither of the cases was there a question of forming a substantially new structure within the appropriate sectors of the interplanetary medium.




Fig. 2.  $Kp$  for rotations 1887-1889.




Fig. 3. Kp running means over three consecutive three-hour intervals.

# Geomagnetically Active Plages and Flares Observed during the Interval Including January 24, 1971

by

M. C. Ballario  
Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri  
Florence, Italy

## Abstract

The moderate geomagnetic disturbance observed on January 24 ( $K_p$  max = 4) is related to an S proton flare recorded on January 22 (Time-lag of about 2 days).

On January 24 proton flares of importance 2, 1 and S are observed. They are related to the sc geomagnetic storm ( $K_p$  max = 6) of January 27 (Figure 1).

The other geomagnetic storms and disturbances observed during the intervals January 7 - February 13, including the selected days, are well correlated either with CMPs of positive plages or with occurrences of "specific flares", namely proton flares (Figure 1).

## Introduction

Solar phenomena occurring during the interval January 7 - February 13, 1971, are examined.

In Figure 1 are marked:

- A. The CMPs of all recurrent and non-recurrent plages as given in the McMath calcium plage list (Solar-Geophysical Data, Part I, Boulder, Colorado).
- B. The CMPs of recurrent positive plages only.  
The positive plages are never associated, before the meridian transit, with spot-groups type C or greater but, at the most, with spot-groups type A or B (spots without penumbra).

The CMPs of positive plages are generally associated with geomagnetic storms or disturbances; the correlation being about 78% [Ballario, 1970a].

On the contrary the negative plages are associated, before the meridian transit and at least for part of their life, with spot-groups type C or greater (spot with penumbra).

The CMPs of negative plages, as well as their CMPs in the subsequent rotations, are generally associated with quiet or slightly disturbed geomagnetic conditions [Ballario, 1970a], unless a resurgence takes place.

It is also seen that the CMPs of non-recurrent plages, whatever the associated spot-group type may be, are geomagnetically inactive.

The subdivision into negative and positive plages, depending on the associated spot-group type, is based on the Fraunhofer Institut Solar Maps.

The plage subdivision into recurrent and non-recurrent is given in the McMath calcium plage list. However, we have to note that, particularly when the active centers show only very small and negligible plages which appear and disappear during their life, some classified non-recurrent plages may be considered as recurrent ones. Thus, in this regard, some changes have been made.

- C. The geomagnetic index  $K_p$  (Bartels).

- D. The geomagnetically active flares.

We are not able, at present, to give the characteristics distinguishing the geomagnetically active flares from the inactive ones. Only "a posteriori" we may correlate geomagnetic storms and disturbances with flare occurrences.

In a previous paper [Ballario, 1970a] we have found that 48% of importance 2 and 3 flares, 53% of importance 1 proton flares and 21% of importance S proton flares were followed by geomagnetic storms or disturbances with  $K_p$  maximum value  $\geq 4+$  (time-lag of about 2 days), while the others were followed by quiet or slightly disturbed geomagnetic conditions. On the other hand it is well known that importance 1 and S flares are geomagnetically inactive.

In some cases the geomagnetic storms or disturbances are related both with CMPs of positive plages and with flare occurrences. Only when there are not CMPs of positive plages associated with the disturbance, can we consider the flare entirely responsible for the disturbance itself.




Table 1

CMPS of plages recorded during the interval Jan. 7 - Feb. 13, 1971  
and their subdivision into positive and negative plages.

| Mc Math Data |      |               |                           |                        |      | Characteristics                        | Remarks<br>(group type from<br>Fraunhofer Institut<br>solar maps) |
|--------------|------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------|--|---|
| CMP<br>1971  | Lat. | Hel.<br>long. | McMath<br>plage<br>number | Return<br>of<br>region | Age  |  |   |
| Jan.         |      |               |                           |                        |      |  |   |
| 7.3          | S30  | 47°           | 11107                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. negative                      | - -   |
| 8.3          | N18  | 33            | 11108                     | 111073                 | 3&5  | recurrent "                            | E groups in the prec.rot.   |
| 9.0          | S23  | 24            | 11124                     | New                    | 1    | rec. <u>positive</u> <sup>+</sup> (a)  | Rising in the W hemisph.  |
| 9.1          | S13  | 23            | 11110                     | 11078                  | 3    | rec. negative                          | D groups in prec. rot.  |
| 9.6          | S20  | 16            | 11120                     | New                    | 1    | rec. <u>positive</u> <sup>+</sup> (a)  | Rising in the W hemisph.  |
| 10.2         | N10  | 7             | 11112                     | 11077                  | 3    | rec. negative                          | E groups in the prec. rot.  |
| 10.6         | S04  | 3             | 11111                     | New                    | 1    | rec. "                                 | Eastern E groups  |
| 11.5         | S13  | 351           | 11114                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. "                             | - -   |
| 11.6         | S24  | 350           | 11125                     | New                    | 1    | rec. <u>positive</u> <sup>+</sup> (b)  | Rising in the W hemisph.  |
| 13.0         | S10  | 332           | 11116                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. negative                      | - -   |
| 13.7         | N11  | 322           | 11117                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. " -                           | - -   |
| 14.9         | S20  | 307           | 11118                     | New                    | 1    | rec. <u>positive</u> <sup>+</sup> (c)  | No spots  |
| 15.2         | S15  | 303           | 11121                     | New                    | 1    | rec. negative                          | Eastern C groups  |
| 15.8         | N19  | 295           | 11119                     | 11084                  | 2    | rec. "                                 | G groups in the prec. rot.  |
| 17.1         | S11  | 278           | 11122                     | 11087                  | 2    | rec. <u>positive</u>                   | A,B. groups   |
| 17.2         | N08  | 276           | 11131                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. negative                      | - -   |
| 18.2         | N13  | 263           | 11123                     | 11088                  | 3    | rec. <u>positive</u>                   | Experiences a resurgence  |
| 20.8         | S10  | 229           | 11127                     | 11090                  | 2    | rec. negative                          | C groups in the prec. rot.  |
| 21.3         | N20  | 222           | 11128                     | New                    | 1    | rec. "                                 | Eastern E groups  |
| 23.8         | N11  | 189           | 11129                     | 11096                  | 2    | rec. "                                 | Eastern D groups  |
| 24.0         | S14  | 187           | 11130                     | 11095                  | 2    | rec. "                                 | Eastern J groups  |
| 25.8         | N15  | 163           | 11133                     | 11097                  | 4    | rec. "                                 | Eastern J groups  |
| 26.3         | S01  | 156           | 11138                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. "                             | - -   |
| 27.7         | S19  | 138           | 11139                     | New                    | 1    | rec. <u>positive</u> <sup>+</sup> (d)  | No spots  |
| 28.0         | N19  | 134           | 11135                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. negative                      | - -   |
| 29.2         | N04  | 118           | 11136                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. "                             | - -   |
| 30.7         | S12  | 98            | 11134                     | New                    | 1    | rec. "                                 | Eastern D groups  |
| 31.3         | N08  | 90            | 11140                     | 11105                  | 2    | rec. <u>positive</u>                   | Experiences a resurgence  |
| 31.8         | N25  | 84            | 11143                     | 11102                  | 2    | rec. negative                          | J groups in the prec. rot.  |
| Feb.         |      |               |                           |                        |      |  |   |
| 1.9          | S07  | 69°           | 11144                     | 11106                  | 4    | rec. negative                          | D groups in prec. rot.  |
| 3.0          | N13  | 55            | 11137                     | 11108                  | 14&5 | rec. "                                 | Eastern F groups  |
| 3.0          | S14  | 55            | 11142                     | 11124                  | 2    | rec. "                                 | Eastern C groups  |
| 3.5          | N25  | 48            | 11153                     | New                    | 1    | rec. <u>uncertain</u> <sup>+</sup> (e) | - -   |
| 5.7          | S22  | 19            | 11142                     | 11124                  | 2    | rec. negative                          | Eastern C groups  |
| 6.0          | N21  | 15            | 11146                     | 11108                  | 4    | rec. "                                 | E,D groups in prec. rot.  |
| 6.3          | S05  | 12            | 11145                     | 11111                  | 2    | rec. "                                 | Eastern H groups  |
| 8.0          | S23  | 349           | 11154                     | New                    | 1    | rec. <u>positive</u> <sup>+</sup> (b)  | Visible in the W hemisph.   |
| 8.0          | S15  | 349           | 11158                     | New                    | 1    | rec. <u>positive</u>                   | Rising in the W hemisph.  |
| 8.3          | N09  | 345           | 11148                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. negative                      | - -   |
| 9.3          | N15  | 332           | 11152                     | New                    | 1    | rec. "                                 | Eastern C groups  |
| 9.4          | S40  | 331           | 11147                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. "                             | - -   |
| 10.6         | S20  | 315           | 11159                     | New                    | 1    | rec. <u>positive</u> <sup>+</sup> (c)  | Visible in the W hemisph.   |
| 11.0         | N11  | 310           | 11150                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. negative                      | - -   |
| 11.4         | S10  | 304           | 11160                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. "                             | - -   |
| 11.7         | S10  | 300           | 11151                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. "                             | - -   |
| 12.3         | S06  | 293           | 11161                     | New                    | 1    | non-rec. "                             | - -   |
| 12.4         | N20  | 291           | 11150                     | 11119                  | 3    | rec. "                                 | G,H groups in prec. rot.  |
| 13.9         | N23  | 271           | 11155                     | 11119                  | 3    | rec. "                                 | " " " " "   |

Table 1 continued

- +(a) Plages 11124 and 11120 at  $L = 24^\circ$  and  $L = 16^\circ$  are probably the same plage recurrent with the negative plage 11142 at  $L = 19^\circ$  (CMP: 5.7 February).
- +(b) Plage 11125 at  $L = 350^\circ$  is probably recurrent with the positive plage 11154 at  $L = 349^\circ$  (CMP: Feb. 8.0).
- +(c) Plage 11118 at  $L = 307^\circ$  is probably recurrent with the positive plage 11159 at  $L = 315^\circ$  (CMP: Feb. 10.6).
- +(d) Plage 11139 at  $L = 138^\circ$  is probably recurrent with the negative plage 11165 at  $16S$  and  $L = 140^\circ$  (CMP: Feb. 23.8).
- +(e) Plage 11153 develops in the following part of the negative plage 11137.

Table 2

Importance 2 flares and importance 2,1 S proton flares observed during the interval Jan. 7 - Feb. 13, 1971 (from Quarterly Bulletin on Solar Activity)

| Date 1971 | Time U.T.    | Max U.T.     | Position | Imp. | App. and corr. aerea | Charac. | McMath Region | Proposed correlation between Kp max. and flare max. (time-lag of about 2 days) |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|------|----------------------|---------|---------------|--|
| Jan. 16   | 0804<br>1030 | 0830         | 18N-65E  | 2N   | 2.6<br>-             | ELWZ    | 11128         | Kp max = 5- on Jan. 18.6   |
| 22        | 2338<br>2435 | 2415         | 19N-23W  | SB   | 1.0<br>1.2           | U       | 11128         | Kp max = 4 on Jan. 25.1  |
| 24        | 1436<br>1500 | 1445         | 21N-47W  | 1N   | 1.3<br>2.1           | LU      | 11128         | Kp max = 6 on Jan. 27.3  |
| 24        | 1706<br>1803 | 1723<br>1730 | 18N-45W  | 1N   | 1.6<br>-             | FU      | 11128         |  |
| 24        | 2035<br>2120 | 2039<br>2048 | 19N-50W  | SN   | 1.0<br>-             | EKU     | 11128         |  |
| 24        | 2215<br>2231 | 2227         | 20N-48W  | 1N   | 1.9<br>-             | FU      | 11128         |  |
| 24        | 2308<br>2530 | 2315<br>2331 | 18N-49W  | 2B   | 4.1<br>-             | KU      | 11128         | Geomagnetically inactive   |
| 31        | 1114<br>1153 | 1116         | 12S-12W  | SB   | 1.9<br>1.9           | UZ      | 11134         |  |
| Feb. 4    | 0827<br>0855 | 0834         | 04N-31W  | SN   | -<br>1.0             | U       | 11137         | " "  |
| 5         | 1546<br>1558 | 1546         | 08S-09E  | SN   | 1.1<br>1.1           | EUV     | 11145         | " "  |
| 5         | 2221<br>2320 | 2225         | 09S-02E  | 2N   | -<br>-               | SV      | 11145         | " "  |
| 6         | 0438<br>0607 | 0507         | 17N-23W  | 2N   | 6.0<br>6.8           | SU      | 11137         | " "  |
| 11        | 0428<br>0608 | 0504         | 28N-60W  | 2F   | 2.2<br>-             | FGHL    | 11146         | " "  |

E. All the flares observed as given in the Quarterly Bulletin on Solar Activity.

#### The Events of January 7 - February 13

##### Plages

The CMPs of recurrent and non-recurrent plages observed during this interval and their subdivision into positive and negative plages are presented in Table 1 and marked in Figure 1A.

The positive plages are 11 in number and one is uncertain (McMath No. 11153). Their CMPs are marked in Figure 1B. Some of these positive plages, given as non-recurrent in the McMath calcium plage list, may be considered as recurrent ones (see footnotes of Table 1).

Broken lines relate the CMPs of positive plages with geomagnetic storms or disturbances (Figures 1B, 1C).

##### Flares

The data referring to importance 2 flares and importance 2, 1, S proton flares are presented in Table 2. They are 13 in number and only seven of them (five of which occurred in the same day) are followed by geomagnetic storms or disturbances.

These geomagnetically active flares are marked in Figure 1D.

Broken lines relate the maxima of the flares with the maxima of the storms or disturbances (Figures 1D, 1C).

##### Conclusion

The behavior of the geomagnetic index Kp during the interval under examination may be interpreted taking into consideration either the CMPs of recurrent positive plages and/or flare occurrences.

Particularly we note:

- a.) The moderate geomagnetic disturbance of January 24 (Kp max = 4) is entirely due to the proton flare of importance S recorded on January 22 (time-lag of about 2 days), since no CMPs of recurrent positive plages are correlated with the disturbance itself.
- b.) Also the sc geomagnetic storm of January 27 is entirely due to the five proton flares of importance 2, 1, S occurring on January 24.
- c.) The sc geomagnetic disturbance of January 18 is related both with the CMPs of a recurrent positive plage (McMath No. 11122) and to an importance 2 flare occurring on January 16.
- d.) The geomagnetic storms of January 20 and January 29 are related to CMPs of recurrent positive plages (McMath Nos. 11123 and 11139, respectively).
- e.) The CMPs of recurrent negative plages and of non-recurrent plages are associated with quiet or slightly disturbed geomagnetic conditions (see the period February 2 - February 8; plage No. 11153 is probably a negative plage as stated in the footnotes of Table 1).
- f.) Only for the geomagnetic disturbance of January 30 we are not able to give an interpretation since neither CMPs of recurrent positive plages nor flare occurrences are related with it.

The results here obtained are in good agreement with those found in examining the solar and geomagnetic phenomena recorded during the year 1968 [Ballario 1970a] and in other selected intervals [Ballario 1969a, 1969b, 1970b, 1971, 1972].

##### REFERENCES

- |                 |       |  |
|-----------------|-------|--|
| BALLARIO, M. C. | 1969a | On the special events of March 1966, <u>Ann. Geophys.</u> , <u>25</u> , fasc. 1, 135-146.      |
| BALLARIO, M. C. | 1969b | The EQSY 27-day recurrence sequence for 1964, <u>Mem. SAI.</u> , <u>40</u> , fasc. 3, 271-294. |
| BALLARIO, M. C. | 1970a | Solar and geomagnetic events of the year 1968, <u>Ann. Geophys.</u> , <u>26</u> , 459-473.     |

|                 |       |  |
|-----------------|-------|--|
| BALLARIO, M. C. | 1970b | On the solar and geomagnetic events of Oct.-Nov., 1968, <u>World Data Center A Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-8</u> , 231-238. |
| BALLARIO, M. C. | 1971  | March 1970: Solar and geomagnetic events, <u>World Data Center A Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-12, Part III</u> , 349-358.    |
| BALLARIO, M. C. | 1972  | On the geomagnetically active flares of September 1963, <u>Mem. SAIt</u> , in press.   |



by

Bohumila Bednářová-Nováková  
Geophysical Institute, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague

An explanation of the geomagnetic activity in both special intervals and their immediate vicinity with a view to solar activity.

Special Interval, January 24, 1971

Two active centers with sunspots (Figure 1) passed through the Solar Central Meridian on January 23. One was in the north with spot type C16 January 23 (C14 January 24) and had filaments at the circumference of the field towards the equator and one directly on the equator; the other was in the south with spot type E27 January 23 (E30 January 24). Thus, we have two groups of sunspots on either side of the equator. This is a classical case of the Central Meridian Passage of two fields after which geomagnetic calm occurs [U. Becker, 1953; A. Stastná, 1964]. The reason for this is that the corona is split in the region between active centers as a result of two close, strong local magnetic fields, so that the space above the center of the visible solar disk is plasma-free. The bound filament with the northern region also indicates the separation of the fields. The geomagnetic disturbance only occurred after the Central Meridian Passage of the eastern limits of both active centers, where the connection between the northern and southern hemispheres was indicated by a small filament, located in a nearly meridional direction, which vanished between January 23 and 24. Conditions existed there for the generation of a narrower coronal stream which, after having reached the Earth's magnetosphere, caused an increase in geomagnetic activity [B. Bednářová-Nováková, 1961; B. Bednářová-Nováková and J. Halenka, 1969]. A disturbance with a short duration occurred at the end of January 24 (the last three-hour interval) and at the beginning of January 25 (the first three-hour interval). This was followed by complete geomagnetic calm. The storm with an  $\text{SC}$  began on January 27 after the Central Meridian passage of the circumferential unstable filament in the southern hemisphere, which belonged to region E27 on January 23. Part of this filament was located in a nearly meridional direction. The coronal formation has its largest dimension above this filament, corresponding to the length of the filament, similar, e.g., to the case of the 1968 corona in the NW, photographed during the total solar eclipse of September 22, 1968 [B. Bednářová-Nováková, in manuscript]. A stream of this type, if oriented radially above the center of the solar disk, is pointed directly at the Earth and the coronal plasma may affect the geomagnetic field.




Fig. 1. Drawing based on Fraunhofer Institut's Map of the Sun from January 24, 1971.

A central floccular field with an unstable filament passed through the Central Meridian on August 29, 1971. A sudden commencement of a geomagnetic storm followed on August 30, which was the result of the formation of a coronal stream above the center of the visible solar disk. Another disturbance, subsequent to the storm and ending on September 1 (Figure 2), may be attributed to the equatorial wing of a minimum-shape corona forming after Central Meridian Passage of an unstable filament in the southern hemisphere and to several other filaments in the northern hemisphere on August 30. If suitably oriented, conditions are created for the coronal plasma to reach the Earth's magnetosphere. It can be said that the cosmic radiation propagating from the Sun on January 24 and September 1, 1971 had no connection with the geomagnetic activity following, as has already been pointed out in several other cases [J. Halenka, 1968; J. Halenka, 1971].




Fig. 2. Drawing based on Fraunhofer Institut's Map of the Sun from September 1, 1971.

#### REFERENCES

- |                                       |      |   |
|---------------------------------------|------|---|
| BECKER, U.                            | 1953 | Über eine Beziehung zwischen erdmagnetischer Unruhe und der Anordnung der Sonnenflecken, <u>Mitt. Fraunhofer Inst., Freiburg Br., II</u> , 195.                           |
| BEDNÁROVÁ-NOVÁKOVÁ, B.                | 1961 | Storms in IGY and IGC and Occurrence of Some Kinds of Filaments, <u>Studia geoph. et geod.</u> , <u>5</u> , 138-163.  |
| BEDNÁROVÁ-NOVÁKOVÁ, B. and J. HALENKA | 1969 | A Universal Interpretation of the Generation of Geomagnetic Storms Using Features of the Solar Corona, <u>Planet. Space Sci.</u> , <u>17</u> , 1039-1044.                 |
| BEDNÁROVÁ-NOVÁKOVÁ, B.                |      | Solar Corona and Geomagnetic Activity (in manuscript)   |
| HALENKA, J.                           | 1968 | On Correlating "Cosmic" Flares with Geomagnetic Storms, <u>Geofysikální sborník 1967</u> , No. 279, 367-386.  |
| HALENKA, J.                           | 1971 | Geomagnetic Activity and the Solar Situation in the Neighborhood of Proton Effects, <u>Geofysikální sborník 1969</u> , No. 309.   |
| STASTNÁ, A.                           | 1964 | Geomagnetic Activity after Passage of Two Different Configurations of Sunspot Groups through Central Meridian of Sun, <u>Studia geoph. et geod.</u> , <u>8</u> , 174-181. |

## Geomagnetic and SID Effects of the 24 January 1971 GLE

by

J. E. Salcedo  
Manila Observatory  
Manila, Philippines

On the occasion of the Ground Level Event (GLE) of 24 January 1971 many and various geophysical effects were recorded. A study of these effects will help to understand better conditions and mechanisms in the sun, in the earth's atmosphere and in planetary space and their interrelationships.

During the initial activities of McMath region 11128 before 2310 UT on the 24th, a geomagnetic substorm existed which could be the cause of subsequent enhancements of ionospheric conductivities. Figure 1 shows that typical solar wind velocities of about 400 km/sec prevailed until the first onset of 5-21 and 21-70 Mev protons. A 50 km/sec increase was measured by Vela spacecrafts. This increase in solar wind velocity was not significant enough to cause any disturbance in the geomagnetic field. The proton peak occurred some 10 hours, from ATS-1 data, after the H-alpha flare event, and still no impressive geomagnetic effect could be noticed except for the very mild storm in progress. During the steady decline of the protons on the 26th, the X-component of the geomagnetic field calmed down with a prevailing increase of about twenty gammas over the smooth Sq. This calmness in the enhanced X-component of the geomagnetic field lasted until the ssc on the 27th. A phenomenon, such as this, can be attributed to the nearly constant heavy influx of charge (protons in general) into the earth's magnetic field buffering it from ordinary external disturbances.

Also from Figure 1 no other significant solar activity occurred during the period shown, between the great solar event and the geomagnetic ssc. Starting on the 27th at 0431 UT, the ssc was evidence of the arrival of the shock front [Tam and Yousefian, 1972] emanated from the flash phase of the solar flare explosion. This shock front comprised of solar gusts, arrived 53 hours and 08 minutes from the time of the peak centimeter burst, i.e., 2323 UT of the 24th. The solar shock can be described to consist of a classical front of waves with 3 peaks, each succeeding the other at a little over two minute intervals. The solar gust is calculated, from the transit time, to have an average velocity of 772 km/sec. Although this speed is not fast compared with 1100 km/sec velocity of previous big events, it induced a fast compression rate in the geomagnetic cavity. Then, this high compression rate can be attributed to a relatively dense composition of the solar wind which compressed the geomagnetic field X-component to 32 gammas in only a minute and a half at Manila.

The great solar event occurred at about 0700 of the 25th Manila local time. Short-wave transmissions via the F-region showed increased absorption of 7.0 dB on 9.6, 12 and 15 MHz and only 4.0 dB on 18 MHz. A total SWF did not occur because of nearness to sunrise. The shortwave stations monitored in Manila are northerly because of Manila's location at the west edge of the Pacific. Only Japanese and Chinese stations are conveniently receivable. Other factors present in longer distance transmissions make identification of SWF from eastern sources ambiguous.

Another impressive effect on the D-region was displayed on the SPA (Sudden Phase Anomaly) monitors. The NLK (Seattle-Manila 18.6 kHz) path showed a 246° phase advance. The GBR (Rugby-Manila 16.0 kHz) and NDT (Tokyo-Manila 17.4 kHz) paths had 35° and 33° phase advances, respectively. The major portion of the GBR path was still in darkness when the solar event took place. The NDT path, though one hour east of Manila, was not fully exposed due to the winter tilt. If these SPAs were to be normalized, i.e., maximum lit path [Wisdom, 1971] a 500-degree phase advance could be attained. Wisdom showed that normalized SPA exceeding 120° for NLK, 115° for GBR and 80° for NDT, fall into the category of a major SPA.

The main event of 2322 UT, the 2B flare, was preceded by at least four other significant events in McMath region 11128 within three hours. After the big event, all was quiet except some ten hours later, at a different region, McMath 11130, a sub-flare erupted. This makes the 2322 UT 2B flare a good specimen in the study of subsequent behavior of the geomagnetic field.

Figure 2 reveals certain characteristics of the plasma shock front, responsible for the ssc, nearly retained until its arrival on earth. The three outstanding initial peaks in the dekameter total power intensity were also manifested in the geomagnetic storm commencement, indicating a common causative agent: the plasma front. It is worthy of note that the peaks in the ssc are widely separated, 5.6 minutes against 2.6 minutes in the dekameter profile. Due to the  $1.5 \times 10^8$  km course the solar wind shock front travelled, the earlier waves moved fast - thus more widely separated on arrival. The shock front while being propagated outward into the corona was excited into plasma oscillation (an accepted mechanism in the generation of Type IV dekametric events). The denser the plasma, the more intense the dekameter emission. These three peaks, therefore, suggest that the shock front is comprised of bunches of plasma waves. The same waves, on arrival at the earth compressed the geomagnetic cavity at three peak intervals. This cavity, having an extremely low resonance, could not respond to the detailed wave composition of the compressing plasma front. Thus only three smooth but distinct peaks were displayed in the analog recorder.




FIG. 1  
GEOMAGNETIC VARIATION FOR THE PERIOD 24-29 JANUARY 1971, ALONG WITH OTHER SOLAR  
EMISSIONS DURING THE GLE, AT MANILA.




FIGURE 2. RADIO AND GEOMAGNETIC EVENTS ASSOCIATED  
WITH THE 24 JAN. 1971 GLE OBSERVED AT MANILA.

The Tables below describe the behavior of the D-region during the solar event both as an absorbing and as a reflecting layer. The lower the frequency, the earlier the SWF reached maximum absorption. Recovery was in the reverse order; 18 MHz was first and 9.6 MHz last. The average max time for the SPAs was also about the same with the SWFs, at 2342 UT.

Table 1  
SWF Events 24-25 Jan 1971

| Freq (MHz) | Start | Max  | End  | Abs. (dB) |
|------------|-------|------|------|-----------|
| 9.6        | 2308  | 2340 | 0315 | 7.0       |
| 12         | 2307  | 2341 | 0300 | 7.0       |
| 15         | 2309  | 2343 | 0256 | 7.0       |
| 18         | 2306  | 2344 | 0235 | 4.0       |

Table 2  
SPA Events 24-25 Jan 1971

| Sta.Freq(kHz) | Start | Max  | End  | Phase Advance (°) |
|---------------|-------|------|------|-------------------|
| NLK 18.6      | 2306  | 2340 | 0300 | 246               |
| NDT 17.4      | 2308  | 2347 | 0200 | 33                |
| GBR 16.0      | 2317  | 2328 | 0134 | 35                |

Radio and H-alpha peak times agree to within a minute, 2323 and 2322 UT, respectively. The X-ray max time, particularly the softer ones and the EUV which are responsible for ionizing the D-region, cannot be far behind, if not within, the radio and H-alpha max times. Castelli and Richards [1971] showed excellent agreement between EUV and centimeter radio start time and time of burst maximum. From Tables 1 and 2, an appreciable delay of twenty minutes exists, on the average, between H-alpha and radio times and maximum SID times.

Peak absorption and peak reflection of signals by the ionosphere imply maximum electron density has been attained. The data in the Tables showed continued ionization had prevailed for about twenty minutes after the centimeter radio max time. Secondary ionization: freed electrons have acquired exceedingly higher energies than usual, then reimpacted to neighboring particles by collision, thus, prolonging the ionization process. More studies are being done to explain this time lag and to determine if it can be a useful indicator for extremely big events, such as, a GLE.

The author thanks AFCRL for supporting this work, and J. J. Hennessey and V. L. Badillo for their encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

- |                                       |      |  |
|---------------------------------------|------|--|
| CASTELLI, J. P. and<br>D. W. RICHARDS | 1971 | Observations of Solar Bursts at Microwave and Extreme Ultraviolet Wavelengths, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>76</u> , 8409.                             |
| TAM, C. K. W. and<br>V. YOUSEFIAN     | 1972 | Effects of Interplanetary Magnetic Field on the Propagation of Flare-Generated Inter-Planetary Shock Waves, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , <u>77</u> , 234. |
| WISDOM, W. A.                         | 1971 | Normalization Procedures for Sudden Phase Anomaly Events, (To be published).   |

On Geomagnetic Pulsations at the Time of Solar-Terrestrial Events  
of January 24, 1971 and September 1, 1971 at the Budkov Observatory

by

Karel Prikner  
Geophysical Institute  
Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences  
Prague, Czechoslovakia

Introduction

The solar-terrestrial events of January 24 and September 1, 1971 belong to the category of phenomena which do not connect up directly with sudden marked disturbances of the geomagnetic field. However, they are usually related to the development of the conditions in the geomagnetic field in the course of the subsequent days or weeks. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate comprehensively their regularities from the point of view of forecasts of geomagnetic activity.

For purposes of investigating the behavior of the short-period variations of the geomagnetic field during both periods, the records of a normal magnetic apparatus of the Bobrov system (recording speed 20 mm/hr) and of a rapid-run induction variometer with a permalloy core (15 mm/min) of the IVJ-2 type, located at the Budkov Observatory (geomagnetic longitude and latitude  $\Lambda = 96^\circ 02'E$   $\Phi = 49^\circ 01'N$ ) were used. The K-indices for the 3-hourly intervals were determined for the Průhonice Observatory ( $\Lambda = 97^\circ 18'E$   $\Phi = 49^\circ 54'N$ ).

Significant Disturbances in the Geomagnetic Field

The degree of disturbance of the geomagnetic field during both intervals is characterized by the run of the K-indices, adopted from the Průhonice Observatory and shown in Figures 1 and 2. The interval between January 22 and 24 did not display any significant sudden disturbances. Not until 1930 UT on January 24 was an ssc observed (amplitude about  $13\gamma$ ), which was followed by a storm with a relatively short duration and recovery in the course of January 25. The whole of January 26 was very calm. At 0430 UT of January 27 a very sharp ssc was recorded (amplitude about  $22\gamma$ ) with a subsequent severe storm, the effects of which could be observed until the end of January.

Beginning August 31 a geomagnetic storm with a gradual commencement was in evidence, the effects of which could still be observed on the morning of September 1. On the same day, after 1900 UT, a bay disturbance developed in the H-component with an amplitude of about  $26\gamma$ , lasting roughly 1.5 hours. The interval between September 2 and 4 is again relatively calm. A sharp ssc\* with an amplitude of about  $15\gamma$  was recorded at 1646 UT on September 4, which was followed by a geomagnetic storm.

Geomagnetic Pulsations

The most typical pulsation in the region of the Budkov Observatory is the day-time Pc3 pulsation. Owing to their most frequent occurrence during magnetically calm intervals, they were chosen as a means for studying the characteristics of the short-period variations in both intervals considered.

Several days on either side of January 24 and September 1, 1971 samples of the Pc3 pulsations were taken roughly in one-hourly intervals. Provided they were recorded, this applies to the whole period of their usual daily occurrence. In accordance with Hirasawa [1969] and Jacobs [1970] this period is roughly between 0300 and 1900 UT at the observatories mentioned above. The periods (T) and the double amplitudes (A), as the max. oscillation of the pulsation, in the X (NS) component of the records were measured in the samples. These data were not measured on August 31 because the field was too severely disturbed by a geomagnetic storm. For the same reason the measurements in both cases were terminated by the onsets of the geomagnetic storms of January 27 and September 4, 1971.

For each day, independently, the average daily value of both the quantities measured,  $\bar{T}(s)$  and  $\bar{A}(\gamma)$ , were also determined. The results for the interval around January 24 are in Figure 1 and the results for the interval around September 1 are in Figure 2. For purposes of comparing the degree of disturbance during both intervals with the variations of the pulsation characteristics, the run of the K-indices has been introduced into both the Figures. Apart from this the average daily values  $\bar{T}$  and  $\bar{A}$  are recorded for both intervals in Table 1.

The intervals were not evaluated for the evening and morning hours of January 22 and 23, and January 23 and 24, respectively. This was due to a failure of the recording instrument, which also occurred just prior to the interval investigated.




Fig. 1. Measured values of the amplitudes ( $A$ ) and periods ( $T$ ) of Pc3 pulsations (Budkov) for the studied interval in January 1971 (dots) and the run of their average daily values (circles). The run of the Průhonice K-indices has been included.

### Discussion

In the course of both the evaluated intervals a smaller variation in the values of the average daily period  $\bar{T}$  and a more marked variation in the average daily value of the amplitude  $\bar{A}$  of the samples of recorded pulsations appeared. The value of  $\bar{T}$  in both cases increased after the set date (in January roughly from about 29 seconds on January 24 to 37 seconds on January 25 and in September gradually and over a longer interval from 28 seconds on September 1 to 39 seconds on September 4).

The values of  $\bar{A}$  on January 24 and September 1 were relatively high (Table 1). In both cases they decreased markedly during the subsequent days by more than 50% of their original value (from  $\bar{A} \approx 0.527\gamma$  on January 24 to  $\bar{A} \approx 0.194\gamma$  on January 26, and from  $\bar{A} \approx 0.770\gamma$  on September 1 to  $\bar{A} \approx 0.132\gamma$  on September 3, 1971). In some of the hourly intervals no pulsations could be observed on the records at all. In comparison with the run of the K-indices the subsequent period has the character of a rapid decrease in the overall level of disturbance of the magnetic field, simultaneous with decreasing activity in the range of short-period variations.

As already mentioned above, both the intervals with decreased levels of activity are followed by geomagnetic storms with sharp ssc's. This phenomenon can be observed frequently. One should point out that similar behavior was also observed in  $\bar{T}$  and  $\bar{A}$  during the interval immediately preceding the geomagnetic storm of March 8, 1970, which is discussed elsewhere [Prikner 1971].

In both the cases studied there is a clear time relation between the overall decrease of the level of disturbance in the geomagnetic field (K-indices) and the pulsation characteristics ( $\bar{T}$ ,  $\bar{A}$ ). These features in the behavior of the pulsations are in agreement with the results of Jacobs [1970], etc. According to the conclusions of Troitskaya *et al.* [1967], the velocity of the solar wind in






Fig. 2. The same as in Fig. 1 but for the interval in September 1971.

the vicinity of the Earth should have decreased slightly during the intervals investigated. Now, consider the interpretation of the changes in the pulsation characteristics as in Prikner [1968]. In this interval of a relatively low level of activity of the geomagnetic field, one may expect an increase in the size of the resonator in which the pulsations are generated or a certain decrease in the average velocity of the HM-waves in the Earth's magnetosphere. This may be connected with the expansion of the lower layers of the magnetosphere (plasmasphere) as a result of heating or of the expansion of the resonator - magnetosphere when the plasma pressure of the solar wind decreases at the boundary of the magnetosphere. The said processes result in a gradual increase of the period of the recorded pulsations, in a decrease of their amplitudes, and possibly even in their vanishing from the record. This could be actually observed in the observatory data used.

With a view to forecasting geomagnetic activity, the comprehensive study of these intervals is interesting. The study is important principally because of their connection with the geomagnetic storms which follow them.

Table 1

| Date (1971) |    | $\bar{T}$ (s) | $\bar{A}$ ( $\gamma$ ) |
|-------------|----|---------------|------------------------|
| January     | 22 | 26.3          | 0.366                  |
|             | 23 | 29.6          | 0.332 ?                |
|             | 24 | 29.2          | 0.527                  |
|             | 25 | 37.0          | 0.261                  |
|             | 26 | 32.0          | 0.194                  |
| August      | 31 | storm         | storm                  |
| September   | 1  | 28.0          | 0.770                  |
|             | 2  | 28.3          | 0.212                  |
|             | 3  | 36.0          | 0.132                  |
|             | 4  | 39.5          | 0.299                  |

## REFERENCES

- HIRASAWA, T. 1969 Worldwide Characteristics of Geomagnetic pc-Pulsations with the Period From 10 to 150 Seconds During Active-sun Years. Rep. Iono's. Space Res. Japan, 23, 281-293.
- JACOBS, J. A. 1970 Geomagnetic Micropulsations. Physics and Chemistry in Space 1, Spring.-Verl., Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- PRIKNER, K 1968 Resonance of a Plane HM-wave in the Lower Magnetosphere and pc Pulsations with Periods of 5 to 40 Seconds Occurring During Geomagnetic Storms. Studia Geoph. et Geod., 12, 224-230.
- PRIKNER K. and J. STRESTIK 1971 The Properties of Geomagnetic Pulsations at the Time of the Magnetic Storm of March 8th, 1970. World Data Center A, Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-12, Part III, 401-404.
- TROITSKAYA, V. A., O. V. BOLSHAKOVA and R. V. SHCHEPETNOV 1967 On the Possibility of Introduction of the Solar Wind Index  $W_{sw}$  With Applications of Properties of Micropulsations of the Electromagnetic Field of the Earth. IUGG Assembly, Zürich.

The Cosmic Ray Event of January 24, 1971,  
and the Micropulsation Activity

by

Jagdish Chandra Gupta  
Earth Physics Branch  
Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa, Canada

On January 24, 1971, at about 2335 UT the counting rate of cosmic rays increased suddenly by about 12% above the background level. A few minutes earlier a flare of importance 3B was reported to have erupted in the McMath plage region 11128. And, about 52 hours later a world-wide moderate intensity ssc geomagnetic storm was recorded by the magnetometers. It is most probable that whereas the high energy particles ejected from the sun due to the flare were responsible for the observed cosmic ray increases the bulk of the low energy particles which arrived several hours later caused the storm.

In order to study the micropulsation activity following this cosmic ray event the normal run magnetograms showing Pc5 activity and the rapid run magnetograms showing the pulsational activity in the Pc3, 4 period range were examined from several observatories described in Table 1.

Table 1

| Stn.<br>No. | Station           | Geographic |           | Geomagnetic  |               |
|-------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
|             |                   | Latitude   | Longitude | Latitude (N) | Longitude (E) |
| 1.          | Alert             | 82.5°N     | 62.3°W    | 85.7°        | 168.7°        |
| 2.          | Resolute Bay      | 74.7°N     | 94.8°W    | 83.1°        | 287.7°        |
| 3           | Mould Bay         | 76.2°N     | 119.4°W   | 79.1°        | 255.4°        |
| 4.          | Baker Lake        | 64.3°N     | 96.0°W    | 73.9°        | 314.8°        |
| 5.          | Leirvogur         | 64.2°N     | 21.6°W    | 70.3°        | 71.6°         |
| 6.          | Fort Churchill    | 58.7°N     | 94.3°W    | 68.8°        | 322.5°        |
| 7.          | Great Whale River | 55.3°N     | 77.8°W    | 66.8°        | 347.2°        |
| 8.          | Abisko            | 68.3°N     | 18.8°E    | 65.9°        | 115.3°        |
| 9.          | Kiruna            | 67.8°N     | 20.4°E    | 65.3°        | 115.7°        |
| 10.         | College           | 64.9°N     | 147.9°W   | 64.6°        | 256.1°        |
| 11.         | Dömbas            | 62.1°N     | 9.1°E     | 62.3°        | 100.1°        |
| 12.         | Meanook           | 54.6°N     | 113.3°W   | 61.9°        | 300.7°        |
| 13.         | Sitka             | 57.1°N     | 135.3°W   | 60.0°        | 275.0°        |
| 14.         | St. John's        | 47.6°N     | 52.7°W    | 58.7°        | 21.4°         |
| 15.         | Ottawa            | 45.4°N     | 75.6°W    | 57.0°        | 351.5°        |
| 16.         | Victoria          | 48.5°N     | 123.4°W   | 54.3°        | 292.7°        |
| 17.         | Tucson            | 32.2°N     | 110.8°W   | 40.4°        | 312.2°        |
| 18.         | San Juan          | 18.4°N     | 66.1°W    | 29.9°        | 3.2°          |
| 19.         | Honolulu          | 21.3°N     | 158.1°W   | 21.1°        | 266.5°        |

The chart records examined do not give any indication of special pulsational activity starting simultaneously with the cosmic ray event. And, one could say with confidence that any regular or irregular pulsational activity seen on the records would have been present whether or not the cosmic ray event occurred. In other words the entry of the very high energy particles into the magnetosphere did not trigger any conditions which might lead to micropulsation activity.




Fig. 1. Rapid run micropulsation record for January 26-27, 1971, from station Ottawa.

The rapid run Ottawa magnetogram for January 26-27 is shown in Figure 1. Simultaneously with the ssc a Pi2 developed and was seen on Ottawa, Meanook, Baker Lake and Resolute Bay records; because of instrumental problems the Meanook rapid-run records are not analysed further. The pulsational

activity was in the Pc3, 4 period range and rather sinusoidal in nature a few hours prior to the Pi2 appearance on the records. Following the Pi2 irregular pulsational activity dominated the charts and often rendered them unusable, especially at Ottawa. For stations Resolute Bay, Baker Lake and Ottawa in Table 2 are shown:

- (1) the average amplitude of the largest Pc3, 4 pulsation whenever present in all the 15 minute intervals lying between 1630 UT on January 26 to 0430 UT on January 27 (column 3 and 4);
- (2) the amplitude of the dominant cycle of the Pi2 which developed at 0430 UT on January 27 (column 5);
- (3) the average amplitude of the largest Pi pulsations in each of the 15 minute intervals during 0430 to 0630 UT on January 27 (column 6).

Table 2

| Station      | Component | Amplitude (nT) |     |               |                   |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|-----|---------------|-------------------|
|              |           | Pc3            | Pc4 | Pi2 at<br>ssc | Pi's after<br>ssc |
| Resolute Bay | Y         | -              | 0.8 | 9.2           | 1.9               |
| Baker Lake   | X         | 2.0            | 1.9 | 14.0          | 13.9              |
| Ottawa       | H         | 1.3            | 1.1 | 3.5           | 2.9               |

Clearly the amplitudes of pulsations, Pc's or Pi's are largest in the auroral zone (Baker Lake in general is on the northern border of the auroral zone). The Pi amplitudes seem to fall much more rapidly than those of Pc's on either side of the auroral zone.

Some high latitude normal run magnetograms showed Pc5 activity more distinctly than others during the intervals preceding the ssc and after the peak of the substorm (seen at high latitudes) which followed ssc. Most striking example is that of Great Whale River where Pc5 activity predominates in all three components (Figure 2). There is a recognizable difference between the pulsational activity before and after the substorm; the former is more sinusoidal and of small amplitude and the latter is irregular and of large amplitude. The amplitude and the period of the largest pulsation in the Pc5 period range, in each hour, are measured from the normal magnetograms for the intervals 0000-0400 UT and 1000-1400 UT on January 27; the later interval begins closer to the end of the substorm. The computed average values are given in Table 3 for several stations, a majority of which lie at high latitudes. It is seen clearly from the Table that whereas the amplitudes increased, the periods of the Pc5 pulsations decreased after the substorm activity. The amplitude of the pulsations was largest at Churchill prior to the substorm and at Great Whale River after the substorm. This indicates an inward movement of the surface at which HM waves responsible for Pc5 oscillations are generated. After the substorm the amplitudes are found to be large at Great Whale River and 70° to the West at College. However the amplitudes are found to be smaller at Churchill and Meanook. In the latitudinal extent the amplitudes in general are found to decrease rather rapidly but Kiruna and Abisko which lie between the latitudes of Great Whale River and College show much smaller amplitudes. This tends to indicate a sectorial effect to be prevailing. Therefore these observations support the idea of Obertz and Raspopov [1968] according to which the region of excitation of Pc5 is localized in space. Even with the small amount of data used here the effect of increasing magnetic activity on the amplitudes and on the periods of Pc5's is clearly demonstrated.

#### Acknowledgements

I wish to express sincere thanks to Messrs. G. Jansen van Beek and E. I. Loomer, and to Drs. E. R. Niblett and P. H. Serson for help and comments. Also thanks are due to Mr. William Paulishak of the World Data Center A for Solar-Terrestrial Physics for supplying the desired normal magnetograms from several observatories.

#### REFERENCES

- |                                   |      |   |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|
| OBERTZ, P., and<br>O. M. RESPOPOV | 1968 | Study of the Spatial Characteristics of Type Pc5<br>Geomagnetic Micropulsations, <u>Geomag. Aeron. VIII</u> ,<br>424-427. |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|




Fig. 2. Portion of standard photographic magnetogram for Great Whale River, January 27, 1971.

Table 3

Average amplitude and the average period of the largest cycle of the Pc5 micropulsations on January 27, 1971, in the H(or X) components.

| Station           | Before the Substorm<br>0000-0400 UT (Kp=0+) |                  | After the Substorm<br>1000-1400 UT (Kp=6 <sub>0</sub> ) |                  |
|-------------------|---|------------------|---|------------------|
|                   | Amplitude<br>(nT)                           | Period<br>(sec.) | Amplitude<br>(nT)                                       | Period<br>(sec.) |
| Alert             | 7   | 297              | 46  | 288              |
| Resolute Bay      | 13  | 459              | 24  | 252              |
| Mould Bay         | 13  | 320              | 28  | 198              |
| Baker Lake        | 12  | 374              | 33  | 310              |
| Leirvogur         | 4   | 540              | 27  | 420              |
| Fort Churchill    | 22  | 549              | 51  | 400              |
| Great Whale River | 13  | 580              | 80  | 405              |
| Abisco            | 7   | 450              | 40  | 396              |
| Kiruna            | 4   | 450              | 30  | 392              |
| College           | 8   | 528              | 70  | 342              |
| D8mbas            | 3   | 489              | 9   | 330              |
| Meanook           | 4   | 477              | 49  | 342              |
| Sitka             | 2   | 348              | 7   | 328              |
| St. John's        | -   | -                | 6   | 310              |
| Ottawa            | -   | -                | 12  | 275              |
| Victoria          | 1   | 369              | 3   | 387              |
| Tucson            | 2   | 520              | 6   | 340              |
| San Juan          | -   | 400              | 2   | 380              |
| Honolulu          | 2   | 500              | 6   | 300              |

# Cosmic Ray Event of January 24, 1971 and the Geomagnetic Variations

by

J. C. Gupta and E. I. Loomer  
Division of Geomagnetism  
Earth Physics Branch  
Department Energy, Mines and Resources  
Ottawa, Canada

## ABSTRACT

In the McMath plage region 11128 several flares of relatively low importance occurred on January 24, 1971. The largest flare, Group 36350 [Solar-Geophysical Data], began at 2215 UT with maxima at 2316 and 2335 UT, and importances of 1B and 3B, respectively. Most probably the large cosmic ray increases recorded by the neutron monitors near 2330 UT were associated with the very high energy protons ejected from this flare. A moderate intensity ssc geomagnetic storm occurred about 52 hours after the eruption of these flares. It was apparently caused by the impact on the magnetosphere of the solar wind modulated by the relatively low energy plasma ejected from the solar flares of that day. An analysis is included for the complex polar substorm which followed the ssc.

The movement of the auroral electrojet in the oval to the west and north and the rotation of the oval to the west were inferred from the magnetic effects.

Data were insufficient to distinguish between the Akasofu and Feldstein equivalent current models, and to determine the mechanism of the intensification of activity at the northern edge of the oval. The anomalous nature of the current vectors at Godhavn and College remains to be explained.

It is the purpose of this report to examine the geomagnetic activity following a large and sudden cosmic ray increase, which started about 2335 UT on January 24, 1971. The magnetic activity for a few days (January 24-27) before and after the occurrence of this event is shown by the three hourly Kp indices in Table 1. Clearly January 24-25 showed about average activity, January 26 was a very quiet day and the disturbance started early on January 27.

Even though several tens of flares erupted during January 22-24 in the McMath Plage Region 11128, only some of the important ones are noted in Table 2 [see Solar-Geophysical Data, 1971]. Amongst these flares was Number 6 which was of importance 3B and most likely produced particles in a wide energy spectrum. However, the possibility that flare Number 7 is a strong source of particles with different energies may not be discounted.

Table 1

| Date | Kp JANUARY 1971                     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | ΣKp |
|------|-------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
|      | Three-Hour Universal Time Intervals |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |
|      | 1                                   | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  |     |
| 24   | 2-                                  | 2+ | 1o | 2+ | 2+ | 1- | 3+ | 4- | 17+ |
| 25   | 4o                                  | 3- | 2o | 2- | 1+ | 2o | 2- | 1- | 16o |
| 26   | 1-                                  | 0o | 0o | 0o | 0+ | 1- | 0+ | 0+ | 2+  |
| 27   | 0+                                  | 5- | 6o | 4+ | 5- | 5o | 4o | 4o | 33o |

Whereas the relativistic particles emitted during a flare arrive at the earth's orbit in a matter of a few minutes, the bulk of the flare particles takes about 20-72 hours and produces si's or ssc's on magnetic records. In the case under study the cosmic ray increase of January 24 occurred about 20 minutes after the first maxima of Group 36350 [SGD, No. 323, 1971] (see Table 2). Based on this transit time it is most probable that the relativistic protons with energies in the neighborhood of 1 Bev [Pinter, 1970] from this flare were responsible for the observed intense increase in cosmic rays at high latitudes. About 52 hours later on January 27 at 0430 UT a moderate intensity ssc geomagnetic storm was recorded by various observatories. Simultaneously a Forbush decrease was recorded by the neutron monitors.

Table 2

| Grouped Reports $\geq 1$ |      | JANUARY 1971 |                      |                  |            |
|--------------------------|------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|------------|
| Flare No.                | Date | Max          | Approximate Location | Plage No. McMath | Importance |
| 1                        | 23   | 0414         | N19 W21              | 11128            | 1B         |
| 2                        | 24   | 1814         | N17 W46              | 11128            | *1B        |
| 3                        |      | 1830         | N16 W45              | 11128            | 1N         |
| 4                        |      | 2045         | N19 W50              | 11128            | 1N         |
| 5                        |      | 2316         | N19 W50              | 11128            | *1B        |
| 6                        |      | 2331         | N18 W49              | 11128            | 3B         |
| 7                        | 25   | 0250         | N19 W51              | 11128            | *3F        |

\*second brightening.

To explain these observations it is suggested that the moderate/low energy plasma ejected from various flares of McMath plage region 11128 on January 24 modulated the background solar wind, the impact of which on the magnetospheric boundary was mainly responsible for the observed storm. Moreover, the compressed geomagnetic cavity under the influence of this solar wind seems to have prevented the background galactic cosmic rays from entering into the magnetosphere and thus giving rise to the observed Forbush decrease. It is interesting to note that a similar ssc storm and a Forbush decrease were recorded about 48 hours after the cosmic ray event of November 18, 1968 [Kawasaki and Akasofu, 1970].

For a few observatories (see Table 3) H-component variations on January 27, digitized from normal magnetograms at 1 min-interval, are shown in Figure 1. The well-defined ssc occurred at 0430 UT on January 27. This ssc was followed by a world-wide storm. The bulk of the particles from the flares of January 24 had reasonable transit time to cause the observed ssc and the main phase decrease of the storm.

#### Polar substorm 0600-1000 UT

The ssc of 0430 UT was followed by a complex polar substorm beginning about 0600 UT and lasting for approximately 4 hours. The pronounced structuring of the traces at a number of stations, (see for example GWR (H), Fig. 1), strongly suggests that 3 separate substorms occurred in this interval. In this analysis 3 substorms have been identified which agree approximately in times of occurrence with the main H- and Z-bays on the Leirvogur and Dixon Island traces.

#### Analysis of Magnetic Data

The stations used in the analysis are shown in Figure 2. H(X), D(Y) and Z perturbations were measured from the quiet level ( $K_p=0$ ) preceding the ssc, and expressed in the geomagnetic coordinate system  $X^1$ ,  $Y^1$ , Z. It is probable that the currents which give rise to polar magnetic substorms are three dimensional and flow along field lines as well as in the ionosphere. However, to describe the development of the substorm, equivalent ionospheric line current vectors have been calculated, using both the horizontal and vertical components of the perturbation vector, following the procedure outlined previously by Loomer and Jansen van Beek [1971]. Plots of current vectors for a number of instants during the storm are given in Figure 3.

#### Sequence of Magnetic Events

The growth of the auroral electrojet is evident in the midnight sector at 0555 UT when gradual positive Z and negative H bays begin at Great Whale River (Fig. 4). At 0615 UT an impulsive event was observed at Leirvogur in the early morning sector, and at Meanook, Victoria and College in the evening sector. No unusual features were observed at Great Whale River at this time.




Fig. 1. H(X) magnetogram traces drawn from 1 minute digitized data. X-traces are shown for Baker Lake, Mould Bay and Resolute Bay for January 27, 1971.



Table 3

| Station |                   | Geomag.Co-ords. |        | Station |                | Geomag.Co-ords. |        |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
|         |                   | Lat.N           | Long.E |         |                | Lat.N           | Long.E |
| * Al    | Alert             | 85.7            | 168.7  | Co      | College        | 64.6            | 256.1  |
| RB      | Resolute Bay      | 83.1            | 287.7  | DI      | Dixon Is.      | 62.8            | 161.7  |
| Go      | Godhavn           | 80.0            | 33.1   | Me      | Meanook        | 61.9            | 300.7  |
| MLB     | Mould Bay         | 79.1            | 255.4  | Si      | Sitka          | 60.0            | 275.0  |
| BL      | Baker Lake        | 73.9            | 314.8  | Ot      | Ottawa         | 57.0            | 351.5  |
| Na      | Narssarssuaq      | 71.4            | 37.3   | Vi      | Victoria       | 54.3            | 292.7  |
| Leir    | Leirvogur         | 70.3            | 71.6   | Fr      | Fredericksburg | 49.6            | 349.8  |
| Ch      | Fort Churchill    | 68.8            | 322.5  | Tu      | Tucson         | 40.4            | 312.2  |
| PB      | Point Barrow      | 68.4            | 240.7  | SJ      | San Juan       | 29.9            | 3.2    |
| GWR     | Great Whale River | 66.8            | 347.2  | Ho      | Honolulu       | 21.1            | 266.5  |
| Ab      | Abisko            | 65.9            | 115.3  |         |                |                 |        |

\* see note following REFERENCES

The expansive phase of the first substorm to be clearly identified is believed to begin with the sharp negative Z-bay at 0640 UT (Figure 4) which was superimposed on the positive Z-bay at Great Whale River and Churchill. The bay lasted for 5 minutes and suggests an abrupt northward surge at this time in the midnight sector. The intensity of the storm at 0640 UT is maximum near Great Whale River when  $\Delta H$  was about 420  $\gamma$ , and Churchill, with the electrojet flowing to the south of these stations (Figure 3). Current intensity at Great Whale River was  $3.7 \times 10^5$  amps.

The next outstanding magnetic effect is the sudden commencement of the principal negative X-bay at Baker Lake at 0652 UT. This may be interpreted as the effect of a westward surge which originated at 0640 UT between Great Whale River and Churchill, and travelled along the 70° geomagnetic parallel with a speed of approximately 1 km/sec [Akasofu, 1968; Loomer and Jansen van Beek, 1972].

The movement of the electrojet to the north of Great Whale River is seen on the magnetogram (Figure 4) as a positive indentation of the negative H-bay from 0650 to 0710 UT with maximum at 0700 UT, together with the change in sign of the Z-perturbation, which becomes negative about 0700 UT. Very similar effects are observed in the X- and Z-components at Churchill, and the majority of stations in and near the oval register an impulsive change in field around this time. The intensification of the electrojet and its movement to the west and north are clearly shown on the current vector plots for 0654 and 0700 UT. At 0710 UT, when X reaches its greatest negative value at Baker Lake, the electrojet is already decreasing in intensity there. The largest current values for these times are recorded at Baker Lake ( $5.2 \times 10^5$  amps at 0700 UT), and Godhavn.  $\Delta H$  was maximum at Great Whale River (650  $\gamma$  at 0654 UT) and Narssarssuaq (800  $\gamma$  at 0700 UT).

The continued movement of the electrojet to the north and west is evident in the negative X- and Y-bays beginning about 0710 UT at Resolute Bay and Mould Bay. A westward-travelling surge seen at Baker Lake at 0652 UT and travelling at 1 km/sec could be expected to reach the Resolute Bay, Mould Bay area near 0710 UT, and the effects observed at this time at Resolute Bay and Mould Bay may result directly from the expansion of the storm which developed in the midnight sector at 0640 UT. However, independent substorm activity at the northern edge of the oval [Akasofu, 1970; Rostoker, 1971; Loomer and Jansen van Beek, 1971] is also a possible explanation. Latitude profiles in  $\Delta X^1$  would appear to support this interpretation, but owing to the limited number and unequal distribution of observatories available for this analysis, it is not certain how reliable such profiles are. Current vectors at 0728 and 0742 UT are maximum at Resolute Bay and Godhavn ( $4.6 \times 10^5$  amps at 0742 UT).  $\Delta H$  remains maximum at Narssarssuaq and Great Whale River (1050  $\gamma$  at 0742 UT). It is apparent from Figure 3 that the electrojet is flowing westward around the oval at all longitudes at 0742 UT.

At 0806 UT the current is again maximum at Great Whale River ( $5 \times 10^5$  amps) and the electrojet is appreciably south of the station. A new substorm apparently begins around this time. No outstanding effects of this storm are evident in the oval, owing perhaps to the lack of auroral zone observatories




Fig. 2. Map in geomagnetic coordinates showing the stations used for this analysis.




Fig. 3. Current vector plots for selected times. Key to location of stations is given by Figure 2.




Fig. 4. Portion of standard photographic magnetogram for Great Whale River, January 27, 1971.

between Churchill and Point Barrow. However, a very clear D transitional bay occurred at 0829 UT at Tucson, situated in longitude between Great Whale River and Meanook, suggesting that the center line of the substorm, or demarcation line, passed over Tucson from east to west at this time.

The third substorm was first seen at Meanook at 0835 UT with the sudden commencement of the main negative H-bay. By 0840 UT College is also under the influence of the primary electrojet. Prior to this College was south of the oval and in a predominantly eastward current flow. The movement of the area of maximum intensity of the electrojet westward from Great Whale River to Meanook and College is evident in the current vector plots for 0846 and 0852 UT. At 0852 UT  $\Delta H$  was greatest at Meanook when it exceeded 1000 gammas. Current intensity was  $2.8 \times 10^5$  amps. Very clear examples of D transition bays are seen at Meanook where D changes from east to west at 0852 UT and at Victoria where the changeover is at 0859 UT. Following Rostoker's model [1966] and using the method given by Loomer and Jansen van Beek [1972], the velocity of the demarcation line relative to the sun-earth line was 0.9 km/sec at the latitude of the Meanook and College current vectors at 0852 UT. This is in good agreement with the results of Rostoker *et al.* [1970].

#### Discussion and Summary

Substorm activity ended rather abruptly around 1000 UT. A strong southeasterly current flow was recorded at Dixon Island on the current vector plots drawn for the 3 substorms. There were insufficient data in the day sector to distinguish between the Akasofu and Feldstein models [Akasofu, 1968] of equivalent current flow. On some of the plots the orientation of the current vectors at Alert, Abisko, Dixon Island, College and Point Barrow strongly suggests a two-celled current system. However, it is difficult to explain the strong persistent northeastward current flow at College prior to 0840 UT.

The early growth of the electrojet at Leirvogur and Great Whale River may be an illustration of the initial phase of the substorm identified by Loomer and Jansen van Beek [1971], and probably identical with the growth phase believed by Rostoker [1972] to precede the explosive (or expansive) phase of the substorm.

The intensification of the storm and the movement to the west and north of the storm center was most clearly seen in the magnetic effects associated with the first substorm. The magnetic events which followed the impulsive bay at 0640 UT at Great Whale River and Churchill suggested that a westward surge travelling at 1 km/sec preceded the extension of the electrojet into the evening sector, approaching Baker Lake at 0652 UT and flowing within  $2-2\frac{1}{2}^\circ$  of Resolute Bay at 0728 and 0742 UT. Alternatively, the intensification of activity at Resolute Bay and Mould Bay may have been the result of a separate substorm occurring at the northern edge of the auroral oval. The large current vector at Godhavn was unexpected, and is perhaps best explained by the northward expansion of the electrojet in the midnight sector.

The very large current vector at Great Whale River at 0806 UT, around the time assumed for commencement of the second substorm, is an example of the return of the center of the storm in the recovery phase to an area near the pre-expansion position, considerably east of the local midnight meridian, noted by Loomer and Jansen van Beek [1971].

Although much information concerning the development of substorms may be inferred from magnetic data alone, a more complete analysis of this substorm will be published elsewhere.

#### Acknowledgement

We would like to extend sincere thanks to Mr. William Paulishak of the World Data Center A for supplying us with the magnetograms and to Mr. G. Jansen van Beek for very helpful discussions and assistance in computations.

#### REFERENCES

- |                                  |      |  |
|----------------------------------|------|--|
| AKASOFU, S. -I.                  | 1968 | <u>Polar and Magnetospheric Substorms</u> , Astrophysics and Space Science Library, 2, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, Holland.   |
| AKASOFU, S. -I., et al.          | 1970 | Results from a Meridian Chain of Observatories in the Alaskan Sector (I), Preprint of <u>Report of Geophysical Institute, Univ. of Alaska.</u>   |
| KAWASAKI, K., and S. -I. AKASOFU | 1970 | Geomagnetic Disturbances during the Period November 16 - 21, 1968 Associated with the McMath Plage Regions 9760 and 9780, World Data Center A, <u>Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-9</u> , 92-102. |

|   |      |   |
|---|------|---|
| KISABETH, J. L. and<br>G. ROSTOKER      | 1971 | Development of the Polar Electrojet during Polar<br>Magnetic Substorms, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> , 76, 6815.                                     |
| LOOMER, E. I. and<br>G. JANSEN VAN BEEK | 1971 | Magnetic Substorms, December 5, 1968, <u>Pub. Earth Phys.<br/>Br.</u> , Vol. 41, No. 10.  |
| LOOMER, E. I. and<br>G. JANSEN VAN BEEK | 1972 | Polar Magnetic Substorms 0300-0600 UT, December 5, 1968,<br><u>Pub. Earth Phys. Br.</u> , Vol. 42, No. 4.                                       |
| PINTER, S.                              | 1970 | Solar Cosmic Ray Event on 18 November 1968, <u>World Data<br/>Center A, Upper Atmosphere Geophysics Report UAG-9</u> ,<br>26-29.                |
| ROSTOKER, G.                            | 1966 | Midlatitude Transition Bays and Their Relation to the<br>Spatial Movement of Overhead Current Systems, <u>J. Geophys.<br/>Res.</u> , 71, 79-95. |
| ROSTOKER, G., et al.                    | 1970 | Development of a Polar Magnetic Substorm Current System,<br><u>Report of Univ. of Alberta, Killam Earth Sciences</u> ,<br>May 8.                |
| ROSTOKER, G.                            | 1972 | Polar Magnetic Substorms, <u>Rev. Geophysics and Space<br/>Physics</u> , 10, 157-211.   |
|   | 1971 | Solar-Geophysical Data, 323 Part II, U.S. Department of<br>Commerce, (Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. 80302).   |

#### Note

Owing to a large induction anomaly, care must be exercised in using Alert magnetic data. In their paper "The Extension of the Alert Geomagnetic Anomaly through Northern Ellesmere Island, Canada" (Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1971), O. Praus et al. show that Alert magnetic perturbations are not seriously affected by the anomaly unless the magnetic component transverse to the strike of the anomaly is appreciably larger than that along the anomaly. The strike of the anomaly is approximately N.E. to S.W. For Alert perturbations used in this analysis the components along and transverse to the anomaly are similar in magnitude, and the equivalent line current vectors calculated from the Alert data should not be seriously distorted by the anomaly.

USCOMM-NOAA-ASHEVILLE, NC-1-73-1325